



EDRI

**PRIVACY  
INTERNATIONAL**



**access**

European Digital Rights (EDRI), Privacy International and Access welcome LIBE's Draft Opinion on TTIP. We would like to make recommendations for vote. This document complements our [Analysis on the amendments](#) tabled by LIBE members (attached).



**Support Compromise amendments: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13**



**Oppose Compromise amendments: 12**

**0 No position: 5, 9, 14, 15**

A short justification is given at the end of the voting list, when relevant.

**DRAFT VOTING LIST**

**on the DRAFT OPINION**

on recommendations to the European Commission on the negotiations for the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP)  
(2014/2228(INI))

Rapporteur: Jan Philipp Albrecht

PA: FDR 1045013 PE 546.558v01-00

AM: FDR 1047203 PE 546.797v01-00

Text in consideration	Amendment	Members	Civil society recommendations	Comments	Result
<b>Paragraph -1 (new)</b>	AM 24	Jan Philipp Albrecht	+		
<b>Point a</b>	COMP 6		+		
<b>Paragraph 1</b>	AM 26	Timothy Kirkhope	-	Deletion Falls if COMP 6 is adopted	
	AM 27	Axel Voss, Artis Pabriks	-	Falls if COMP 6 or AM 26 is adopted	
	AM 28	Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Marju Lauristin, Sylvie Guillaume	+	Falls if COMP 6 or AM-s 26 or 27 are adopted	

	AM 29	Jean Lambert, Ska Keller, Judith Sargentini	+	Falls if COMP 6 or AM-s 26 or 27 are adopted	
<b>Paragraph 2 a (new)</b>	AM 42	Sophia in 't Veld, Angelika Mlinar	+	Falls if COMP 6 is adopted	
<b>Paragraph 1 – point 1 (new)</b>	AM 30	Marina Albiol Guzmán	o		
<b>Recital A c (new)</b>	COMP 2		+		
<b>Paragraph 1 a (new)</b>	AM 31	József Nagy	+	Falls if COMP 2 is adopted	

<b>Paragraph 7</b>	AM 83 2nd part: "stresses the important ... campaign on TTIP"	Tomáš Zdechovský	+	Falls if COMP 2 is adopted	
<b>Recital A b (new)</b>	AM 3	Cornelia Ernst, Barbara Spinelli, Marina Albiol Guzmán	+	Falls if COMP 2 is adopted	
<b>Recital A c (new)</b>	AM 4	Cornelia Ernst, Barbara Spinelli, Marina Albiol Guzmán	+	Falls if COMP 2 is adopted	
<b>Paragraph 1 a (new)</b>	AM 32	Cornelia Ernst, Barbara Spinelli, Marina Albiol Guzmán	o		
<b>Paragraph 1 b (new)</b>	AM 33	József Nagy	+		
<b>Point b</b>	COMP 7		+		
<b>Paragraph 2</b>	AM 34	Axel Voss, Artis Pabriks	-	Identical Deletion Fall if COMP 7 is adopted	
	AM 35	Mariya Gabriel			
	AM 36	Timothy Kirkhope			
	AM 37	Harald Vilimsky, Georg Mayer	+	Falls if COMP 7 or AM-s 34=35=36 are adopted	
	AM 38	Marju Lauristin, Sylvie Guillaume, Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy	+	Falls if COMP 7 or AM-s 34=35=36 are adopted	
<b>Paragraph 2 a (new)</b>	AM 40	Cornelia Ernst, Barbara Spinelli, Marina Albiol Guzmán	+		
<b>Paragraph 2 b (new)</b>	AM 43	Cornelia Ernst, Barbara	+		

		Spinelli			
<b>Point c</b>	COMP 8			COMP 8 and AM 21 should be voted "en bloc"	
<b>Recital C a (new)</b>	AM 21	Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Marju Lauristin, Sylvie Guillaume	+		
<b>Paragraph -1 (new)</b>	AM 25	Axel Voss	-	Falls if COMP 8 is adopted	
<b>Paragraph 2 – point 1 (new)</b>	AM 39	Lorenzo Fontana	-	Falls if COMP 8 is adopted	

