

Council of the European Union General Secretariat

Interinstitutional files: 2020/0361 (COD) Brussels, 08 March 2021

WK 2574/2021 REV 2

COMPET MI JAI TELECOM LIMITE CT PI AUDIO CONSOM CODEC

This is a paper intended for a specific community of recipients. Handling and further distribution are under the sole responsibility of community members.

NOTE

From: To:	Presidency Working Party on Competitiveness and Growth (Internal Market - Attachés) Working Party on Competitiveness and Growth (Internal Market)
Subject:	Digital Services Act: Consolidated comments on Chapters 1 + 2 and respective recitals

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
2020/0361 (COD)		 DK (Comments): The Danish government has a general parliamentary reservation on the whole text. The comments made in this document are based on the preliminary position of the Danish government.
		 The Danish government generally supports the intention to create a more responsible platform economy. Digitization has brought many opportunities for both consumers and businesses. But we also see challenges arising, which calls for stricter requirements.
		 It is highly problematic that private companies effectively decide how freedom of expression and information can be exercised on their platforms. European citizens experience
		content is removed, or their accounts are blocked with no democratic safeguards or
		At the same time, the platforms' efforts are not proving adequate in the removal of illegal
		content, which is distributed with speed and efficacy on the platforms. Thus, citizens risk
		increasingly being exposed to i.e. terrorist content, appeals to violence, and the sharing of
		 For the Danish Government it is important that we find the right balance between

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
		 removal of illegal content. The proposal is broadly well-balanced and contains many positive elements. Among other things we are pleased that the DSA preserves and builds on the core principles of the e-commerce directive and that the measures introduced in the DSA to counter online harm focus on illegal content.
		 It is also positive that the DSA applies to third-country intermediary service providers in so far as they provide services to recipients that have their place of establishment or residence in the Union, irrespective of the place of establishment of the providers of those services. Together with the principle of "traceability of traders" set out in article 22, this is a very important step in order to avoid consumers unknowingly buying i.e. dangerous products, cosmetics containing dangerous chemicals or phone chargers that set on fire
		 Moreover, the strengthened cooperation and coordination between Member State Authorities and the Commission should lead to a more effective and consistent application and enforcement of the horizontal framework and ensure a level playing field for businesses. FL (Comments)
		EL (Comments) We would like to thank the Presidency, the General Secretariat of the Council, and the

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
		legislative file. We clarify that we hold a general scrutiny reservation on the whole text of the proposal, and the following comments are only preliminary in nature, and non binding for our final position.
		LU (Comments)
		These comments on the Digital Services Act (DSA) proposal are preliminary and do not
		preclude comments and positions expressed in future discussions. Consultations within the Luxembourg Government and with relevant stakeholders are ongoing.
		IE (Comments)
		The recitals have been considered up to the point of the Articles that have been discussed at
		Working Party. There is a risk in commenting any further as until Articles have been considered
		it cannot be clear as to the implications of the relevant recitals. We therefore reserve the right
		to comment on later recitals at such time that the related articles have been duly considered.
		AT (Comments)
		We are grateful to the Presidency for this opportunity to provide comments on Chapters I and II. Please kindly note that consultations on
		our scrutiny reservation on the whole file. We would therefore also like to reserve our right to
		ask further questions or provide further

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE (Digital Services Act) and amending Directive 2000/31/EC	PEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL	COUNCIL on a Single Market For Digital Services
(Text with EEA relevance)		
THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION	JUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	
Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 114 thereof,	ereof,
Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	Commission,	
After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	e national parliaments,	
Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee1,	nomic and Social Committee ¹ ,	
Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ² ,	the Regions2,	
Having regard to the opinion of the European Data Protection Supervisor3,	a Protection Supervisor ³ ,	
Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	procedure,	
Whereas:		

0/31/EC of the European Parliament and of t farket ('Directive on electronic commerce') (C	
f the Council of 8 June 2000 on certain legal aspects of information society services, in particular electronic commerce, (OJ L 178, 17.7.2000, p. 1).	

(2) Member States are increasingly introducing, or are considering introducing, national laws on the matters covered by this Regulation, imposing, in particular, diligence requirements for providers of intermediary services. Those diverging national laws negatively affect the internal market, which,	(1) Information society services and especially intermediary services have become an important part of the Union's economy and daily life of Union citizens. Twenty years after the adoption of the existing legal framework applicable to such services laid down in Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁴ , new and innovative business models and services, such as online social networks and marketplaces, have allowed business users and consumers to impart and access information and engage in transactions in novel ways. A majority of Union citizens now uses those services on a daily basis. However, the digital transformation and increased use of those services has also resulted in new risks and challenges, both for individual users and for society as a whole.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
		Drafting suggestions	
DE (Comments) With regard to the rules of the proposal, we are not sure if the DSA does pursue the goal of full harmonisation. Unlike the draft DMA (Art. 1(1)), the DSA does not provide for an outspoken full		Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting engostions	Comments
pursuant to Article 26 of the Treaty, comprises an area without internal frontiers in which the free movement of goods and services and freedom of establishment are ensured, taking into account the inherently cross-border nature of the internet, which is generally used to provide those services. The conditions for the provision of intermediary services across the internal market should be harmonised, so as to provide businesses with access to new markets and opportunities to exploit the benefits of the		harmonisation approach. We see the necessity for national legislation in specific areas. There must be exemptions, e.g. for diverging domestic rules to promote cultural and linguistic diversity and to ensure pluralism, as long as the regulatory competence for these areas lies with the MS. Also, there must be room for national provisions with regard to combating hate speech, the protection of minors and for public safety. The
(3) Responsible and diligent behaviour by providers of intermediary services is essential for a safe, predictable and trusted online environment and for allowing Union citizens and other persons to exercise their fundamental rights guaranteed in the Charter of Fundamental Rights	SE (Drafting): (3) Responsible and diligent behaviour by providers of intermediary services is essential for a safe, predictable and trusted online environment and for allowing Union citizens and	IT (Comments): We attach a great importance to the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union. Therefore, we suggest to recall all the rights guaranteed in the Charter directly in recital (3)

(4) Therefore, in order to safeguard and improve the functioning of the internal market, a targeted set of uniform, effective and proportionate mandatory rules should be established at Union level. This Regulation provides the conditions for innovative digital services to emerge and to scale up in the internal market. The approximation of national regulatory measures at Union level concerning the requirements for providers of intermediary services is necessary in order to avoid and put an end to fragmentation of the internal market and to ensure legal certainty, thus reducing uncertainty for developers and fostering interoperability. By using requirements that are technology neutral, innovation should not be hampered but instead be stimulated.	of the European Union ('Charter'), in particular the freedom of expression and information and the freedom to conduct a business, and the right to non-discrimination.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	other persons to exercise their fundamental rights guaranteed in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union ('Charter'), in particular the freedom of expression and information and the freedom to conduct a business, and the right to non-discrimination and gender equality.	Drafting suggestions	
	rather than – or in addition to - recital (41). The actual provision not only might lead to different interpretation, but it also seems to give different value to some of the rights listed by the Charter than others.	Comments	

orma orma orma	(5) This Regulation should apply to providers	COMMISSION PROPOSAL
(Drafting): (5) This Regulation should apply to providers of certain information society services as defined in Directive (EU) 2015/1535 of the European Parliament and of the Council, that is, any service normally provided for remuneration, at a distance, by electronic means and at the individual request of a recipient. Specifically, this Regulation should apply to providers of intermediary services, and in particular intermediary services, consisting of services known as 'mere conduit', 'caching' and 'hosting' services, given that the exponential growth of the use made of those services, mainly for legitimate and socially beneficial purposes of all kinds, has also increased their role in the intermediation and spread of unlawful or otherwise harmful information and activities. Considering the particular characteristics of the services concerned and the corresponding need to make the providers thereof subject to certain specific obligations, it is necessary to expressly address online search engine services as a sub-category of intermediary services in addition to mere conduit, caching and hosting services. Online search engines should fall under the definition provided for in point (5) of Article 2 of	FR	Drafting suggestions
 (Comments): Proposition de compléter le considérant pour y intégrer les <u>moteurs de recherche</u>. Les autorités françaises estiment que les services intermédiaires devraient inclure, outre les services de simple conduite, de cache ou d'hébergement, également les moteurs de recherche. Par ailleurs, les autorités françaises ne souhaitent pas que les obligations de la section 4 (très grandes plateformes numériques) soient limitées à une sous-catégorie des hébergeurs. Dès lors que ce régime d'obligations permet une prise en compte des particularités des activités des diverses catégories de service, elles proposent de les appliquer également à d'autres catégories d'acteurs, en particulier les très grands moteurs de recherche et certains très grands services de messagerie, qui peuvent soulever des risques systémiques 	FR	Comments

⁵ Directive (EU) 2015/1535 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 September 2015 laying down a procedure for the provision of information in the field of technical regulations and of rules on Information Society services (OJ L 241, 17.9.2015, p. 1).

(6) In practice, certain providers of intermediary services intermediate in relation to services that may or may not be provided by electronic means, such as remote information technology services, transport, accommodation or delivery services. This Regulation should apply only to intermediary services and not affect requirements set out in Union or national law relating to products or services intermediated through intermediary services, including in situations where the intermediary service constitutes an integral part of another service which is not an intermediary service as specified in the case law of the Court of Justice of the	Regulation (Et services that al to perform sear or all websites basis of a query keyword, voice and returns resi information rel be found	COMMISSION PROPOSAL I	
	Regulation (EU) 2019/1150, namely digital services that allow users to input queries in order to perform searches of, in principle, all websites, or all websites in a particular language, on the basis of a query on any subject in the form of a keyword, voice request, phrase or other input, and returns results in any format in which information related to the requested content can be found	Drafting suggestions	
 ES (Comments): ES welcomes the clarification regarding intermediaries services that constitute an integral part of another underlying service that may or may not be provided by electronic means. In such cases, the provisions of the CJEU jurisprudence will be taking into account to discern whether it is an information society service, making it advisable to list in this recital the most recent Judgments (Uberpop, AirBnB). DK (Comments): The recital refers to case law of the Court of Justice of the European Union. As this is an area which gives rise to many questions and in order to secure correct application of the regulation, it 		Comments	

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
 specified or explained more detailed. IE (Comments): Clarification is requested on the effect of this recital say when comparing Booking. Com with a site like AirBnB. Which elements of these platforms that clearly deal with accommodation are in scope and which are out of scope. SK (Comments): On this recital (and within this regulation in general), we find that a clearer, more substantial definition of the intermediary service is considered to be an integral part of providing an another service, and when it is not? This specification could provide more clarity and legal certainity for providers, f.i. whether they fall under the scope of this Regulation Furthermore, lack of precision might obstruct an effective monitoring of the market. We would welcome a more detailed definition of what all is not considered to be an intermediary service when provided through the use of an intermediary service (reliable and foreseeable criteria strengthening legal certainty) 	Comments	

(7) In order to ensure the effectiveness of the rules laid down in this Regulation and a level playing field within the internal market, those rules should apply to providers of intermediary services irrespective of their place of establishment or residence, in so far as they provide services in the Union, as evidenced by a substantial connection to the Union.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL
AT (Drafting): (7) In order to ensure the effectiveness of the rules laid down in this Regulation and a level playing field within the internal market, those rules should apply to providers of intermediary services in the Union, as evidenced by a substantial connection to the Union.	Drafting suggestions
 AT (Comments): The wording should be in line with Art. 2(d); there is a difference between "offering" and "providing" services. DE (Comments) We find it imperative to respect the rules on jurisdiction established in international law, especially with regard to third countries. On the one hand, these rules allow (as an element of the "jurisdiction to prescribe") to prescribe binding rules for contractual relationships with businesses or consumers established in the rules on the "jurisdiction to enforce" asks for respect of the territorial boundaries of any enforcement in the internet (rf. Tallinn Manual 2.0 on the International Law Applicable to Cyber Operations). Therefore, we suggest to insert language detailing the imperative of respect to prescription of law applicable on transactions and with respect to enforcement respectively 	Comments

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
(8) Such a substantial connection to the Union should be considered to exist where the service provider has an establishment in the Union or, in its absence, on the basis of the existence of a significant number of users in one or more Member States, or the targeting of activities towards one or more Member States. The targeting of activities towards one or more Member States can be determined on the basis of all relevant circumstances, including factors such as the use of a language or a currency generally used in that Member State, or the possibility of ordering products or services, or using a national top level domain. The targeting of activities towards a Member State could also be derived from the availability of an application in the relevant national application store, from the provision of local advertising or advertising in the language used in that Member State, or from the handling of customer relations such as by providing customer service in the language generally used in that Member State. A substantial connection should also be assumed where a service provider directs its activities to one or more Member State as set out in Article 17(1)(c) of Regulation (EU) 1215/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁶ . On the	DE (Drafting) "[] The targeting of activities towards one or more Member States can be determined on the basis of all relevant circumstances, including factors such as the use of a language or a currency generally used in that Member State, or the possibility of ordering products or services, or <u>the use of using</u> -a national top level domain <u>of</u> <u>a Member State</u> , []"	 IT (Comments): Unclear when you consider that a number of users is significant in one or more Member states. This may lead to different interpretations by the MS. Can the Commission provide details? ES (Comments): ES supports Art. 1(3), which establishes the application of this Regulation to all intermediary services that provide their services to users established in the EU, regardless of the place of established the EU). In this regard, in order to be able to assess whether a provider established in a third country directs its services to the territory of a Member State, the CJEU in the "Pammer Case" established a non-exhaustive list of criteria that may constitute evidence in this regards. These criteria have been correctly included in this recital, in development of the definition contained in article 2 (d). DK (Comments): We support the provision, however it seems necessary that it is specified when a provider of the service of the set of the service of the service of the service of the service of the set of the set of the sectified when a provider of the seems necessary that it is specified when a provider of the set of the set

