Council risks failing human rights in the AI Act

Dear Representatives of the Council of the European Union,

We write to you in advance of the E.U. Artificial Intelligence Act (AI Act) trilogue negotiation on 6 December. In this crucial moment for the AI Act, we urge you to effectively regulate the use of AI systems by law enforcement, migration control and national security authorities throughout Europe.

Without meaningful regulation of the use of AI in law enforcement, the AI Act will not fulfil its promise to put people' safety first and it will fail human rights at large.

Increasingly, in Europe and around the world, AI systems are developed and deployed for harmful and discriminatory forms of state surveillance. From the use of <u>biometrics</u> for identification in public spaces, to <u>emotion recognition</u>, to predictive systems in <u>criminal justice</u> and <u>resource allocation capacities</u>. AI in law enforcement disproportionately targets already marginalised communities, undermines legal and procedural rights, and enables mass surveillance.

The Council risks severely under-regulating — and in some cases even deregulating — uses of AI in the areas of law enforcement, migration and national security if it does not change its position.

Insufficient, partial prohibitions on some of the most unacceptable and dangerous uses of AI, including biometric identification for mass surveillance purposes, emotion recognition and predictive policing, are likely to legitimise rather than limit some of the most dystopian and rights-violating surveillance practices.

Further, a blanket exemption in the regulation for AI in national security will undermine the legislation, allowing authorities an unjustifiably broad loophole to claim that AI in law enforcement, border management, or national security should not be subject to important protections in the Act.

Lastly, exemptions to public transparency requirements for police and migration control would leave the most "high-risk" AI systems in these areas shrouded in secrecy - making oversight over law enforcement and migration authorities practically impossible and regulation efforts in these areas will ultimately be meaningless. The credibility of the E.U.'s AI Act is hanging by a thread.

<u>Civil society</u>, experts and institutions including the European Data Protection Board and <u>European Data Protection Supervisor</u>, and the <u>UN's High Commission for Human</u> <u>Rights</u> have clearly outlined that the use of AI in these areas warrants a greater degree of regulation, oversight, and protection, not less. The use of AI in law enforcement in these areas exacerbates an already profound power imbalance between state authorities and people, and puts fundamental rights at further risk.

To set a high global standard for human rights-centred AI regulation, ensure public trust in AI and safeguard human rights in the face of this fastdeveloping technology, E.U. member states must change course. The Council must implement a full ban on remote biometric identification in publicly accessible spaces, as well as other unacceptable uses of AI in law enforcement. The AI Act must avoid arbitrary exemptions for national security, migration control and law enforcement, and it must ensure full accountability to the public for the uses of the most "high risk" AI. ¹

Appropriate checks and balances on state and police powers are essential to the functioning of a rights-based society. Artificial intelligence, particularly in law enforcement, migration and security poses unique threats for fundamental rights, safety and society. E.U. legislation must be up to the challenge.

We call on you, as representatives of the European Member States, to make the AI Act an instrument of protection and not an enabler of mass and discriminatory surveillance.

Sincerely,

Access Now European Digital Rights (EDRi) Border Violence Monitoring Network Algorights AlgorithmWatch Amnesty International Bits of Freedom European Center for Not-for-Profit Law (ECNL) European Network Against Racism (ENAR) Fair Trials Hermes Center for Transparency and Digital Human Rights Irish Council for Civil Liberties (ICCL) Lafede.cat - Organitzacions per la Justícia Global Platform for International Cooperation on Undocumented Migrants (PICUM)

1 For the full list of recommendations to AI Act on Law Enforcement, read the statement '<u>Regulate Police Technology</u>' signed by 116 civil society organisations.

For a fuller articulation of civil society's demands in the AI Act, see '<u>EU Trilogues: The AI Act must protect people's</u> rights: A civil society Statement on fundamental rights in the EU Artificial Intelligence Act', signed by 151 civil society organisations. Politiscope Privacy International