<b>Paragraph 2 b (new)</b>	AM 44	Sophia in 't Veld, Angelika Mlinar	+	Falls if COMP 8 is adopted	
<b>Paragraph 2 c (new)</b>	AM 45	Sophia in 't Veld, Angelika Mlinar	+	Falls if COMP 8 is adopted	
<b>Paragraph 3</b>	AM 46	Axel Voss	-	Identical Fall if COMP 8 is adopted	
	AM 48	Timothy Kirkhope			
	AM 49	Marju Lauristin, Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume	-		
	AM 50	Mariya Gabriel			
<b>Paragraph 3 a (new)</b>	AM 51	Marju Lauristin, Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume	+	Falls if COMP 8 is adopted	
<b>Paragraph 3</b>	AM 47	Lorenzo Fontana	0	Falls if AM-s 46=48=49=50 are adopted	
<b>Point d</b>	COMP 9		0		
<b>Paragraph 4</b>	AM 52	Axel Voss	-	Deletion Falls if COMP 9 is adopted	
	AM 54	Artis Pabriks	-	Identical Fall if COMP 9 or AM 52 is adopted	
	AM 55	Timothy Kirkhope			
	AM 58	Mariya Gabriel			
	AM 53	Lorenzo Fontana	+	Falls if COMP 9 or AM-s 52 or 57 are adopted	
<b>Point d a (new)</b>	COMP 10		+		
<b>Paragraph 4</b>	AM 56	Jean Lambert, Ska Keller, Judith Sargentini	+	Falls if COMP-s 9 or 10 or AM-s 52 or 54=55=58 are adopted	

<b>Paragraph 4 a (new)</b>	AM 59	Jean Lambert, Ska Keller, Judith Sargentini	+	Falls if COMP 10 is adopted	
	AM 60	Sophia in 't Veld, Angelika Mlinar	+	Falls if COMP 10 is adopted	
<b>Paragraph 4 b (new)</b>	AM 61	Jean Lambert, Ska Keller, Judith Sargentini	0	Falls if COMP 10 is adopted	
<b>Point e</b>	COMP 11		+		

<b>Paragraph 5</b>	AM 62	Axel Voss	-	Identical Fall if COMP 11 is adopted	
	AM 64	Mariya Gabriel			
	AM 63	Harald Vilimsky, Georg Mayer	+		
<b>Paragraph 5 a (new)</b>	AM 65	Timothy Kirkhope	-		
<b>Point f</b>	COMP 12		-		
<b>Paragraph 6</b>	AM 66	Axel Voss, Artis Pabriks	-	Identical Deletion Fall if COMP 12 is adopted	
	AM 67	Timothy Kirkhope			
	AM 69	Mariya Gabriel	+	Falls if COMP 12 or AM-s 66=67 are adopted	
	AM 71	Sophia in 't Veld, Angelika Mlinar	-	Falls if COMP 12 or AM-s 66=67 or 69 are adopted	
	AM 68	Marine Le Pen, Edouard Ferrand	+	Falls if COMP 12 or AM-s 66=67, 69 or 71 are adopted	
<b>Paragraph 6 a (new)</b>	AM 73	Mariya Gabriel	-	Falls if COMP 12 is adopted	
<b>Paragraph 6 c (new)</b>	AM 78	Cornelia Ernst, Barbara Spinelli	-	Falls if COMP 12 is adopted	
<b>Paragraph 6 d (new)</b>	AM 79	Cornelia Ernst, Barbara Spinelli	-	Falls if COMP 12 is adopted	
<b>Paragraph 6</b>	AM 70	Jean Lambert, Ska Keller, Judith Sargentini	+	Falls if AM-s 66=67 are adopted	
<b>Recital C e (new)</b>	COMP 5		0		

<b>Paragraph 6 a (new)</b>	AM 72	Ana Gomes	0	Falls if COMP 5 is adopted	
	1st part: "Recalls that most ... on money laundering"				
	AM 74	Cornelia Ernst, Barbara Spinelli, Marina Albiol Guzmán	+		
	AM 75	Timothy Kirkhope	-		