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
website from the Union cannot, on that ground alone, be considered as establishing a substantial connection to the Union.		intermediary service has a significant number of users. DE
		(Comments) See drafting suggestion re. national top level domain of a MS.
		We also propose to consider, whether the top level domain "eu" should also be referred to.
not affect the application of rules resulting from other acts of Union law regulating certain aspects of the provision of intermediary services, in particular Directive 2000/31/EC, with the exception of those changes introduced by this Regulation, Directive 2010/13/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council as amended, ⁷ and Regulation (EU)/ of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁸ – proposed Terrorist Content Online Regulation.	(Drafting) [] leave Member States the possibility of adopting certain measures at national level. Furthermore, obligations to keep records for other purposes, in particular in the field of taxation, remain unaffected by this Regulation	(Comments): See specific comments to art.1.5 on the necessity of further clarification of the relationship between "lex specialis" and the DSA provisions. SE (Comments): In the field of IPR, not only copyright, the InfoSoc Directive (2001/29) and the Enforcement Directive (2004/28) contains
amended, ⁷ and Regulation (EU)/ of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁸ – proposed Terrorist Content Online Regulation. Therefore, this Regulation leaves those other acts, which are to be considered lex specialis in relation to the generally applicable framework set out in this Regulation, unaffected. However, the rules of this Regulation apply in respect of issues that are not or not fully addressed by those other		In the field of IPR, not only copyright, the InfoSoc Directive (2001/29) and the Enforcement Directive (2004/28) contains provisions on injunctions and right to information. However, the provisions are not as detailed as articles 8-9 in this regulation and leave Member States the possibility of adopting more detailed measures at national level. The last sentence of recital 9 seems to suggest that

⁷ Directive 2010/13/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 10 March 2010 on the coordination of certain provisions laid down by law, regulation or administrative action in Member States concerning the provision of audiovisual media services (Audiovisual Media Services Directive) (Text with EEA relevance), OJ L 95, 15.4.2010, p. 1.
⁸ Regulation (EU) .../., of the European Parliament and of the Council – proposed Terrorist Content Online Regulation

acts as well as issues on which those other acts leave Member States the possibility of adopting certain measures at national level.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
 national provisions implementing the relevant provisions in the Infosoc- and enforcement directives must comply with articles 8-9 in this regulation. Is that a correct interpretation? At the same time recital 30 seems to suggest that the conditions and requirements laid down in this regulation (connected to article 8-9) are without prejudice to other Union acts providing for similar systems, which could include the InfoSoc Directive (2001/29) and the Enforcement Directive (2004/28) that contains provisions on injunctions and right to information. BE (Comments): "the rules of this Regulation apply in respect of issues on which those other acts leave Member States the possibility of adopting certain measures at national level". This policy space of member states now seems to be occupied by the DSA. How much space is left for specific policy in this respect of the member states? For example we refer to art. 7a of AVMS directive which states that Member States may take measures to ensure the appropriate propriate measures without being completely regulated by DSA.	Comments	

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
 DE (Comments) We welcome that recital 9 does clarify that the DSA leaves those other acts, "which are to be considered lex specialis in relation to the generally applicable framework set out in this Regulation", unaffected. However, questions still arise about the relation of the DSA and those other acts (eCD, AVMSD) (please see comment re. Art. 1(5).) It must be ensured that MS domestic rules that are currently legally permissible under European Law do <i>stay</i> permissible. This is why we take a critical stance re, the last sentence of recital 9 of the proposal. In any case, it must be ensured that the application of Union law in the field of taxation, in particular Directive 2006/112/EC, Directive 2011/16/EU and Implementing Regulation (EU) 282/2011, will not be limited by this regulation. This is notably the case for record keeping obligations for platforms going beyond Article 22. 	Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
(10) For reasons of clarity, it should also be specified that this Regulation is without prejudice to Regulation (EU) 2019/1148 of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁹ and Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 of the European Parliament and of the Council, ¹⁰ , Directive 2002/58/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹¹ and Regulation [/] on temporary derogation from certain provisions of Directive 2002/58/EC ¹² as well as Union law on consumer protection, in particular Directive 2005/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹³ , Directive 2011/83/EU of the European Parliament and of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹⁴ and Directive 93/13/EEC of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹⁵ , as amended by Directive (EU)	AT (Drafting): (10) For reasons of clarity, it should also be specified that this Regulation is without prejudice to the rules of criminal procedural law, irrespective if such rules stem from national. Union or international law, and Regulation (EU) 2019/1148 [] . Regulation (EU) 1215/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2012 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters as well as Union law on consumer protection, in particular [] and on the protection of personal data, in particular []. The protection of individuals	AT (Comments): See the proposed amendments to Art. 1 paragraph 5, Art. 8 and Art. 9,

5

⁹ Regulation (EU) 2019/1148 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the marketing and use of explosives precursors, amending Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 and repealing Regulation (EU) No 98/2013 (OJ L 186, 11.7.2019, p. 1).

¹⁹ Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 June 2019 on promoting fairness and transparency for business users of online intermediation services (OJ L 186, 11.7.2019, p. 57).

¹¹Directive 2002/58/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 July 2002 concerning the processing of personal data and the protection of privacy in the electronic communications sector (Directive on privacy and electronic communications), OJ L 201, 31.7.2002, p. 37.

¹² Regulation [.../...] on temporary derogation from certain provisions of Directive 2002/58/EC.

¹³ Directive 2005/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 May 2005 concerning unfair business-to-consumer commercial practices in the internal market and European Parliament and of the Council ('Unfair Commercial Practices Directive') amending Council Directive 84/450/EEC, Directives 97/7/EC, 98/27/EC and 2002/65/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and Regulation (EC) No 2006/2004 of the

¹⁴Directive 2011/83/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2011 on consumer rights; amending Council Directive 93/13/EEC and Directive 1999/44/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and repealing Council Directive 85/577/EEC and Directive 97/7/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council

Council Directive 93/13/EEC of 5 April 1993 on unfair terms in consumer contracts.

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
2019/2161 of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹⁶ , and on the protection of personal data, in particular Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council. ¹⁷ The protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data is solely governed by the rules of Union law on that subject, in particular Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and Directive 2002/58/EC. This Regulation is also without prejudice to the rules of Union law on working conditions.	solely governed by the rules of Union law on that subject, in particular Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and Directive 2002/58/EC. This Regulation is also without prejudice to the rules of Union law on working conditions.	
(11) It should be clarified that this Regulation is without prejudice to the rules of Union law on copyright and related rights, which establish specific rules and procedures that should remain unaffected.		
(12) In order to achieve the objective of ensuring a safe, predictable and trusted online environment, for the purpose of this Regulation the concept of "illegal content" should be defined broadly and also covers information relating to illegal content, products, services and activities. In particular that concept should be understood	AT (Drafting): (12) [] In particular, that concept should be understood to refer to information, irrespective of its form, that under the applicable law is either itself illegal, such as illegal hate speech or	AT (Comments): The offering of short-term rentals that are illegal according to the law of member states should also fall under "illegal content", as it is stated on

to refer to information, irrespective of its form, that under the applicable law is either itself illegal, such as illegal hate speech or terrorist content and unlawful discriminatory content, or that relates to activities that are illegal, such as the sharing of images depicting child sexual abuse, unlawful non-consensual sharing of private images, online stalking, the sale of non- compliant or counterfeit products, the non- authorised use of copyright protected material or activities involving infringements of consumer protection law. In this regard, it is immaterial whether the illegality of the information or activity results from Union law or from national law that is consistent with Union law and what the precise nature or subject matter is of the law in question.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
terrorist content and unlawful discriminatory content, or that relates to activities that are illegal, such as the sharing of images depicting child sexual abuse, unlawful non-consensual sharing of private images, online stalking, the sale of non-compliant or counterfeit products, the non-authorised use of copyright protected material, <u>accommodation services not compliant</u> with Union law or the law of a Member State on <u>short-term rental platforms</u> or activities involving infringements of consumer protection law. [] PL (Drafting): In order to achieve the objective of ensuring a safe, predictable and trusted online environment, for the purpose of this Regulation the concept of "illegal content" should underpin the general idea that what is illegal offline should also be illegal content, products, services and activities. In particular, that concept should be defined broadly under the applicable law is either itself illegal, such as illegal hate speech or terrorist content and unlawful discriminatory content, or that relates to activities that are illegal, such as the sharing of images depicting child sexual abuse, unlawful non-consensual sharing of private images, online stalking, the sale of non-	Drafting suggestions	
 PL (Comments): Introducing the wording what is illegal offline is also illegal online would certainly reinforce the purpose of the entire regulation. Recital 12 refers to "illegal content" in extremely general terms. The regulation should provide a clear distinction between information/activities being illegal on the grounds of UE and national law. DK (Comments): It appears from this recital, that it is immaterial whether the illegality of the information or activity results from Union law or from national law that is consistent with Union law or from national law that is consistent with Union law that is consistent with Union law that is consistent with the charter and fundamental rights recognised by the Charter and fundamental rights constituting general principles of Union Law, it should be clarified. LU (Comments): We understand the reference to "information relating to" as meaning that the focus is therefore exclusively on information conveyed, not for instance on product non-conformity. 	Comments	

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
compliant or counterfeit products, the non- activities involving infringements of consumer protection law. In this regard, it is immaterial whether the illegality of the information or activity results from Union law or from national law that is consistent with Union law and what the precise nature or subject matter is of the law in question. SE (Drafting): (12) In order to achieve the objective of ensuring a safe, predictable and trusted online environment, for the purpose of this Regulation the concept of "illegal content" should be defined broadly and also covers information relating to illegal content, products, services and activities. In particular, that concept should be understood to refer to information, irrespective of its form, that under the applicable law is illegal, such as illegal hate speech, explicit threats of a sexual nature or terrorist content. It also relates to illegal dissemination of activities that are illegal, such as the sharing of images depicting child sexual abuse, unlawful non-consensual sharing of private images, and online stalking. The sale of non-compliant or counterfeit products, the non- authorised use of copyright protected material or activities involving infringements of consumer	Drafting suggestions	
DE (Comments) We are not sure whether animals and plants, especially specimens taken from the wild, are included in the concept of "illegal content", the same applies to plants and seeds imported illegally in the EU, to GMO-plants and feed as well as to fish and other animals (esp. puppies) traded illegally in the EU. The question arises as those objects are not "produced", they are thus no "products". We ask for an explicit clarification in recital 12 that the concept also includes the illegal trade and use of animals and plants (see also comment re. Art. 2 lit. g). In Environmental Law, there are different definitions of "product". These could be an obstacle to the implementation of this Regulation. We recommend to specify the meaning of "product" in the context of this Regulation, for instance by inserting a definition. From the viewpoint of Chemicals Law, it is not clear whether the term "product" in this context also encompasses substances or mixtures, that are traded via online-platforms in violation of Chemicals Law, e.g. Regulation 1907/2006 (REACH), Regulation 517/2014 on fluorinated greenhouse gases or Regulation	Comments	

		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
(12) In order to achieve the objective of ensuring a safe, predictable and trusted online environment, for the purpose of this Regulation the concept of "illegal content" should be defined broadly and also covers information relating to illegal content, products, services and activities. In particular, that concept should be understood to refer to information, irrespective of its form, that under the applicable law is either itself illegal, such as illegal hate speech or terrorist content and unlawful discriminatory content, or that relates to activities that are illegal, such as the sharing of images depicting child sexual abuse, unlawful non-consensual sharing of private images, online stalking, the sale of non- compliant or counterfeit products, the sale of	afti destanti de	Drafting suggestions	
	layer, and not just end-products containing these substances. We assume that a broader definition is intended	Comments	

 (13) Considering the particular characteristics of the services concerned and the corresponding need to make the providers thereof subject to certain specific obligations, it is necessary to distinguish, within the broader category of providers of hosting services as defined in this Regulation, the subcategory of online platforms. Online platforms, such as social networks or online marketplaces, should be defined as providers of hosting services that not only store information provided by the recipients of the services at their request, but that also disseminate that information to the public, again at their request. However, in order to avoid imposing overly broad obligations, providered as online platforms where the dissemination to the public is merely a minor and purely ancillary feature of (13) Con of the services as defined in this see of the services of not the public, again at their platforms where the dissemination to the public is merely a minor and purely ancillary feature of broad oblig 	produc confor [/consi copyri, involu- involu- illegali from L consist nature	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
FR (Drafting): (13) Considering the particular characteristics of the services concerned and the corresponding need to make the providers thereof subject to certain specific obligations, it is necessary to distinguish, within the broader category of providers of hosting services as defined in this Regulation, the subcategory of online platforms. Online platforms, such as social networks, content-sharing platforms or online marketplaces, should be defined as providers of hosting services that not only store information provided by the recipients of the service at their request, but that also disseminate that information to the public, again at their request. However, in order to avoid imposing overly broad obligations, providers of hosting services	products or the provision of services in non- conformity with consumer protection rules [/consumer law], the non-authorised use of copyright protected material or activities involving infringements of consumer protection law. In this regard, it is immaterial whether the illegality of the information or activity results from Union law or from national law that is consistent with Union law and what the precise nature or subject matter is of the law in question.	Drafting suggestions	
 FR (Comments): Ajout de la mention des plateformes de partage de contenus comme relevant de la définition des plateformes numériques BE (Comments): We understand that comment section in online newspaper should not be considered as an online platform? Is it then merely a hosting service? The information in this comment section is however disseminated to the public, at the request of the publisher, and is most of the time moderated by the publisher What about the liability for these ancillary services?		Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Dratting suggestions	Comments
objective technical reasons, be used without that other, principal service, and the integration of that feature is not a means to circumvent the applicability of the rules of this Regulation applicable to online platforms. For example, the comments section in an online newspaper could constitute such a feature, where it is clear that it is ancillary to the main service represented by the publication of news under the editorial responsibility of the publisher.	where the dissemination to the public is merely a minor and purely ancillary feature of another service and that feature cannot, for objective technical reasons, be used without that other, principal service, and the integration of that feature is not a means to circumvent the applicability of the rules of this Regulation applicable to online platforms. For example, the comments section in an online newspaper could constitute such a feature, where it is clear that it is ancillary to the main service represented by the publication of news under the editorial responsibility of the publisher.	
(14) The concept of 'dissemination to the public', as used in this Regulation, should entail the making available of information to a potentially unlimited number of persons, that is, making the information easily accessible to users in general without further action by the recipient of the service providing the information being required, irrespective of whether those persons actually access the information in question. The mere possibility to create groups of users of a given service should not, in itself, be understood to mean that the information disseminated in that manner is not disseminated to the public.	AT (Drafting): (14) The concept of 'dissemination to the public', []. Interpersonal communication services, as defined in Directive (EU) 2018/1972 of the European Parliament and of the Council, ¹⁹ such as emails or private messaging services, fall outside the scope of this Regulation can not be considered as an online platform. [] CZ (Drafting): (14) The concept of 'dissemination to the	AT (Comments): Since the concept of "dissemination to the public" is only relevant for the qualification of an "online platform", it does not mean that interpersonal communication services fall outside the scope of the regulation. They still qualify as a "mere conduit"- intermediary service. PL (Comments): It is necessary to clearly specify whether and which interpersonal communication services, are