<b>Paragraph 6 b (new)</b>	AM 76	Cornelia Ernst, Barbara Spinelli	+		
	AM 77	Timothy Kirkhope	-		
<b>Point g</b>	COMP 13		+		
<b>Paragraph 7</b>	AM 81	Axel Voss	-	Falls if COMP 13 is adopted	
	AM 85	Sophia in 't Veld, Angelika Mlinar	+	Falls if COMP 13 or AM 81 is adopted	
	AM 83	Tomáš Zdechovský	+	Falls if COMP 13 or AM 85 is adopted	
	1st part: "and accountability"		+		
	AM 84	Jean Lambert, Ska Keller, Judith Sargentini	+	Falls if COMP 13 or AM 85 is adopted	
	AM 80	Marine Le Pen, Edouard Ferrand	+	Falls if COMP 13 or AM 85 is adopted	
	AM 82	Harald Vilimsky, Georg Mayer	+	Falls if COMP 13 or AM-s 85 or 80 are adopted	
<b>Point g b (new)</b>	COMP 14		0		
<b>Paragraph 7 a (new)</b>	AM 87	Mariya Gabriel	0	Falls if COMP 14 is adopted	
	AM 88	Louis Michel	0	Falls if COMP 14 is adopted	
	AM 86	Cornelia Ernst, Barbara Spinelli, Marina Albiol Guzmán	+		
<b>Point g c (new)</b>	COMP		0		

	15				
<b>Paragraph 6 a (new)</b>	AM 72 2nd part: "defends that enhanced ... recommen- dations and standards "	Ana Gomes	+	Falls if COMP 15 is adopted	
<b>Paragraph 7 b (new)</b>	AM 90	Louis Michel	0	Falls if COMP 15 is adopted	
<b>Paragraph 7 b (new)</b>	AM 89	Cornelia Ernst, Barbara Spinelli	+		
<b>Vote on Recitals</b>					
<b>Recital A</b>	AM 1	Axel Voss	-		
<b>Recital A a (new)</b>	AM 2	Cornelia Ernst, Barbara Spinelli, Marina Albiol Guzmán	+		
<b>Recital A e (new)</b>	AM 6	Cornelia Ernst, Barbara Spinelli, Marina Albiol Guzmán	+		
<b>Recital A f (new)</b>	AM 7	Cornelia Ernst, Barbara Spinelli, Marina Albiol Guzmán	+		
<b>Recital A g (new)</b>	AM 8	Cornelia Ernst, Barbara Spinelli, Marina Albiol Guzmán	+		
<b>Recital B</b>	COMP 3		+		
	AM 9	Axel Voss, Artis Pabriks	-	Identical Deletion Fall if COMP 3 is adopted	
	AM 10	Timothy Kirkhope			
	AM 12	Mariya Gabriel	-	Falls if COMP 3 or AM-s 9=10 are adopted	
	AM 11	Lorenzo Fontana	+	Falls if COMP 3 or AM-s 9=10 or 12 are adopted	
<b>Paragraph 2 a (new)</b>	AM 41	Heinz K. Becker	+	Falls if COMP 3 is adopted	

<b>Recital B a (new)</b>	AM 13	József Nagy	-		
	AM 14	Sophia in 't Veld, Angelika Mlinar	+		
<b>Recital B b (new)</b>	AM 15	Sophia in 't Veld, Angelika Mlinar	+		
<b>Recital B c (new)</b>	AM 16	Sophia in 't Veld, Angelika Mlinar	+		

<b>Recital C</b>	COMP 4		+		
	AM 17	Axel Voss	-	Falls if COMP 4 is adopted	
	AM 18	Mariya Gabriel	-	Falls if COMP 4 or AM 17 are adopted	
<b>Recital A d (new)</b>	AM 5	Cornelia Ernst, Barbara Spinelli, Marina Albiol Guzmán	+	Falls if COMP 4 is adopted	
<b>Paragraph 4</b>	AM 57	Marju Lauristin, Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume	+	Falls if COMP 4 or AM-s 52, 54=55=58 or 56 are adopted	
<b>Indent (new)</b>	COMP 1		+		
<b>Recital C a (new)</b>	AM 19	Axel Voss	+	Falls if COMP 1 is adopted	
	AM 20	Mariya Gabriel	+	Falls if COMP 1 or AM 19 is adopted	
	AM 22	Louis Michel	0		
<b>Recital C b (new)</b>	AM 23	Louis Michel	0		

Vote on the text as modified:

Vote	+	-	a
------	---	---	---

### COMPROMISE AMENDMENTS

#### COMP AM 1 - Indent (new) **SUPPORT**

- *having regard to its resolution of 12 March 2014 on the US NSA surveillance programme, surveillance bodies in various Member States and their impact on EU citizens' fundamental rights and on transatlantic cooperation in Justice and Home Affairs<sup>1</sup>;*

*(covers AMs 19 Voss, 20 Gabriel)*

*Comments:* We welcome this amendment, as it recalls the strong opinion of the Parliament

<sup>1</sup> *Texts adopted, P7\_TA(2014)0230.*

against mass surveillance programmes and its effects on the fundamental rights and freedoms of EU citizens.