¹⁹Directive (EU) 2018/1972 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2018 establishing the European Electronic Communications Code (Recast), OJ L 321, 17.12.2018, p. 36

¹⁸Directive (EU) 2018/1972 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2018 establishing the European Electronic Communications Code (Recast), OJ L 321, 17.12.2018, p. 36

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
	dissemination of information within closed groups consisting of a finite number of pre- determined persons. <u>Regarding</u> interpersonal communication services, at defined in Directive (EU) 2018/1972 of the European Parliament and of the Council, such as emails or private messaging services, it has to be assessed on a case-by-case basis of its technical functionalities whether the service mediates the dissemination of information to the public and therefore qualifies as an "online platform". Information should be considered disseminated to the public []." FR (14) The concept of 'dissemination to the public', as used in this Regulation, should entail the making available of information to a very large or potentially unlimited number of persons, that is, making the information easily accessible to users in general without further action by the recipient of the service providing the information being required, irrespective of whether those persons actually access the information in question. Accordingly, where access to information requires registration or admission to a user group, such information should only be	 private chat and starts to behave as a tool for massive communication. DK (Comments): We are unsure if we understand the last paragraph: <i>Information should be considered disseminated to the public within the meaning of this Regulation only where that occurs upon the direct request by the recipient of the service that provided the information.</i> Clarification and exemplification could be a help in this matter. BE (Comments): We understand from the discussions with the Commission that it wishes to align this concept with the Regulation of terrorist content. We agree and consistency between both definitions. We are wondering why there are some differences between recital 14 of the DSA and necessary to include some differences between both definitions. We would like to ask the reasons

³⁰Directive (EU) 2018/1972 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2018 establishing the European Electronic Communications Code (Recast), OJ L 321, 17.12.2018, p. 36

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
		en conservant le critère alternatif de « nombre potentiellement illimité » qui permet de couvrir le cas de contenus accessibles, par exemple, aux amis d'amis. Par ailleurs, le dernier paragraphe reprend un considérant du règlement « TCO ».
		considérant du règlement « TCO ».
(15) Where some of the services provided by a provider are covered by this Regulation whilst others are not, or where the services provided by a provider are covered by different sections of this Regulation, the relevant provisions of this Regulation should apply only in respect of those services that fall within their scope.		
(16) The legal certainty provided by the horizontal framework of conditional exemptions from liability for providers of intermediary services, laid down in Directive 2000/31/EC, has allowed many novel services to emerge and scale-up across the internal market. That framework should therefore be preserved. However, in view of the divergences when transposing and applying the relevant rules at national level, and for reasons of clarity and coherence, that framework should be incorporated in this Regulation. It is also necessary to clarify certain elements of that framework, having regard to case law of the		

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
Court of Justice of the European Union.		
(17) The relevant rules of Chapter II should only establish when the provider of intermediary services concerned cannot be held liable in relation to illegal content provided by the recipients of the service. Those rules should not be understood to provide a positive basis for establishing when a provider can be held liable, which is for the applicable rules of Union or national law to determine. Furthermore, the exemptions from liability established in this Regulation should apply in respect of any type of liability as regards any type of illegal content, irrespective of the precise subject matter or nature of those laws.		
(18) The exemptions from liability established in this Regulation should not apply where, instead of confining itself to providing the		IT (Comments):
services neutrally, by a merely technical and automatic processing of the information provided by the recipient of the service, the provider of intermediary services plays an active role of such a kind as to give it knowledge of, or control over, that information. Those exemptions should accordingly not be available in respect of liability		 Recitals (18) (20) (25) seem to introduce a new distinction, no longer between active and passive, but between active and neutral. Any new classification or clarification of passive/active should respect the EU acquis: the jurisprudence of the CJEU and Commission communications on the subject.
recipient of the service but by the provider of		The active roles of intermediaries should be clarified. It is necessary to clear up the role of

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
intermediary service itself, including where the information has been developed under the editorial responsibility of that provider.	Draming suggestions	search engines providers regarding the merely technical and automatic processing of the information provided. PL (Comments): We support the clear indication in the recitals that a provider of intermediary services, who deliberately collaborates with a recipient of a service in order to undertake illegal activity, is not providing a service neutrally and should therefore not benefit from the exemption from liability provided by the reculation (recital 20)

(19) In view of the different nature of the activities of 'mere conduit', 'caching' and 'hosting' and the different position and abilities		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
		Drafting suggestions	
	 assists in the optimization of the presentation or the promotion of offers, it loses the liability exemption. Establishing objective criteria based on the jurisprudence of the ECJ that allow discerning when an intermediary becomes "active" by obtaining knowledge or control over the information, and consequently, loses the liability exemption, will provide legal certainty. DE (Comments) The references to the concept of "active role" is too narrow. It should be possible to take other aspects into account besides the "knowledge or control" test, e.g. incentives for committing infringements in compensation systems. There is an increasing number of providers which do not remain completely passive, e.g. platforms which, in addition to hosting, also influence the presentation of content or deliberately commercialise the content of their users without posting it themselves. Those platforms should be distinguished from passive hosts and they should not be able to enjoy the same liability privileges as passive hosts do.	Comments	

of the providers of the services in question, it is necessary to distinguish the rules applicable to those activities, in so far as under this Regulation they are subject to different requirements and conditions and their scope differs, as interpreted by the Court of Justice of the European Union. (20) A provider of intermediary services that deliberately collaborates with a recipient of the services in order to undertake illegal activities does not provide its service neutrally and should therefore not be able to benefit from the exemptions from liability provided for in this Regulation.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
PL (Drafting): (20) A provider of intermediary services that deliberately collaborates with a recipient of the services in order to undertake illegal activities or the main purpose of which is to engage in or facilitate such activities does not provide its service neutrally and should therefore not be able to benefit from the exemptions from liability provided for in this Regulation.	Drafting suggestions	
PL (Comments): Strengthening of wording related to providers of intermediary services that engage in illegal activities. See comment concerning recital 18. DE (Comments) It should be clarified, that not only providers that deliberately collaborate with recipients should be excluded from benefits, but also providers of such platforms that have the purpose of accessory to crimes, especially to criminal trade. The wording "deliberately collaborates [] to undertake illegal activities" leaves the question open as to what exactly is required to be covered by intent: The collaboration is always deliberate. Without prejudice to the policy issue in this point, a workable solution appears to be: "intent to illegally contribute []". But the crucial question is how this intent can be	Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
		established?
(21) A provider should be able to benefit from the exemptions from liability for 'mere conduit' and for 'caching' services when it is in no way involved with the information transmitted. This requires, among other things, that the provider does not modify the information that it transmits. However, this requirement should not be understood to cover manipulations of a technical nature which take place in the course of the transmission, as such manipulations do not alter the integrity of the information transmitted.	BE (Drafting): However, this requirement should not be understood to cover manipulations of a technical nature which take place in the course of the transmission, as long as these manipulations do not alter the integrity of the information transmitted.	BE (Comments): Is the establishment of age verification systems, parental control systems or other measures applied by video-sharing platforms following art. 28b AVMD a form of involvement with the information transmitted? Manipulations of a technical nature in the course of the transmission may very well alter the integrity of the information transmitted, eg change the format
(22) In order to benefit from the exemption from liability for hosting services, the provider should, upon obtaining actual knowledge or awareness of illegal content, act expeditiously to remove or to disable access to that content. The removal or disabling of access should be undertaken in the observance of the principle of freedom of expression. The provider can obtain such actual knowledge or awareness through, in particular, its own-initiative investigations or notices submitted to it by individuals or entities in accordance with this Regulation in so far as those notices are sufficiently precise and adequately substantiated to allow a diligent economic operator to reasonably identify, assess	SE (Drafting): In order to benefit from the exemption from liability for hosting services, the provider should, upon obtaining actual knowledge or awareness of illegal content, act expeditiously to remove or to disable access to that content. The removal or disable access to that content. The removal or disable access should be undertaken in the observance of the right to freedom of expression. The provider can obtain such actual knowledge or awareness through, in particular, its own- initiative investigations or notices submitted to it by individuals or entities in accordance with this Regulation in so far as those notices are	 HU (Comments): We consider it extremely important that the deletion of illegal content is carried out with maximum respect for the right to freedom of expression. SE (Comments): Freedom of expression, freedom of the press and media plurality are important priorities for the Swedish government. Freedom of expression is a right, not a principle. It could also be clarified in this recital that the

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
and where appropriate act against the allegedly	sufficiently precise and adequately substantiated	provider can obtain knowledge or awareness of
illeval content	to allow a dilicent economic operator to	illegal content through an order according to
and where appropriate act against the allegedly illegal content.	sufficiently precise and adequately substantiated to allow a diligent economic operator to reasonably identify, assess and where appropriate act against the allegedly illegal content. BE (Drafting): () The provider can obtain such actual knowledge or awareness through, in particular, its own-initiative investigations and should obtain such actual knowledge through orders issued by the relevant national judicial or administrative authorities or through notices submitted to it by individuals or entities in accordance with this Regulation in so far as those orders and notices are sufficiently precise and adequately substantiated to allow a diligent economic operator to reasonably identify, assess and where appropriate act against the allegedly illegal content.	 provider can obtain knowledge or awareness of illegal content through an order according to article 8? ES (Comments): ES welcomes the text of this recital as it makes it clear that when the intermediary carries out its own investigations, it can obtain actual knowledge. BE (Comments): We consider that an intermediary, receiving an order in accordance with article 8 of this Regulation should, as it is the case when it receives a notice in accordance with article 14, be considered to give rise to actual knowledge, provided that such order includes the elements referred to in article 8.2. Indeed, if a complete notice, submitted by individuals or entities should lead to a presumption of actual knowledge, as it seems to be the case reading the text ("shall give rise to "/" sont réputés donner lieu à"/" worden verondersteld aanleiding te geven tot"), we believe it is legitimate that an order submitted by a national authority should lead to the same presumption of actual knowledge for the application of articles 4.1 (e) and 5.1 (a).

(23) In order to ensure the effective protection of consumers when engaging in intermediated commercial transactions online, certain providers of hosting services, namely, online platforms that allow consumers to conclude distance contracts with traders, should not be able to benefit from the exemption from liability for hosting service providers established in this Regulation, in so far as those online platforms present the relevant information relating to the transactions at issue in		COMMISSION PROPOSAL
FR (Drafting): (22a) Online platforms often provide different services to a variety of recipients. Considering the particular characteristics of the services concerned and the corresponding need to make the providers thereof subject to certain specific obligations, it is necessary to distinguish, within the broader category of online platforms as defined in this Regulation, the subcategory of		Drafting suggestions
IT (Comments): With regard to the reference <i>to an average and</i> <i>reasonably well-informed consumer</i> , why the Commission is not referring to consumers based in one or more EU Member States? Perception of consumers in the EU and in third countries may be different. ES	submitted in accordance with this Regulation (DSA). What about the notices submitted in accordance with other specific instruments , in the framework of specific "Notice and Action" procedures (e.g. AVMS directive, Copyright directive,). Could those notices, submitted in accordance with other regulatory instruments, also give rise to "actual knowledge" for the application of the liability regime of the DSA? SK (Comments): We would like to remark, that since the exemption from liability relates to illegal content, it seems rather conflicting to mention that the removal or disabling of access to it should observe the freedom of expression. Once the content is appropriately deemed to be illegal, its spread is not a form of a freedom of expression.	Comments

(24) The exemptions from liability established in this Regulation should not affect the possibility of injunctions of different kinds against providers of intermediary services, even where they meet the conditions set out as part of those exemptions. Such injunctions could, in particular, consist of orders by courts or administrative authorities requiring the termination or prevention of any infringement, including the removal of illegal content specified in such orders, issued in compliance with Union law, or the disabling of access to it.		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	the following criteria, inter alia: the contract is executed exclusively through facilities provided on the online marketplace; the online marketplace uses exclusively payment systems which enable the platform operator to withhold payments made by the consumer to the trader; the terms of the contract are excluively or essentially determined by the online marketplace; the price setting is determined or constrained by the online marketplace; or the online marketplace commits to monitor the conduct of traders and to enforce compliance with its standards beyond what is required by law.	Drafting suggestions	
	voire dangereux, non-respect des droits des consommateurs, pratiques commerciales trompeuses) et de lutte contre la contrefaçon. L'article 2 devrait donc inclure une définition des places de marché en ligne, en tant que sous- catégorie de plateforme, pour permettre l'édiction d'un régime et d'obligations spécifiquement adaptés à ces enjeux.	Comments	
COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions administrative authorities should not go	Comments	
---	--	---	
	administrative authorities should not go		
	the objectives followed therein.		
(26) Whilst the rules in Chapter II of this		BE	
Regulation concentrate on the exemption from liability of providers of intermediary services, it		(Comments):	
is important to recall that, despite the generally		We understand from the discussions with the	
important role played by those providers, the problem of illegal content and activities online		definition excluded from the scope of the DSA,	
should not be dealt with by solely focusing on		but that this has to be examined on a case by case	
their liability and responsibilities. Where		elements.	
transmitted or stored online should attempt to		However, there still seems to be room for	
resolve conflicts relating to such content without		provide enough legal certainty.	
in question. Recipients of the service should be		Moreover, the coherence between Recital (26)	
held liable, where the applicable rules of Union		(which mentions that group moderators in closed	
and national law determining such liability so		online environments should help to avoid the	
provide, for the illegal content that they provide		spread of illegal content online) and Recital (14)	
and may disseminate through intermediary		(which states that dissemination of information within closed groups of a finite number of	
group moderators in closed online environments.		predetermined persons is no dissemination to the	
in particular in the case of large groups, should		public) seems a bit unclear to us. Could you	
also help to avoid the spread of illegal content		clarify?	
online, in accordance with the applicable law.		RO	
Furthermore, where it is necessary to involve		(Comments):	
information society services providers, including		Where in the operative part is reflected this	
or orders for such involvement should, as a		indication about the manner the orders/requests should target the "best-placed information	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
general rule, be directed to the actor that has the technical and operational ability to act against specific items of illegal content, so as to prevent and minimise any possible negative effects for the availability and accessibility of information that is not illegal content.		society service provider"? How does the authority issuing the order recognise the "best placed" information society service provider?
(27) Since 2000, new technologies have emerged that improve the availability, efficiency, speed. reliability, canacity and security of		IT (Comments):
speed, reliability, capacity and security of systems for the transmission and storage of data online, leading to an increasingly complex online ecosystem. In this regard, it should be recalled that providers of services establishing and facilitating the underlying logical architecture and proper functioning of the internet, including technical auxiliary functions, can also benefit from the exemptions from liability set out in this Regulation, to the extent that their services qualify as 'mere conduits', 'caching' or hosting services. Such services include, as the case may be, wireless local area networks, domain name system (DNS) services, top-level domain name registries, certificate authorities that issue digital certificates, or content delivery networks, that enable or improve the functions of other providers of intermediary services. Likewise, services used for communications purposes and		 We appreciate the reference to domain name systems (DNS) services, and underline the need to strengthen the recall, also in line with the Cybersecurity strategy. The resilience, stability and security of core services such as DNS are a precondition for online digital services to be effectively delivered to internet users, in line with the EU legal framework. We also suggest to insert an explicit reference to: Network Information Centers (NIC) Payment Service Providers (PSP) Advertising networks and affiliate marketing operators.

services such as Voice over IP, messaging services and web-based e-mail services, where the communication is delivered via an internet access service, too, can benefit that they qualify as 'mere conduit', to the extent that they qualify as 'mere conduit', 'caching' or hosting service.		
	Drafting suggestions	
 covered by the requirements of the Regulation. Recital 14 excludes from the scope of the regulation of services defined in Directive 2018/1972 such as email and private messaging at the same time, from Recital 27, it can be inferred that services such as "VOIP, messaging services, web-based e-mails" may benefit from exceptions from liability under a specific condition, which would suggest that they are, nevertheless, to some extent covered by the regulation. ES (Comments): It should be clarified under which type of intermediary (caching or hosting), DNS services, TLD registries, CAs that issue digital certificates or CDNs are framed. Same for "Voice over IP" communications, messaging and web-based email services. LV (Comments) Definition "intermediary service" lacks clarity without specific examples for each of the types of services. Recital 27 needs to include examples for each of the types of intermediaries mentioned in the definition separately.	Comments	

(28) Providers of intermediary services should not be subject to a monitoring obligation with respect to obligations of a general nature. This does not concern monitoring obligations in a specific case and, in particular, does not affect orders by national authorities in accordance with national legislation, in accordance with the conditions established in this Regulation. Nothing in this Regulation should be construed as an imposition of a general monitoring obligation or active fact-finding obligation, or as a general obligation for providers to take proactive measures to relation to illegal content.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL
 DE (Drafting) "[] Nothing in this Regulation should be construed as an imposition or <u>a general</u> active fact- finding obligation, or as a general obligation for providers to take proactive measures to relation to illegal content." FR (Drafting): (28) Providers of intermediary services should not be subject to a monitoring obligation with respect to obligations of a general nature. This does not concern monitoring obligations in a specific case and, in particular, does not affect orders by national authorities in accordance with national legislation, in accordance with the conditions established in this Regulation. Nothing in this Regulation should be construed as an imposition of a general monitoring obligation or active fact-finding obligation, or as a general obligation for providers to take proactive measures to relation to illegal content. This Regulation does not affect the possibility for Member States of requiring service providers who host information provided by recipients of their service to apply duties of care which can reasonably be expected from them and which are provided for by national law, in order to detect and prevent certain types of illegal activities. 	Drafting suggestions
 IT (Comments): The Recital and the provision of article 7 are based on the general principle consolidated in the EU legislation (originating from art. 15 of the e-commerce Directive and further detailed by the ECJ decisions). The draft DSA regulation introduces specific tasks and duties for all intermediaries and, in particular, for online platforms – LOPs (see Chapters 3 and 4). Without prejudice to the mentioned principle, it could be therefore worth clarifying in this Recital the relationship between the general principle and the obligations enlisted in Chapters 3 and 4. ES (Comments): It should be up to the providers to implement the proactive measures they deem appropriate to comply with this Regulation, respecting fundamental rights such as the freedom of expression. DE (Comments) Obligations in regard to specific items of illegal content should generally not be covered by the prohibition of general monitoring requirements in Art. 7. 	Comments

				Drafting suggestions	
Reprise du considérant 48 de la directive e-	(Comments):	FR	Thus, to avoid misunderstandings, it should be clarified in recital 28, that Art. 7 only prohibits a <i>general</i> obligation to actively seek facts. In addition, it has to be ensured that the prohibition of general monitoring and active fact- finding obligations on e-commerce platforms and online market places or platforms offering a variety of services. Platforms that allow for commercial activities and other transactions should have more responsibilities to protect customers online. The Covid-19 crisis has shown crucial shortcomings in this area. We need to implement more duties of care for e-commerce platforms and online market places in the DSA. E-Commerce platforms and online market places should be legally obliged to take possible, reasonable and, where appropriate, automated due diligence measures to protect consumers (no blanket upload filters). To the extent that it is possible for them to do so at economically reasonable expense and effort, platforms should ensure that no prohibited or counterfeit products are advertised and no fake shops or other	Comments	

	Drafting suggestions	
Commerce concernant la possibilité pour les États membres d'exiger des hébergeurs qu'ils agissent avec les précautions que l'on peut raisonnablement attendre d'eux, définies dans la législation nationale, visant à détecter et empêcher certains types d'activités illicites. Les autorités françaises posent une réserve générale d'examen sur les articles 8 et 9 et les contenus haineux sur internet contre les contenus haineux sur internet constitue une attention des autorités françaises qui seront susceptibles de proposer des amendements rédactionnels plus conséquents. La présidence portugaise abordera d'ailleurs la question de la lutte contre les contenus illégaux en ligne dans le contexte du DSA lors du Conseil JAI du 11 mars prochain. Par ailleurs, et s'agissant plus spécifiquement de l'article 9, le besoin opérationnel ainsi couvert et son articulation avec le paquet e-Evidence en cours de négociation font l'objet de discussions au sein du groupe COPEN et d'interrogations de la part de plusieurs délégations. Par conséquent, les amendements proposés à ce stade par les autorités françaises ne préjugent pas de ceux qu'elles pourraient soumettre ultérieurement au Conseil.	Comments	

 (30) Orders to act against illegal content or to provide information should be issued in compliance with Union law, in particular Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and the prohibition of general obligations to monitor information or to actively seek facts or circumstances indicating illegal activity laid down in this Regulation. The conditions and requirements laid down in this Regulation which apply to orders to act against illegal content are without prejudice to other 		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
FR (Drafting): (30) Orders to act against illegal content or to provide information should be issued in compliance with Union law, in particular Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and the prohibition of general obligations to monitor information or to actively seek facts or circumstances indicating illegal activity laid down in this Regulation. The	1215/2012 are not affected by this Regulation.	Drafting suggestions	
DE (Comments) In addition, the meaning of the last sentence in recital 30 is not clear to us, especially the last part of the sentence: "[] and requests by law enforcement authorities for confidential treatment in connection with the non-disclosure of information". Which cases are covered? What is the reference point of this recital in the articles	De plus, le contexte de la lutte contre les contenus haineux sur internet constitue une attention des autorités françaises qui seront susceptibles de proposer des amendements rédactionnels plus conséquents. La présidence portugaise abordera d'ailleurs la question de la lutte contre les contenus illégaux en ligne dans le contexte du DSA lors du Conseil JAI du 11 mars prochain. Par ailleurs, et s'agissant plus spécifiquement de l'article 9, le besoin opérationnel ainsi couvert et son articulation avec le paquet e-Evidence en cours de négociation font l'objet de discussions au sein du groupe COPEN et d'interrogations de la part de plusieurs délégations. Par conséquent, les amendements proposés à ce stade par les autorités françaises ne préjugent pas de ceux qu'elles pourraient soumettre ultérieurement au Conseil.	Comments	

national law, in conformity with Union law and confidentiality requests by law enforcement authorities related to the non-disclosure of information. The order may alternatively be drafted in the official language of the member State whose authority issues the order against the specific item of illegal content; in such case, the point of contact is entitled upon request to a transcription, by said authority, into the language declared by the provider.	- Ie
e n	Union acts providing for similar systems for acting against specific types of illegal content, such as Regulation (EU)/ [proposed Regulation addressing the dissemination of terrorist content online], or Regulation (EU) 2017/2394 that confers specific powers to order the provision of information on Member State consumer law enforcement authorities, whilst the conditions and requirements that apply to orders to provide information are without prejudice to other Union acts providing for similar relevant rules for specific sectors. Those conditions and enfocond content to provide information are without prejudice to information and enfo
Drafting suggestions Comments	COMMISSION PROPOSAL

(31) The territorial scope of such orders to act against illegal content should be clearly set out on the basis of the applicable Union or national law enabling the issuance of the order and should not exceed what is strictly necessary to achieve its objectives. In that regard, the national judicial or administrative authority issuing the order should balance the objective that the order seeks to achieve, in accordance with the legal basis enabling its issuance, with the rights and legitimate interests of all third parties that may be affected by the order, in particular their fundamental rights under the Charter. In addition, where the order referring to the specific information may have effects beyond the territory of the Member State of the authority concerned, the authority should assess whether the information at issue is likely to constitute illegal content in other Member States concerned and, where relevant, take account of the relevant rules of Union law or international law and the interests of international comity.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL
AT (Drafting): (1) Without prejudice to civil law claims for information and the enforcement of such claims such as rights provided by Directive 2004/48/EG, the territorial scope of such orders to act against illegal content should be clearly set out on the basis of the applicable Union or national law enabling the issuance of the order and chould not exceed what regard, the national judicial or administrative objective that the order should balance the objective that the order seeks to achieve, in accordance with the legal basis enabling its issuance, with the rights and legitimate interests of all third parties that may be affected by the order, in particular their fundamental rights under the Charter. In addition, where the order referring to the specific information may have effects beyond the territory of the Member State of the authority concerned, the authority should assess whether the information at issue is likely to concerned and, where relevant, take account of the relevant rules of Union law or international law and the interests of international comity. CZ (Drafting): (31) The territorial scope of such orders to act	Drafting suggestions
 AT (Comments): See the amendments proposed to Art. 8. CZ (Comments): In connection to our drafting suggestion to Chapter II, this wording is suggested for the corresponding recital. See justification below (Art. 8(4)). DE (Comments) It is technically impossible to control a territorial limitation of content in the internet (unless you suppress encryption and exercise full control over IP-address-allocation). Therefore, a provider has at best a statistical indication about the likely identify the location of any given user. Therefore, to ask for an effective geographic limitation of an order is not a valid option. To "take account" of international law is binding and needs to be fully respected, disregarding it as a result of balancing interests involved is not an option. To be clear what is at stake here, it is helpful to name the aspect of the limitations of jurisdiction. FR 	Comments

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
against illegal content should be clearly set out on the basis of the applicable Union or national law enabling the issuance of the order and should not exceed what is strictly necessary to achieve its objectives. In that regard, the national judicial or administrative authority issuing the order should balance the objective that the order seeks to achieve, in accordance with the legal basis enabling its issuance, with the rights and legitimate interests of all third parties that may be affected by the order, in particular their fundamental rights under the Charter. In addition, where the order referring to the specific information may have effects beyond the territory of the Member State of the authority concerned, the authority should assess whether the information at issue is likely to constitute illegal content in other Member States concerned and, where relevant, take account of the relevant rules of Union law or international law and the interests of international comity. While acting in accordance with articles 8 and 9 of this Regulation the respective authorities should strike the right balance between the objective that the order seeks to achieve and the legitimate interests of the intermediaries. DE (Draffing) "[] take account of the relevant rules of Union	Drafting suggestions	
Les autorités françaises proposent d'ajouter un considérant 3 la pour préciser la notion d'« action à propos d'un contenu illicite ».	Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL Drafting suggestions Comments international cosmity, fully respect international faw, especially with regard to the limitations of jurisdiction, and observe the interests of international comity." FR COmments VD rafting): (3) [] (4) [] (5) [] (5) [] (5) [] (5) [] (5) [] (5) [] (5) [] (5) [] (5) [] (5)			
international comity. fully respect international law, especially with regard to the limitations of jurisdiction, and observe the interests of international comity." FR (Drafting): (31)[] (31 <i>a</i>) The orders to act against illegal content cover, in particular, all measures needed to prevent or to put an end to any damage caused by this illegal content, including the removal or the display of a warning message. Whenever a specific piece of content is illegal in some Member States only, the territorial scope of a blocking injunction should be limited to those Member States.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
 (32) The orders to provide information regulated by this Regulation concern the production of specific information about individual recipients of the intermediary service concerned who are identified in those orders for the purposes of determining compliance by the recipients of the services with applicable Union or national rules. Therefore, orders about ES (Comments): An example or a clarification regarding the scope of the orders to provide information would be convenient. Specifically, that a local competent authority can issue an order to a platform, temporary or periodic in nature, and be 		International comity, fully respect international aw, especially with regard to the limitations of jurisdiction, and observe the interests of international comity." FR (Drafting): 31 <i>a</i>) The orders to act against illegal content cover, in particular, all measures needed to prevent or to put an end to any damage caused by his illegal content, including the removal or the lisabling of access to that content , as well as the lisabling of access to that content , as well as the lisabling of access to that content , as well as the lisabling of access to that content , as well as the lisabling injunction should be limited to those Member States.	ES (Comments): An example or a clarification regarding the scope of the orders to provide information would be convenient. Specifically, that a local competent authority can issue an order to a platform, temporary or periodic in nature, and be