#### COMP AM 2 - Recital Ac (new) **SUPPORT**

*Ac. whereas both Article 1 and Article 10 (3) of the TEU stipulate that decisions shall be taken as openly and as closely as possible to the citizen; whereas transparency and open dialogue between the partners, including citizens, are of utmost importance during the negotiations and in the implementing phase as well; whereas the Parliament endorses the Ombudsman's call for a transparent approach;*

*(covers AMs 3, 4 Ernst/Spinelli/Guzmán, 31 Nagy, 83 Zdechovský (second part: "stresses the important ... campaign on TTIP"))*

*Comments:* This compromise amendment should be supported, as it underlines the importance of transparency and engagement with citizens during the negotiations.

#### COMP AM 3 - Recital B **SUPPORT**

*B. whereas ongoing negotiations on international trade agreements, including the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP), and also the Trade in Services Agreement (TiSA), also ~~clearly~~ touch upon international data flows ~~and data processing, including the processing and transfer of personal data~~, while excluding privacy and data protection entirely, which will be discussed in parallel track within the framework of the US-EU Safe Harbor and the Data Protection Umbrella Agreement;*

*(covers AMs 9 Voss/Pabriks, 11 Fontana, 12 Gabriel, 41 Becker)*

*Comments:* We urge support for this amendment. Data protection and the right to privacy are fundamental rights, which cannot be subject to trade negotiations. Any adjustment to the current European data protection framework, including regulation for the transfer or flow of data to third countries should be discussed in other fora than trade discussions.

#### COMP AM 4 - Recital C **SUPPORT**

*C. whereas the US negotiators have proposed a draft chapter on e-commerce for the TTIP in the 7th round of negotiations; whereas this draft is not available to Members of the European Parliament, ~~including rapporteurs in the competent committees~~; whereas the leaked draft US text on e-commerce in the TiSA agreement would undermine EU rules and safeguards for the transfer of personal data to third countries; whereas the Parliament reserves the right to express its opinion after consulting any future text proposals and drafts of the TTIP agreement, ~~including leaked documents~~;*

*(covers AMs 5 Ernst/Spinelli/Guzmán, 17 Voss, 18 Gabriel, 57 Lauristin/Revault/ Guillaume)*

*Comments:* We recommend you to support this amendment for two reasons. First, the European Parliament must remain fully informed at all stages of the trade negotiations, as Article 218(10) of TFEU requires. Therefore, it is important for the Parliament to restate this legal obligation.



Second, it is reliably reported by the European Commission that the US e-commerce proposal on TiSA is similar to the one proposed in TTIP. This text includes measures which are contrary to EU adequacy rules on data transfers to third countries. We therefore urge you to support this text.

#### COMP AM 5 - Recital Ce (new) NO POSITION

*Ce. whereas most EU Member States and the United States have ratified the OECD Convention on Combating Bribery of Foreign Public Officials in International Business Transactions; whereas several EU Member States and the US have ratified the UN Convention against Corruption; whereas several EU Member States and the US are members of the Financial Action Task Force on money laundering;*

*(covers AM 72 Gomes (first part: "Recalls that most ... on money laundering"))*

*Comments:* This falls outside of our scope.

#### COMP AM 6 - point a **SUPPORT**

*(a) ~~1. to ensure~~ **Believes** that the agreement **should** guarantees full respect for EU fundamental rights standards through the inclusion of a **legally binding and suspensive** human rights clause as a standard part of EU trade agreements with third countries; ~~to take into account that the Parliament would not be able to accept TTIP agreement without such a clause;~~*

*(covers AMs 27 Voss/Pabriks, 28 Revault/Lauristin/Guillaume, 29 Lambert/Keller/Sargentini, 42 In't Veld/Milnar)*

*Comments:* It is very important to support this compromise amendment. Ensuring both parties' respect for fundamental rights and mutual recognition of rights through the inclusion of an accessible and enforceable human rights clause should be a condition sine qua non.