COMMISSION PROPOSAL Drafti	Drafting suggestions	Comments
oses or emain n on the	0	
(33) Orders to act against illegal content and to provide information are subject to the rules safeguarding the competence of the Member State where the service provider addressed is established and laying down possible derogations from that competence in certain cases, set out in Article 3 of Directive 2000/31/EC, only if the conditions of that Article are met. Given that the orders in question relate to specific items of illegal content and information, respectively, where they are addressed to providers of intermediary services established in another Member State, they do not in principle restrict those providers' freedom to provide their services across borders. Therefore, the rules set out in Article 3 of Directive 2000/31/EC, including those regarding the need to justify measures derogating from the competence of the Member State where the service provider is established on certain specified grounds and regarding the notification of such measures, do not apply in respect of those orders.		 DK (Comments): According to the wording of this recital the rules set out in Article 3 of Directive 2000/31/EC do not apply in respect of orders according to article 8 and 9 because these orders relate to specific items of illegal content and information. Is this correct? Thus, as we understand this recital it will be possible for the authorities in another Member State to issue orders to providers of intermediary services established in yet another member state. BE (Comments): What about the case of an order to disable access to an entire website (e.g.because all of its content is found to be counterfeiting products)? Would this type of order be considered as not "specific" enough and restrict the provider's freedom to provide their services across borders?

(34) In order to achieve the objectives of this Regulation, and in particular to improve the functioning of the internal market and ensure a safe and transparent online environment, it is necessary to establish a clear and balanced set of harmonised due diligence obligations for providers of intermediary services. Those obligations should aim in particular to guarantee different public policy objectives such as the safety and trust of the recipients of the service, including minors and vulnerable users, protect the relevant fundamental rights enshrined in the Charter, to ensure meaningful accountability of those providers and to empower recipients and		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
SE (Drafting): (34) In order to achieve the objectives of this Regulation, and in particular to improve the functioning of the internal market and ensure a safe and transparent online environment, it is necessary to establish a clear and balanced set of harmonised due diligence obligations for providers of intermediary services. Those obligations should aim in particular to guarantee different public policy objectives such as the safety and trust of the recipients of the service, including minors and users at particular risk of		Drafting suggestions	
FR (Comments): Les considérants 34 et suivants seront commentés avec les chapitres III, IV et V	DE (Comments) We welcome the clarification in recital 33 that cross-border orders in relation to specific items of illegal content and information do not restrict the providers' freedom to provide their services cross-border. However, there are still some open questions in relation hereto, which should be addressed in the recital. For example: Is our understanding correct that recital 33 exempts administrative and judicial decisions on specific items of illegal content from the application of the country-of- origin principle? And does this understanding lead to consequences also for the underlying civil law claims?	Comments	

(35) In that regard, it is important that the due diligence obligations are adapted to the type and nature of the intermediary service concerned. This Regulation therefore sets out basic obligations applicable to all providers of intermediary services, as well as additional obligations for providers of hosting services and, more specifically, online platforms and very large online platforms. To the extent that providers of intermediary services may fall within those different categories in view of the nature of their services and their size, they should comply with all of the corresponding obligations of this Regulation. Those harmonised due diligence obligations, which should be reasonable and non-arbitrary, are needed to achieve the identified public policy concerns, such as safeguarding the legitimate interests of the recipients of the service, addressing illegal practices and protecting fundamental rights online.	other affected parties, whilst facilitating the necessary oversight by competent authorities.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL
SE (Drafting): (35) In that regard, it is important that the due diligence obligations are adapted to the type and nature of the intermediary service concerned. This Regulation therefore sets out basic obligations applicable to all providers of intermediary services, as well as additional obligations for providers of hosting services and, more specifically, online platforms and very large online platforms. To the extent that providers of intermediary services may fall within those different categories in view of the nature of their services and their size, they should comply with all of the corresponding obligations of this Regulation. Those harmonised due diligence obligations, which should be reasonable and non-arbitrary, are needed to achieve the identified public policy concerns, such as safeguarding the legitimate interests of the recipients of the service, addressing illegal practices and protecting the fundamental rights	being subject to hate speech, sexual harassments or other discriminatory actions, protect the fundamental rights enshrined in the Charter, to ensure meaningful accountability of those providers and to empower recipients and other affected parties, whilst facilitating the necessary oversight by competent authorities.	Drafting suggestions
		Comments

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
	guaranteed by the Charter online.	
(36) In order to facilitate smooth and efficient communications relating to matters covered by this Regulation, providers of intermediary services should be required to establish a single point of contact and to publish relevant information relating to their point of contact, including the languages to be used in such communications. The point of contact can also be used by trusted flaggers and by professional entities which are under a specific relationship with the provider of intermediary services. In contrast to the legal representative, the point of should not necessarily have to have a physical location.		
(37) Providers of intermediary services that are established in a third country that offer services in the Union should designate a sufficiently mandated legal representative in the Union and provide information relating to their legal representatives, so as to allow for the effective oversight and, where necessary, enforcement of this Regulation in relation to those providers. It should be possible for the legal representative to also function as point of contact, provided the relevant requirements of		

21	
Q.	
OT	
E.	
NISS	
ň	
Re	
OC	
B	
e	
dat	
B	
20	
8	
36	
E.	
S	
of,	
3	
Ma	
N	
00	
30	
once	
No.	
E.	
40	
E.	
8	
finit	
tio	
lo t	
F	
E.	
0	
SIL	
1	
No.	
- 0	
E	
B-S	
ize	
dium-sized enterpr	
nle	
T	
nises	
S	
s (OJ L 124, 20.5.2	
Ξ	
24	
N	
0.5	
12	
903, p	
.p.	
36	
Ş	

(40) Providers of hosting services play a particularly important role in tackling illegal content online, as they store information provided by and at the request of the recipients of the service and typically give other recipients access thereto, sometimes on a large scale. It is important that all providers of hosting services, regardless of their size, put in place user-friendly notice and action mechanisms that facilitate the notifying party considers to be illegal content to the provider of hosting services concerned (notice'), pursuant to which that provider can decide whether or not it agrees with that assessment and wishes to remove or disable access to that content (action'). Provided the requirements on notices are met, it should be possible for individuals or entities to notify multiple specific items of allegedly illegal content through a single notice. The obligation to	

(41) The rules on such notice and action mechanisms should be harmonised at Union level, so as to provide for the timely, diligent and objective processing of notices on the basis of rules that are uniform, transparent and clear and that provide for robust safeguards to protect the right and legitimate interests of all affected parties, in particular their fundamental rights guaranteed by the Charter, irrespective of the Member State in which those parties are established or reside and of the field of law at issue. The fundamental rights include, as the case may be, the right to freedom of expression and information, the right to respect for private and family life, the right to protection of personal data, the right to non-discrimination and the right to an effective remedy of the recipients of the service; the freedom to conduct a business, including the freedom of contract, of service providers; as well as the right to protection of property, including intellectual property, and the right to non-discrimination of parties affected by illegal content.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL
SE (Drafting): (41) The rules on such notice and action mechanisms should be harmonised at Union level, so as to provide for the timely, diligent and objective processing of notices on the basis of rules that are uniform, transparent and clear and that provide for robust safeguards to protect the right and legitimate interests of all affected parties, in particular their fundamental rights guaranteed by the Charter, irrespective of the Member State in which those parties are established or reside and of the field of law at issue. The fundamental rights include, as the case may be, the right to freedom of expression and family life, the right to protection of personal data, the right to non-discrimination, the right to gender equality and the right to an effective remedy of the recipients of the service; the freedom to conduct a business, including the freedom to contract, of service providers; as well as the right to human dignity, the rights of the child, the right to protection of property, including intellectual property, and the right to non-discrimination of parties affected by illegal content.	Drafting suggestions
SK (Comments): Could the Commission please kindly clarify the interpretation of this phrase: "the timely, diligent and objective processing of notices? How would it be evaluated? What exactly is meant by "timely, diligent and objective processing"? processing "?	Comments

12	1
8	L
8	L
E.	L
SIX.	L
ň	L
Re	L
8	L
B	Т
ne	Т
nd	L
8	Т
on	Т
20	L
3	3
3	
ŝ	
E	
0	
6	
Z	
ay	
ty 2003	
03	
0	
6	
Ger	
E.	
40	
be	
d.	
Î	
En la	
8	
0	
E	
licro	
10	
SE	
2	
2	
nd n	
E	
nediu	
8	
sh.	
-sized enterprises (OJ L 1	
de	
R	
d.	
ris	
N.	
ē	
GL	
=	
4	
124, 20.5	
S	
5.20	
0	
3, p.	
36).	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL Drafting suggestions
(42) Where a hosting service provider decides to remove or disable information provided by a receipt of a notice or acting on its own initiative, including through the use of automated means, that provider should inform the recipient of its decision, the reasons for its decision and the available redress possibilities to contest the decision, in view of the negative consequences that such decisions may have for the recipient, including as regards the exercise of its fundamental right to freedom of expression. That obligation should apply irrespective of the reasons for the decision, in particular whether the action has been taken because the information notified is considered to be illegal content or incompatible with the applicable terms and conditions. Available recourses to challenge the decision of the hosting service provider should always include judicial redress.
(43) To avoid disproportionate burdens, the additional obligations imposed on online platforms under this Regulation should not apply to micro or small enterprises as defined in Recommendation 2003/361/EC of the Commission, ²² unless their reach and impact is such that they meet the criteria to qualify as very

COMMISSION PROPOSALDrafting suggestionsCommentslarge online platforms under this Regulation. The consolidation rules laid down in that Recommendation help ensure that any circumvention of those additional obligations is prevented. The exemption of micro and small enterprises from those additional obligations.Comments(44)Recipients of the service should be able to easily and effectively contest certain decisions of online platforms that negatively affect them. Therefore, online platforms that negatively affect them. Therefore, online platforms, handling systems, which met certain conditions aimed at ensuring that the systems and expertise to carry out that could be made the requisite that outdomes, in addition, provision should be made the requisite that outdomes, and cost-effective that could be adde to insutsfactory manner though the internal complaint-handling systems, by certified bodies that have the requisite that could not be requisite to carry out that could not be requisite to carry out the possibilities to contest decisions of online platforms that creat should contest decisions of online platforms that creat should be conted the system the possibility to seek judicial reduces in the system in the system in the system in to complement, yet have the requisite to the possibilities to contest decisions of online platforms that creat should contest decision of to carry out the possibilities to contest decisions of to carry out the possibilities to contest decisions of to the platform should be carry out to the platform should be carry out the platfor			
large online platforms under this Regulation. The consolidation rules laid down in that any Recommendation lebp ensure that any circumvention of those additional obligations is prevented. The exemption of micro- and small emerprises from toose additional obligations. recurrently: The exemption of micro- and small emerprises from toose additional obligations. should not be understood as affecting their ability to easily and effectively contest certain decisions of online platforms that negatively affect them. (14) Recipients of the service should be able to easily and effectively onnest certain decisions of online platforms should be required to provide for internal complaint-handling systems, which meet certain conditions aimed at ensuring that the systems and exercise in addition, provision should be rate easily accussible and lead to should be made for internal complaint-handling systems, by certified bodies that have the requisite in antice of a subice to carry out that could not be resolved in suitsfactory manner through the internal complaints to carry out their activities in a fair, swift and cost-effective in all respects, including those the possibilities to comest decisions of control of sputes, to carry out their activities in a fair, swift and cost-effective in all respects, including the strate stould and the requisite in all respects, including the possibility to seek judicial redress in	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
(44) Recipients of the service should be able to easily and effectively contest certain decisions of online platforms that negatively affect them. Therefore, online platforms almed at ensuring that the systems are easily accessible and lead to swift and fair outcomes. In addition, provision should be made for the possibility of out-of-court dispute settlement of disputes, including those that could not be resolved in satisfactory manner through the internal complaint-handling systems, by certified bodies that have the requisite independence, means and expertise to carry out their activities in a fair, swift and cost-effective manner. The possibilities to contest decisions of online platforms thus created should complement, yet leave unaffected in all respects, the possibility to seek judicial refress in	large online platforms under this Regulation. The consolidation rules laid down in that Recommendation help ensure that any circumvention of those additional obligations is prevented. The exemption of micro- and small enterprises from those additional obligations should not be understood as affecting their ability to set up, on a voluntary basis, a system that complies with one or more of those obligations.		
(44) Recipients of the service should be able to easily and effectively contest certain decisions of online platforms that negatively affect them. Therefore, online platforms should be required to provide for internal complaint-handling systems, which meet certain conditions aimed at ensuring that the systems are easily accessibility of out-of-court dispute settlement of disputes, including those that could be made for the possibility of out-of-court dispute settlement of disputes, including those that could not be resolved in satisfactory manner through the internal complaint-handling systems, by certified bodies that have the requisite independence, means and expertise to carry out their activities in a fair, swift and cost-effective manner. The possibilities to contest decisions of online platforms thus created should complement, yet leave unaffected in all respects, the possibility to seek judicial redress in	to set up, on a voluntary basis, a system that complies with one or more of those obligations.		
provide for internal complaint-handling systems, which meet certain conditions aimed at ensuring that the systems are easily accessible and lead to swift and fair outcomes. In addition, provision should be made for the possibility of out-of-court dispute settlement of disputes, including those that could not be resolved in satisfactory manner through the internal complaint-handling systems, by certified bodies that have the requisite independence, means and expertise to carry out their activities in a fair, swift and cost-effective manner. The possibilities to contest decisions of online platforms thus created should complement, yet leave unaffected in all respects, the possibility to seek judicial redress in	(44) Recipients of the service should be able to easily and effectively contest certain decisions of online platforms that negatively affect them. Therefore, online platforms should be required to		
accordance with the laws of the Member State	provide for internal complaint-handling systems, which meet certain conditions aimed at ensuring that the systems are easily accessible and lead to swift and fair outcomes. In addition, provision should be made for the possibility of out-of-court dispute settlement of disputes, including those that could not be resolved in satisfactory manner through the internal complaint-handling systems, by certified bodies that have the requisite independence, means and expertise to carry out their activities in a fair, swift and cost-effective manner. The possibilities to contest decisions of online platforms thus created should complement, yet leave unaffected in all respects, the possibility to seek judicial redress in accordance with the laws of the Member State		
accordance with the laws of the Member State	accordance with the laws of the Member State		

	- 22
Z	Đ.
2	2
8	Ef.
5	ē.
100	22
4	5
E	=
D	E
2	5
8	F
ê	in the
20	ET.
20	5
5	PC.
2	в
2	Pa
H	The second
5	E S
65	int.
-	8
8.6	n.
2	Ph.
13	F
÷	0
2006/2004 and Directive 2009/22/EC (OJ L 165, 18.6.2013, p. 63).	2
3	DCI.
	0
	F.
	-
	Ma
	3
	õ
	5
	Ħ
	alt
	CIII
	ati
	³ Directive 2013/11/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2013 on alternative dis
	ĝ;
	pu
	ie
	2
	ole
	tio
	8
	8
	8
	ISI
	10
	8
	dis.
	pu
	3
	5
	d. R
	UUI
	DUC
	ling
	19
	201
	ula
	thio
	D
	EC
	9

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
concerned.		
 (45) For contractual consumer-to-business disputes over the purchase of goods or services, Directive 2013/11/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council²³ ensures that Union consumers and businesses in the Union have access to quality-certified alternative dispute resolution entities. In this regard, it should be clarified that the rules of this Regulation on out-of-court dispute settlement are without prejudice to that Directive, including the right of consumers under that Directive to withdraw from the procedure at any stage if they are dissatisfied with the performance or the operation of the procedure. (46) Action against illegal content can be taken more quickly and reliably where online platforms take the necessary measures to ensure that notices submitted by trusted flaggers through the notice and action mechanisms required by the requirement to process and decide upon all notices submitted under those 	HU (Drafting): Such trusted flagger status should only be awarded to entities-legal persons, and not individuals, that have demonstrated, among other things, that they have particular expertise and competence in tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work	HU (Comments): In our view, the expression " <i>by any entities</i> " can be understood in a broad sense which may give rise to misunderstandings. In order to be consistent with the text, we hold the same opinion about Article 19 as well. PL

COMMISSION BROBOST		
ls, that that they e in ant content, an blic the ng child ng child lectual lectual lectual lectual lectual itted by awarded on, from s, in uding	rafting): propose to cital 46: <i>h entities conte</i> <i>ional law e</i> <i>corist conte</i> <i>ional law e</i> <i>coperation (</i> <i>operation (</i> <i>ices submitied to</i> <i>coplable a</i> <i>topE neuer</i> <i>ices submitied to</i> <i>coplable a</i> <i>contect to</i> <i>coplable a</i> <i>coplable a</i>	(Comments): Introducing general information on the types of organizations gives greater/free access to all relevant entities without excluding any organization from the start.