#### COMP AM 7 - point b **SUPPORT**

*(b) ~~2. Recalls its resolution of 12 March 2014 on the US NSA surveillance programme, surveillance bodies in various Member States and their impact on EU citizens<sup>2</sup> fundamental rights and on transatlantic cooperation in Justice and Home Affairs<sup>1</sup>; to keep in mind recalls~~ that the consent of the European Parliament to the final TTIP agreement could be endangered as long as the blanket mass surveillance activities are not completely abandoned and an adequate solution is found for the data privacy rights of EU citizens, including administrative and judicial redress, **as stated in the paragraph 74 of the Parliament resolution of 12 March 2014;***

*(covers AMs 34 Voss/Pabriks=35 Gabriel, 37 Vilimsky/Mayer, 38 Lauristin/Guillaume/Revault)*

*Comments:* This compromise amendment reiterates the position of the European Parliament. The Snowden revelations confirm the need for the US to give binding assurances that mass surveillance programmes on EU citizens will be abandoned and prohibited.

<sup>1</sup> ~~Texts adopted, P7\_TA(2014)0230.~~

COMP AM 8 - point c **SUPPORT**

*(c) 3. ~~Recalls that Article XIV of the General Agreement on Trade and Services (GATS) clearly refers to privacy and data protection as an exception which cannot be considered a trade barrier; stresses that EU data protection legislation cannot be deemed an ‘arbitrary or unjustifiable discrimination’ in the application of Article XIV of the GATS; stresses that to incorporate, as a key priority, a comprehensive and unambiguous horizontal self-standing provision based on Article XIV of the GATS that fully exempts the existing and future EU legal framework rules on the protection of personal data from the agreement should be incorporated, without any condition that it must be consistent with other parts of the TTIP, to ensure that the Agreement will not preclude the enforcement of exceptions on the supply of services justifiable under the relevant WTO rules (Articles XIV and XIVbis of the GATS);~~*

*(covers AMs 25 Voss, 39 Fontana, 44 In't Veld/Mlinar, 45 In't Veld/Mlinar, 46 Voss = 49 Lauristin/Revault/Guillaume = 50 Gabriel, 51 Lauristin/ Revault/Guillaume)*

*Comments:* This is the key and fundamental provision of the whole opinion, since such a stand-alone exception can ensure the sovereignty of EU data protection laws. We also strongly support the notion that data protection – or any fundamental right - cannot be considered a trade barrier, so urge you to vote also for AM 21

COMP AM 9 - point d **NO POSITION**

*(d) 4. to ensure ~~Recalls~~ that personal data can be transferred outside the Union only if the provisions on third-country transfers in EU data protection laws are respected; ~~recalls that the Commission can only to negotiate only~~ on provisions which touch upon the flow of personal data provided that the full application of EU data protection rules is guaranteed ~~and respected; is seriously concerned about the TiSA draft text, which would completely undermine all EU rules and safeguards for the transfer of personal data to third countries;~~*

*(covers AMs 52 Voss, 53 Fontana, 54 Pabriks = 58 Gabriel)*

*Comments:* We cannot support this compromise amendment as it implies personal information data flow provisions in TTIP; it contradicts compromise amendment 3 which states that data protection and flows should be excluded entirely. However we recognise that the amendments it replaces have equally weak, or weaker provisions – we therefore remain neutral with regards to this amendment.

COMP AM 10 - point da (new) **SUPPORT**

*(da) to ensure that the draft chapter on e-commerce proposed by US negotiators in the 7th TTIP negotiation round will not be accepted as a base for negotiations, should it contain similar conditions to the US draft chapter on e-commerce in the TiSA negotiations; to oppose the US draft TiSA chapter on e-commerce with regard to personal data; to ensure a satisfactory conclusion of the Safe Harbor and the Data Protection Umbrella Agreement ;*

*(covers AMs 56, 59, 61 Lambert/Keller/Sargentini, 60 In't Veld/Mlinar)*

*Comments:* We agree that the US draft chapter on e-commerce in the TiSA negotiations is not compatible with current EU data protection with regards to third-country transfers, and agree that

a fundamental reform of Safe Harbor is long overdue, as is an adequate outcome to the Umbrella Agreement negotiations. We therefore strongly support this compromise amendment and urge you to vote for it.