COMMISSION PROPOSAL Drafting suggestions Comments		of be awarded to entities, and not individ	of be awarded to	of be awarded to entities, and not individ have demonstrated, among other thing	of	of	of	of	of	of	of	of	of	of	of	of	of	of	of	of	of	of	of
Drafting suggestions	of be awarded to entities, and not individ	i i		have narrieular expertise and competence in	ave paricular expense and competence in		accommental acconizations and sami-multic	and		Accession of the and constructions constituted	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
of the awarded to entities and not individ	TOT OF ANALON IN CITIZES, AND INCLUSIVE			1.22																			
10																							
10																							
10					have nontivular surveying and sometains in			have particular expertise and competence in tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenonhobic	have particular expertise and competence in tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	have particular expertise and competence in tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmential organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combaring discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	have particular experises and competence in tackling illegal content, that they work in a dilgent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	have particular expertises and compreence in tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent onice submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	acking illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they represent a dilgent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal resist and xenophobie expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent on inter platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	have particular expertise and completence in tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations carmitted to notifying illegal racist and arconohobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	have particular expertise and competence in tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrosist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal resist and xenophobie expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	have particular expertise and completence in tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and arconohobic expressions online, and organisations committed to property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	have particular expertise and completence in tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal ratist and venophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	have particular expertise and competence in tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated flagger status, where they have demonstrated flagger meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	have particular expertise and competence in tacking illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of flotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be avarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	have particular expertise and competence in tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal resist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated flag for this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	have particular expertise and competence in tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal resist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
10	1				I MAUA MARIANIAN AVMANDA ANA ANAMADANA IN			nave particular expertise and competence in tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notificing illegal racist and xenonhobic	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	nave particular expertise and competence in tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Furopol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-hotlers could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to combating those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations committed property rights, organisations committed right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers shuld not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	nave particular expertsus and completence in tackling illegal content, that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in mature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (FEuropf) or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	nave particular expertse and competence in collective interests and that they present collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Europol) or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	nave particular expertise and competence in tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted nations from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	nave particular expertises and competence in tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and venophobic including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	nave particular expertises and compretence in tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and venophobic including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	nave particular expertises and competence in tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders, ougle bawarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated flagger status, where they have demonstrated flagger status, where they have demonstrated flagger status or individuals that have not been awarded	nave particular expectses and compretence in tacking interests and that they represent officient and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	nave particular expertises and that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal recist and venophobic expressions, online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	nave particular expertises and competence in tacking illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	nave expertised and compretence in tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated flagger status, where they have demonstrated flagger giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	nave particular expertises and compretence in tacking attlegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and venopholoic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
10				The Analysis and An				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of holtines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling ilegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hottlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of foldines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of holtines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded triated flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, hat they very sent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of holines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on granisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on granisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of holines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenopholic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on granisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded tracted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of bolines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenopholic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on granisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trated flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of bolines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. Including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded traited flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of holines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of foldines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on granisations committed property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded frusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of foldines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded tracted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notice submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of bolines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on granisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on granisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europed') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of holines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. Including those based on granisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
10				the second state and second states and				collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded insult fragger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referal units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or induviduals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOP E network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of rational law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including these based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on twisted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combaring discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded furused flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combaring discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable condinons. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combaring discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- goive, such as the organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-hotlers could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation or trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union A gency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded true of this Regulation on trusted flagger should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices showing those these or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of radional law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combaring discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying lilegal racids and xenopholoic expressions online, and organisations committed to combaring discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
10			2.000.000	the station of the state of the	and the set of the set			collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenonhobic	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions unline, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online patforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (FLuropO) or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent on line platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation of Europe10 yor they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material_organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Furopol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Europe) or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.grainsations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Europe) or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, argainsations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation or trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online, platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Furopol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trattey meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online, platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (FEurope) or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national Baw enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of this Regulation on trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation of Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on rusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Furopol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, arganisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trasted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
10		A		tackling illegal content, that they represent	tackling illegal content, that they represent			collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenonhobic	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions contine, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hoffines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of fluis Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotImes for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online plaforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobie expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flaggers status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europel') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent on inize plaforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on rusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.granisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
10				tackling illegal content, that they represent	tackling illegal content, that they represent			collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenonhobic	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	collective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to combaring discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For innellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flagger should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective manner: Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referal units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online patforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective manner: Such entities can diligent and objective manner: Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of foldines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europe1') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of bolines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. Including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of holines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of holines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of bottines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of holtimes for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combaring discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of thustry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective manner. Such an interests and there work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europel') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of holtines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holters could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europel") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of foldines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. Including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holters could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent on line platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europe1') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of boltines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xemophobic including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	collective metrests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of holines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
10				tackling illegal content, that they represent	tackling illegal content, that they represent			diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of footlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manners. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union A gency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal ratist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying llegal racist and xenophobie expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bedies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of holtines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xemophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of holtines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xemphobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of holtines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xemplobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of holtines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xemplobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of holtimes for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. Including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of thus right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	dilgent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of fulfulnes for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. Including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. Including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of holtines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. Including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of holtines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xemplobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
of				tackling illegal content, that they represent	tackling illegal content, that they represent			diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement aunits of national law enforcement Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency (or Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal relations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they pave demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referal units of national law enforcement Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combaring discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referal units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying Illegal recist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referal units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying Illegal recist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referal units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying Illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flagger should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal recist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referal units of rational law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and semophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combaring discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referal units or fanional law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gendet. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online plaforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referal units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal resist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combaring discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
of				tackling illegal content, that they represent	tackling illegal content, that they represent			diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenonhobic	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can the public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of bottines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of holdines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and venophotic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and venophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded fusited flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations oper of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and venopholic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
10				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non-	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenonhobic	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations or industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of bottines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations or industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for peroring child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
10				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a	augent and objective manner. Such endues can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	augent and objective manner. Such endues can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	the public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	diligent and objective manner. Such enuties can be public in nature; such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations for fuger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature; such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of bottines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hortines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation of Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Furopol) or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of bottimes for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Furopol) or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of bodines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Furopol) or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of bottimes for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (FEuropt) or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of bodines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Furopol) or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobie expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europe1)' or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europel') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europoti') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of bottines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (FEuropol) or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of botlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
0						be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non-	be public in nature; such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	be public in nature; such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combaring discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europel') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gener. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europel') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of bottines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flagger should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europe') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
o						be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal raciast and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combaring discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal resist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal resist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal resist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal razist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online glatforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
o						be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenonhobic	be public in nature; such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying abuse material, organisations committed expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement autorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying ilegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of rational law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated flagger status, where the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union A gency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations and semi-public notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racial organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal related and senophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal reliat and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racial and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement autorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated flagger status where they have demonstrated flagger status demonstrated flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal relist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated flagger status where they have demonstrated flagger status demonstrated flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal relations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
o						be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non-	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of botlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement referral units of national law Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse neuroial, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and exemphobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hortines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated flag of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, tor terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated flagger status where the paplicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations and semi-public motifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to in combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	intermet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
o						internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of rational law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of bottlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europe') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of bottlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of bodines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europel') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of horlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of bodines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
o						internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenonhobic	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations and semi-public notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to notifying those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of righ-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated flagger status, where they have demonstrated flagger should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europel') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying Ilegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union A gency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combaring discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union A gency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating disest and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racis and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europel') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
o						internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal results and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union A gency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenopholic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal resist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal resist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal resist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combaring discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal resist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal resist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. Including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying ilegal resist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. Including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
o						internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations and semi-public NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet reterral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegant reaist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet reterrat units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual aduse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal retaist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Internet reterral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual aduse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal resist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Internet reterral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal retaist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet reterrat units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and scenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet reaferral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying ilegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union A gency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated flat they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	internet reiterat units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
o						unternet reterrat units of national taw enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non-	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	internet reterrat units of national naw enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	internet reterrat units of national taw enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of botlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated flat they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or national taw enforcement Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or national taw enforcement Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entrernet reateriat units of national taw enforcement Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of botilnes for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated flat they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or national taw enforcement Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of botilnes for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
o						enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of righ-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-hoders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-hoders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and venophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
o						enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifving illegal racist and xenonhobic	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, <u>organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic</u> expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of righ-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations and semi-public notifying illegal racist and xemphobic expressions online, and organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xemphobic property rights, organisations or industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
o						enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifvine illegal racist and xenophobic	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	entroreament authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entorecement authorities or or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entorecenent authorities or or the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotimes for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entiorement authorities or of the European Union A gency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entorement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations and semi-public expressions online, and organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entorcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations and semi-public expressions online, and organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entorement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entorement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entorcement autorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europo!') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entorement authorities or or the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entoreement autorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
o						Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifving illegal racist and xenophobic	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or the campulation governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOP E network of botlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOP E network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOP E network of botlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
0						Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifving illegal racist and xenophobic	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hottines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hottines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to comhating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
0						Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of righ-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of righ-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
0						Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenonhobic	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations or industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of righ-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of righ-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material <u>organisations committed</u> to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of righ-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
0						Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non-	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobie expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9						Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenonhobic	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Cooperation ("Europer)" or the comparison governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europe') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ("European") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ("Europh") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ("Europerity of they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9						Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combatting discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
0						Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifving illegal racist and xenophobic	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated flaggers the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating disgar racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
0						Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non-	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifving illegal racist and xenophobic	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the NHOPE network of hottines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9						Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non-	Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non-	Cooperation ('Europoi') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifving illegal racist and xenophobic	Cooperation ('Europot') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	Cooperation ("Europol") or "they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ("Europot") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ("Europot") or truey can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation (Europor) or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations or industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	C coperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	C coperation ('Luropol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	C coperation ('Luropol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ("Europot) or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ("Luropor) or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Coorgeration (Europor) or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	C coperation ('Europor') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9						TAR AN THA CALL TO CLARKED A THAN TAR TAR	man as mus. Carrier 1 indianae 1 manualao a	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenonhobic	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9								governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating illegal racist and xenophobic expressions colline, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9								governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifving illegal racist and xenophobic	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child secure scale buse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9								bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying itlegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9						angemmental prognigations and computition		bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenonhobic	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9						20Vernmental organisations and semi-public	EXPERIMENTAL ALEADED AND SOME DUDIE	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifving illegal racist and xenophobic	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9						governmental organisations and semi-public	Sovermienta organizations and semi-puone	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifving illegal racist and xenophobic	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hottines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hottines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hottines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9						governmental organisations and semi-public	governmenta of gamsanons and semi-puone	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9						governmental organisations and semi-public	governmental organizations and semi-puone	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifving illegal racist and xenophobic	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying itlegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9						governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the	bodies such as the organisations part of the	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifving illegal racist and xenophobic	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9						governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the	bodies, such as the organisations part of the	INHOPE network of notlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	INHOPE network of notlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	INHOPE network of notlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	INHOPE network of hottines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of nottines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of nottines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of nottines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOP'E network of nottines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of nottines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOP'E network of nottines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOP E network of notlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of notines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOPE network of nottines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	INHOP E network of hottines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the	bodies, such as the organisations part of the	sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifving illegal racist and xenophobic	sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the	bodies, such as the organisations and some photo-	sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child	bodies, such as the organisations and schur-puone INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child	sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifving illegal racist and xenophobic	sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child	bodies, such as the organisations and som-puone INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child	notifving illegal racist and xenophobic	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	sexual acuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse materia, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse materia, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual acuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual acuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sextual aduse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sextual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child	bodies, such as the organisations and some puone INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child	notifving illegal racist and xenonhobic	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child	bodies, such as the organisations and some public INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child	notifving illegal racist and xenonhobic	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated flagger meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated flagger meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to	sexual abuse material organisations committed to	notifving illegal racist and xenonhobic	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to	sexual abuse material, organisations committed to	notifying illegal racist and xenonnobic	notifying illegal facist and xenophobic	notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notitying inegat racist and xenophotoc expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notitying ittegat racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notitying itlegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notitying inegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notitying inegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notitying inegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notitying inegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notitying inegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notitying ittegat racist and xenophotoic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	noturying inegal racist and xenophotic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notitying inegal racist and xenophotic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	notitying inegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of botlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to	bodies, such as the organisations and some puote INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to			nontynik niegai navis ana venolinore	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europe') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to	bodies, such as the organisations and some puone INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to				expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	bodies, such as the organisations and some puone INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic				to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotilines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	bodies, such as the organisations and some public INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and reconsistions committed	expressions online and organisations committed	expressions online and progrispitions committed		to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed	bodies, such as the organisations and some-puone bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed	expressions online, and organisations committed	expressions online, and organisations committed	expressions ordine, and organisations committee	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed	bodies, such as the organisations and some-puone bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed	expressions online, and organisations committed	expressions online, and organisations committed	expressions offices, and of gains down commuted	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed	bodies, such as the organisations and some puone INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed	expressions online, and organisations committed	expressions online, and organisations committed	expressions vinnes, and organisations commuted	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions.	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic to combating discriminatory expressions.	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions.	bodies, such as the organisations and some puone bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions.	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions.	to combating discriminatory expressions.	to combating discriminatory expressions.	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions,	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions,	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions.	bodies, such as the organisations and semi-puone bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions,	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions,	to combating discriminatory expressions,	to combating discriminatory expressions,	including those based on gender, For interlectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	neuding those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	ncuang those based on gender, nor intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	returning those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	neuting those based on gender, For interlectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	netuting those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	metuding mose based on genger, For interlectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on genger, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	nreading those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	including those based on gender, For interfectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	metuding those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	returning those based on genger, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions,	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions,	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions.	bodies, such as the organisations and some puone NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions,	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions,	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions,	to combating discriminatory expressions,	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal converses and that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions contine, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions.	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions,	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions,	bodies, such as the organisations and some puote bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions,	to combating discriminatory expressions,	to combating discriminatory expressions,	to combating discriminatory expressions,	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	bodies, such as the organisations and som-puote bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	bodies, such as the organisations and som-puone bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual	property rights, organisations of moustry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobie expressions online, and organisations committed including those based on gender. For intellectual	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europe') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	bodies, such as the organisations and semi-puone NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	bodies, such as the organisations and some puote INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	bodies, such as the organisations and scurr-puote INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	governmentar organisations and some protect bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated thased tragger meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated trusted tragger meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated trusted tragger meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated trusted mager meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated trusted neglect meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	bodies, such as the organisations and some-puone INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flazzer	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to potifying illegal racist and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flazzer	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	bodies, such as the organisations and scint-puote INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they present collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, for intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	governmeeter organisations and semi-protected bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union A gency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	sovermitted organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	tackling illegal content, that they vork in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union A gency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-hotlers could be awarded trusted flagger	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger	tackling illegal content, that they work in a collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union A gency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status where they have demonstrated that they	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status where they have demonstrated that they	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status where they have demonstrated that they	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status where they have demonstrated that they	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status where they have demonstrated that they	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status where they have demonstrated that they	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal ratist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	neet the applicable conditions. The rules of mis Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	bodies, such as the organisations and some purity INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated fusced flagger	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they most the applicable conditions. The rules of this	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they most the applicable conditions. The rules of this	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	governmenter of genarastrons and sent-protection bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of holdines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, for intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	governmenter of genarastrons and setum-protection bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	Kegulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Kegulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Kegulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Kegulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Kegulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Kegulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Kegulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Kegulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Kegulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Kegulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Kegulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Kegulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	Kegulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	inderstood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	inderstood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	inderstood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	inderstood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	and a structure of a structure of the st	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	and a structure of a structure of the st	inderstood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	inderstood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations for industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this B contention on trusted flagtorers of the	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (² Europol ³) or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this B condition on trusted flagger	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this B condition on trusted flagger	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this B combation on trusted flagger	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Europol) or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flagger	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of rational law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers bound not be	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating disscriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	tackling illegal cornent, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	tackling illegal cornent, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal ratis and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating these based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be independent on provent online and the trusted flagger	tackling illegal corrent, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including these based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers flow	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective amore. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Feuropol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combaring discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they work in a diligent and objective mamer. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Furopol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent an objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Feuropol') or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. Iter unles of fuis Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobie expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of fhis Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	bodies, such as the organisations and semt-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they work in a diligent and objective mamer. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Furopol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law Union A gency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flaggers status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from oriving similar treatment to notice submitted by	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from oiving similar treatment to notices submitted by	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from orving similar treatment to notices submitted by	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from oriving similar treatment to notices submitted by	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective mamer. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated flag that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of holities for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combaring discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded	entities or individuals that have not been awarded
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective mamer. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying lilegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. Including those based on granisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applications. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of holines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenopholic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by	curries of manyidrans manuate not occil awarded	CULTING OF INDIVIDUALS THAT HAVE NOT DECH AWAI DEC	cultures of mini victuals that have not occit awarded	Curries of marking that have not been awarded	curries of individuals that have not occur awarded	cultures of individuals mat have not been awarded	currings of manyidness manyidness manyidness	cultures of individuals mat have not been awarded	Cutitics of midividuals distinave not occut awarded	Cutities of multiplicate that have not occit awarded	Cutitics of midividuals that have not occut awarded	CHILLES OF INDEVIDUARS MALINAVE INCLOSED AWAIDED	Currings of midial duals mat have not been awarded
Q				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective mamer. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europe1") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of bolines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenopholic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europe1') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of follines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by	governmental organisations and sempublic bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by	bodies, such as the organisations part of the NHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by													
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective mamer. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have now submitted by	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have now heen awarded	governmental organisations and sem-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobie expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded													
9				tackting ittegat content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective mamer. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europel') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. Including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to combating illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded													
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-hotlers could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flagger should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions including these based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trues of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations part of the NHOPE network of hottines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	terretad florence static under this Department of them	transfel florence status under this Description from	terretad flamman statics under this Department on furner										
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobie expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of foldines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tructed flagges status under this Deputation from	tructed flagges status under this Degulation from	tructed flamose status under this Deputation from										
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material Agganisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic uncluding those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal cornent, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of holtines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and sem-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations and source purposed INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tructed flagges status under this Deput stick from	tenetal flamme status under this Deputation from	tenetad flagger status under this Degulation from										
9				tackling illegal content, that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations part of the including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of nuested flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law Union A gency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of holtines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combaring discriminatory expressions including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online; and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tenetad flagger status under this Degulation from	tructual flamone status undue this Damilation from	tenetad flagmas status under this Deputation from										
9				tackling illegal content, that they vork in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating these based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hollnes for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions including these based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded tries they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tenetal flaggage status under this Deputation from	tructed flagges status under this Deputation from	tructed flances status under this Deputation from										
9				tackling illegal content, that they very environ diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobie expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and there work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europel') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of foldines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	twisted the access status under this Description from	tenetad flagsace status under this Deputation from	twisted flagsage status under this Deputation from										
9				tackling illegal content, that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trasted that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online, platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackfing illegal content, that they represent collective incrests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of holtines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of thus right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	twisted for any status under this Description form	transfel finance status under this Description from	terrated flowson status under this Description forms										
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flaggers status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of holtines for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combaring discriminatory expressions. Including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated flagger meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	turned diamona status under the Develoption format	terrated the same station and as this Description form	turned of a new status and an office Decord and the state										
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective mamer. Such endites can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terroist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union A gency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying llegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trated flagger status, where they have demonstrated flag the understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to combating illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations and some provide bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online; and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded													
Q				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective mamer. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") of they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent on line platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded													
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective mamer. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Teuropol') of they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europe1") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online plaforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded													
9				tackling illegal content, that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. Including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted nance submitted by endiets or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trated flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded													
9				tacking integrate content, that they work in a collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Teuropol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. Including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trated flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted naggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded													
9				tackling integrate conjective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Teuropol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent on tonice submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded													
9				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective mamer. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union A gency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meer the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent on notice submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online; and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded													
Q				tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meer the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terroist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union A gency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying lilegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent on line platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online; and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded													
9				tackling illegal content, that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted naggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referal units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trattee meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and venophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded													
9				tackling illegal content, that they very research collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions. including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hoffnes for reporting child sexual abuse material.organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender, For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded													
9				tacking integrate conjective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Teuropol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent on online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded													
9				tackling illegal content, that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ("Europol") or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material, organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	tackling illegal content, that they represent collective interests and that they work in a diligent and objective manner. Such entities can be public in nature, such as, for terrorist content, internet referral units of national law enforcement authorities or of the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation ('Europol') or they can be non- governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trattee meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	governmental organisations and semi-public bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	bodies, such as the organisations part of the INHOPE network of hotlines for reporting child sexual abuse material,organisations committed to notifying illegal racist and xenophobic expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	expressions online, and organisations committed to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded	to combating discriminatory expressions, including those based on gender. For intellectual property rights, organisations of industry and of right-holders could be awarded trusted flagger status, where they have demonstrated that they meet the applicable conditions. The rules of this Regulation on trusted flaggers should not be understood to prevent online platforms from giving similar treatment to notices submitted by entities or individuals that have not been awarded													

²⁴Regulation (EU) 2016/794 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 May 2016 on the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Europol) and replacing and repealing Council Decisions 2009/371/JHA, 2009/934/JHA, 2009/935/JHA, 2009/936/JHA and 2009/968/JHA, OJ L 135, 24.5.2016, p. 53

COMMISSION PROPOSAL Drafting suggestions Comments
otherwise cooperating with other entities, in accordance with the applicable law, including this Regulation and Regulation (EU) 2016/794 of the European Parliament and of the Council.
 (47) The misuse of services of online platforms by frequently providing manifestly illegal content or by frequently submitting manifestly unfounded notices or complaints such rise examples of what is to be under this Regulation undermines trust and harms the rights and legitimate interests of the parties concerned. Therefore, there is a need to put in place appropriate and proportionate safeguards against such misuse. Information should be considered to be manifestly unfounded where it is evident to a layperson, without any substantive analysis, that the content is illegal respectively that the notices or complaints are unfounded. Under certain

(48) An online platform may in some instances become aware, such as through a notice by a notifying party or through its own voluntary measures, of information relating to certain activity of a recipient of the service, such as the provision of certain types of illegal content, that reasonably justify, having regard to all relevant circumstances of which the online platform is aware, the suspicion that the recipient may have committed, may be committing or is likely to commit a serious criminal offence involving a threat to the life or safety of person, such as offences specified in Directive 2011/93/EU of	possibility should be set out, clearly and in sufficiently detail, in the terms and conditions of the online platforms. Redress should always be open to the decisions taken in this regard by online platforms and they should be subject to oversight by the competent Digital Services Coordinator. The rules of this Regulation on misuse should not prevent online platforms from taking other measures to address the provision of illegal content by recipients of their service or other misuse of their services, in accordance with the applicable Union and national law. Those rules are without prejudice to any possibility to hold the persons engaged in misuse liable, including for damages, provided for in Union or national law.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
		Drafting suggestions	
 IT (Comments): Does this provision imply that in case there is no serious criminal offence involving a threat to the life or safety of a person, there is no obligation for the platform to inform enforcement authorities? Reference to Directive 2011/93/EU on combating the sexual abuse is included in order to provide just an example of serious crimes, or is it to be understood that this provision applies only to such a criminal threat? The translation 		Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
the European Parliament and of the Council ²⁵ . In such instances, the online platform should inform without delay the competent law enforcement authorities of such suspicion, providing all relevant information available to it, including where relevant the content in question and an explanation of its suspicion. This Regulation does not provide the legal basis for profiling of recipients of the services with a view to the possible identification of criminal offences by online platforms. Online platforms should also respect other applicable rules of Union or national law for the protection of the rights and freedoms of individuals when informing law enforcement authorities.		into Italian may lead to conclude that this is not an example.
(49) In order to contribute to a safe, trustworthy and transparent online environment for consumers, as well as for other interested parties such as competing traders and holders of intellectual property rights, and to deter traders from selling products or services in violation of the applicable rules, online platforms allowing consumers to conclude distance contracts with traders should ensure that such traders are traceable. The trader should therefore be required to provide certain essential information to the		ES (Comments): An example or a clarification would be convenient to indicate that it includes platforms where economic activities such as rental of tourist apartments are carried out.