COMP AM 11 - point e **SUPPORT**

*(e) ~~5. to keep in mind~~ **Recalls** that EU rules on the transfer of personal data may prohibit the processing of such data in third countries if they do not meet the EU adequacy standard; ~~to insists~~ that any ~~requirements provisions in the agreement which touch upon~~ for the localisation of data processing equipment and establishments ~~must not undermine these are in line with~~ EU rules on data transfers; ~~to cooperate with the US and other third countries in the appropriate settings to adopt adequate high data protection standards around the world, in particular in the framework of the US-EU Safe Harbor and the Data Protection Umbrella Agreement;~~*

*(covers AMs 62 Voss = 64 Gabriel)*

*Comments:* As remarked above, the US proposal in TiSA, and the rumoured offer in TTIP, would undermine current and future EU Data Protection legislation. Therefore this compromise amendment is very necessary and we urge you to vote for it.

COMP AM 12 - point f **OPPOSE**

*(f) ~~6. to ensure~~ **Recalls** that decisions on legal conflicts about fundamental rights ~~are may~~ only ~~be~~ made by competent ordinary courts; ~~to ensure is concerned~~ that provisions on investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS) ~~do not may~~ prevent *equal* access to justice and undermine democracy;*

*(covers AMs 66 Voss/Pabriks, 68 Le Pen/Ferrand, 69 Gabriel, 71 In't Veld/Mlinar, 73 Gabriel, 78, 79 Ernst/Spinelli)*

*Comments:* We urge you to reject compromise amendment 12 and urge LIBE members to oppose the inclusion of investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS) in TTIP, even if it is reformed. We share the rapporteur's concern that provisions on ISDS may prevent access to justice and undermine democracy because ISDS does it by definition. ISDS is a flawed mechanism that shall be rejected.

COMP AM 13 - point g **SUPPORT**

*(g) ~~7. Recalls to fully respect~~ the need for transparency *and accountability* in the negotiations throughout the entire process; ~~reminds the Commission of and~~ its obligation *according to Article 218(10) TFEU, which, in a recent ruling, the Court of Justice has confirmed as being of statutory character*<sup>1</sup>, to keep Parliament fully informed on an immediate basis at all stages of the negotiations; ~~to urgently work towards an agreement with the US side regarding the access of all Parliamentarians to the proposals and the consolidated~~*

<sup>1</sup> Case C-658/11, judgement of 24 June 2014.

~~*negotiation texts; insists on to ensure*~~ access for the public to relevant negotiation documents from all parties, with the exception of those which are to be classified with clear justification on a case-by-case basis *with a public justification of the extent to which access to the undisclosed parts of the document in question is likely to specifically and actually undermine the interests protected by the exceptions*, in line with Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2001 regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents<sup>1</sup>; *to ensure that the agreement in no way weakens the laws of the EU or the Member States on public access to official documents;*

*(covers AMs 80 Le Pen/Ferrand, 81 Voss, 82 Vilimsky/Mayer, 83 Zdechovský (first part: "and accountability"), 84 Lambert/Keller/Sargentini, 85 In't Veld/Mlinar)*

*Comments:* We strongly support this compromise amendment, as explained fully in our comments on the proposed amendments 81 – 85 ([link](#)).

#### **COMP AM 14 - point gb (new) NO POSITION**

*(gb) to increase political pressure on the US in the framework of the negotiations in order to guarantee full visa reciprocity and equal treatment for all citizens of the Member States of the EU without discrimination in terms of their access to the US;*

*(covers AMs 87 Gabriel, 88 Michel)*

*Comments:* This amendment falls outside of our scope.

#### **COMP AM 15 - point gc (new) NO POSITION**

*(gc) to include a clause on corruption, tax fraud, tax evasion and money laundering in the agreement, in order to establish enhanced cooperation between the Member States and the US, including mechanisms for more efficient international cooperation, mutual legal assistance, asset recovery, technical assistance, exchange of information and implementation of international recommendations and standards;*

*(covers AMs 72 Gomes (second part: "defends that enhanced ... recommendations and standards"), 90 Michel)*

*Comments:* This amendment falls outside of our scope.

<sup>1</sup> OJ L 145, 31.5.2001, p. 43.