³⁵Directive 2011/93/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 December 2011 on combating the sexual abuse and sexual exploitation of children and child pornography, and replacing Council Framework Decision 2004/68/JHA (OJ L 335, 17.12.2011, p. 1).

COMMISSION PROPOSAL online platform, including for purposes of promoting messages on or offering products.	Drafting suggestions	Comments
online platform, including for purposes of promoting messages on or offering products. That requirement should also be applicable to traders that promote messages on products or services on behalf of brands, based on underlying agreements. Those online platforms should store all information in a secure manner for a reasonable period of time that does not exceed what is necessary, so that it can be accessed, in accordance with the applicable law, including on the protection of personal data, by public authorities and private parties with a legitimate information referred to in this Regulation.		
(50) To ensure an efficient and adequate application of that obligation, without imposing any disproportionate burdens, the online platforms covered should make reasonable efforts to verify the reliability of the information provided by the traders concerned, in particular by using freely available official online databases and online interfaces, such as national trade registers and the VAT Information Exchange System ²⁶ , or by requesting the traders concerned to provide trustworthy supporting documents, such as copies of identity documents, certified bank statements, company certificates and trade		ES (Comments): "However, the online platforms covered should not be required to engage in excessive or costly online fact-finding exercises or to carry out verifications on the spot. Nor should such online platforms, which have made the reasonable efforts required by this Regulation, be understood as guaranteeing the reliability of the information towards consumer or other interested parties." The exemption of online platforms from liability regarding the accuracy of the information to be

²⁶https://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs/vies/vieshome.do?selectedLanguage=en

l

65

register certificates. They may also use other sources, available for use at a distance, which offer a similar degree of reliability for the purpose of complying with this obligation. However, the online platforms covered should not be required to engage in excessive or costly online fact-finding exercises or to carry out verifications on the spot. Nor should such online platforms, which have made the reasonable efforts required by this Regulation, be understood as guaranteeing the reliability of the information towards consumer or other intersted parties. Such online platforms should also design and organise their online interface in a way that enables traders to comply with their obligations under Union law, in particular the requirements set out in Articles 6 and 8 of Directive 2011/83/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council ²⁷ , Article 7 of Directive 2005/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ²⁸ and Article 3 of Directive 98/6/EC of the

²⁷Directive 2011/83/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2011 on consumer rights, amending Council Directive 93/13/EEC and Directive 1999/44/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and repealing Council Directive 85/577/EEC and Directive 97/7/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council

¹⁸Directive 2005/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 May 2005 concerning unfair business-to-consumer commercial practices in the internal market and amending Council Directive 84/450/EEC, Directives 97/7/EC, 98/27/EC and 2002/65/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and Regulation (EC) No 2006/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council ('Unfair Commercial Practices Directive')

²⁹Directive 98/6/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 1998 on consumer protection in the indication of the prices of products offered to consumers

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
(51) In view of the particular responsibilities and obligations of online platforms, they should be made subject to transparency reporting obligations, which apply in addition to the transparency reporting obligations applicable to all providers of intermediary services under this Regulation. For the purposes of determining whether online platforms may be very large online platforms that are subject to certain additional obligations under this Regulation, the transparency reporting obligations for online platforms should include certain obligations relating to the publication and communication of information on the average monthly active recipients of the service in the Union.		
(52) Online advertisement plays an important role in the online environment, including in relation to the provision of the services of online platforms. However, online advertisement can contribute to significant risks, ranging from advertisement that is itself illegal content, to contributing to financial incentives for the publication or amplification of illegal or otherwise harmful content and activities online, or the discriminatory display of advertising with an impact on the equal treatment and opportunities of citizens. In addition to the requirements resulting from Article 6 of Directive 2000/31/EC, online platforms should		

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
therefore be required to ensure that the recipients of the service have certain individualised information necessary for them to understand when and on whose behalf the advertisement is displayed. In addition, recipients of the service should have information on the main parameters used for determining that specific advertising is to be displayed to them, providing meaningful explanations of the logic used to that end, including when this is based on profiling. The requirements of this Regulation on the provision of information relating to advertisement is without prejudice to the application of the relevant provisions of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, in particular those regarding the right to object, automated individual decision-making, including profiling and specifically the need to obtain consent of the data subject prior to the provessions laid down in Directive 2002/58/EC in particular those regarding the storage of information in terminal equipment and the access to information		
(53) Given the importance of very large online platforms, due to their reach, in particular as expressed in number of recipients of the service, in facilitating public debate, economic transactions and the dissemination of		

(54) Very large online platforms may cause societal risks, different in scope and impact from those caused by smaller platforms. Once the number of recipients of a platform reaches a significant share of the Union population, the systemic risks the platform poses have a disproportionately negative impact in the Union. Such significant reach should be considered to exist where the number of recipients exceeds an operational threshold set at 45 million, that is, a number equivalent to 10% of the Union population. The operational threshold should be kept up to date through amendments enacted by delegated acts, where necessary. Such very large online platforms should therefore bear the highest standard of due diligence obligations, proportionate to their societal impact and means.	information, opinions and ideas and in influencing how recipients obtain and communicate information online, it is necessary to impose specific obligations on those platforms, in addition to the obligations applicable to all online platforms. Those additional obligations on very large online platforms are necessary to address those public policy concerns, there being no alternative and less restrictive measures that would effectively achieve the same result.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL
SE (Drafting): Very large online platforms may cause societal risks, different in scope and impact from those caused by smaller platforms. Once the number of recipients of a platform reaches a significant share of the Union population, the systemic risks the platform poses may have a disproportionate impact in the Union. Such significant reach should be considered to exist where the number of recipients exceeds an operational threshold set at 45 million, that is, a number equivalent to 10% of the Union population. The operational threshold should be kept up to date through amendments enacted by delegated acts, where necessary. Such very large online platforms should therefore bear the highest standard of due diligence obligations, proportionate to their		Drafting suggestions
SE (Comments): We wish for a balanced approach to the impact of platforms, as they may not only cause societal risks but also offer valuable services.		Comments

(56) Very large online platforms are used in a way that strongly influences safety online, the shaping of public opinion and discourse, as well as on online trade. The way they design their services is generally optimised to benefit their often advertising-driven business models and can cause societal concerns. In the absence of	(55) In view of the network effects characterising the platform economy, the user base of an online platform may quickly expand and reach the dimension of a very large online platform, with the related impact on the internal market. This may be the case in the event of exponential growth experienced in short periods of time, or by a large global presence and turnover allowing the online platform to fully exploit network effects and economies of scale and of scope. A high annual turnover or market capitalisation can in particular be an indication of fast scalability in terms of user reach. In those cases, the Digital Services Coordinator should be able to request more frequent reporting from the platform on the user base to be able to timely identify the moment at which that platform should be designated as a very large online platform for the purposes of this Regulation.		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
SE (Drafting): Very large online platforms can be used in a way that strongly influences safety online, the shaping of public opinion and discourse, as well as on online trade. The way they design their services is generally optimised to benefit their often		societal impact and means.	Drafting suggestions	
SE (Comments): See comment above under (54). SK (Comments): SK agrees with the duty of very large platforms	SK (Comments): In connection with the definition of asymmetric obligations of very large platforms (danger of potential circumvention), we draw attention to the possible risks, such as relocating and disseminating illegal content to less regulated platforms (eg in relation to terrorist content, violent extremism or child abuse records), slowing down innovative growth and the potential of smaller companies to grow only to a certain extent (will have motivation to stay below thresholds)		Comments	

(57) Three categories of systemic risks should be assessed in-depth. A first category concerns the risks associated with the misuse of their service through the dissemination of illegal content, such as the dissemination of child sexual abuse material or illegal hate speech, and the conduct of illegal activities, such as the sale of products or services prohibited by Union or national law, including counterfeit products. For example, and without prejudice to the personal responsibility of the recipient of the service of very large online platforms for possible illegality of his or her activity under the applicable law, such dissemination or activities may constitute a significant systematic risk where access to such content may be amplified through accounts with a particularly wide reach. A second category concerns the impact of the service on the exercise	effective regulation and enforcement, they can set the rules of the game, without effectively identifying and mitigating the risks and the societal and economic harm they can cause. Under this Regulation, very large online platforms should therefore assess the systemic risks stemming from the functioning and use of their service, as well as by potential misuses by the recipients of the service, and take appropriate mitigating measures.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL
SE (Drafting): (57) Three categories of systemic risks should be assessed in-depth. A first category concerns the risks associated with the misuse of their service through the dissemination of child sexual abuse material or illegal hate speech, including explicit threats of a sexual nature and the conduct of illegal activities, such as the sale of products or services prohibited by Union or national law, including counterfeit products. For example, and without prejudice to the personal responsibility of the recipient of the service of very large online platforms for possible illegality of his or her activity under the applicable law, such dissemination or activities may constitute a significant systematic risk where access to such	advertising-driven business models and can cause societal concerns. Effective and human rights based regulation and enforcement, is necessary in order to effectively identify and mitigate the risks and the societal and economic harm that may arise. Under this Regulation, very large online platforms should therefore assess the systemic risks stemming from the functioning and use of their service, as well as by potential misuses by the recipients of the service, and take appropriate mitigating measures.	Drafting suggestions
SK (Comments): We find, that the impact of the service on the exercise of fundamental rights is very broadly defined and hence gives an impression that it is left up to very large platforms to define and identify which rights for which situation are being violated, in their risk assessments that they are obliged to conduct at least once a year. We would appreciate here, either an adoption of a delegated act or more profound explanation of how should very large platform assess violation of fundamental rights for this recital and for the corresponding article in the Regulation.	to identify, analyze and assess any significant systemic risks stemming from the functioning and use made of their services, however what we miss here is a benchmark against which this evaluation of risk assessment should be done. The way in which recitals 56 and 57 (and Art. 26) are currently phrased, leaves an impression that very large platforms are free in their practice and conduct of their risk assessment. We would therefore suggest to add some to the benchmark references.	Comments

	content may be amplified through accounts with a particularly wide reach. A second category concerns the impact of the service on the exercise of Fundamental Rights, including the freedom of expression and information, the right to private life, gender equality and the rights of the child. Such risks may arise, for example, in relation to the design of the algorithmic systems used by the very large online platform or the misuse of their service through the submission of abusive notices or other methods for silencing speech or hampering competition. A third category of risks concerns the intentional and, oftentimes, coordinated manipulation of the platform's service, with a foreseeable impact on health, civic discourse, electoral processes, public security and protection of minors, having regard to the need to safeguard public order, protect privacy and fight fraudulent and deceptive commercial practices. Such risks may arise, for example, through the creation of fake accounts, the use of bots, and other automated or partially automated behaviours, which may lead to the rapid and widespread dissemination of information that is illegal content or incompatible with an online platform's terms and conditions.	of fundamental rights, as protected by the Charter of Fundamental Rights, including the freedom of expression and information, the right to private life, the right to non-discrimination and the rights of the child. Such risks may arise, for example, in relation to the design of the algorithmic systems used by the very large online platform or the misuse of their service through the submission of abusive notices or other methods for silencing speech or hampering competition. A third category of risks concerns the intentional and, oftentimes, coordinated manipulation of the platform's service, with a foreseeable impact on health, civic discourse, electoral processes, public security and protection of minors, having regard to the need to safeguard public order, protect privacy and fight fraudulent and deceptive commercial practices. Such risks may arise, for example, through the creation of fake accounts, the use of bots, and other automated or partially automated behaviours, which may lead to the rapid and widespread dissemination of information that is illegal content or incompatible with an online platform's terms and conditions.
Comments	Drafting suggestions	COMMISSION PROPOSAL
COMMISSION PROPOSAL commercial practices, and should be proportionate in light of the very large online platform's economic capacity and the need to	Drafting suggestions for mitigating the specific risks identified, in the interest of safeguarding public order, protecting privacy and fighting fraudulent and deceptive	
---	---	
proportionate in light of the very large online platform's economic capacity and the need to avoid unnecessary restrictions on the use of their service, taking due account of potential negative effects on the fundamental rights of the recipients of the service.	interest of safeguarding public order, protecting privacy and fighting fraudulent and deceptive commercial practices, and should be proportionate in light of the very large online platform's economic capacity and the need to avoid unnecessary restrictions on the use of their service, taking due account of potential negative effects on the fundamental rights of the recipients of the service.	
(59) Very large online platforms should, where appropriate, conduct their risk assessments and design their risk mitigation measures with the involvement of representatives of the recipients of the service, representatives of groups potentially impacted by their services, independent experts and civil society organisations.		
(60) Given the need to ensure verification by independent experts, very large online platforms should be accountable, through independent auditing, for their compliance with the obligations laid down by this Regulation and, where relevant, any complementary commitments undertaking pursuant to codes of conduct and crises protocols. They should give the auditor access to all relevant data necessary	IE (Drafting): Given the specific technical competence that is required of auditors, it is suggested that the phrase "If their independence is not beyond doubt," should have " and technical competence" added after "independence"	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
to perform the audit properly. Auditors should also be able to make use of other sources of objective information, including studies by vetted researchers. Auditors should guarantee the confidentiality, security and integrity of the information, such as trade secrets, that they obtain when performing their tasks and have the necessary expertise in the area of risk management and technical competence to audit algorithms. Auditors should be independent, so as to be able to perform their tasks in an adequate and trustworthy manner. If their independence is not beyond doubt, they should resign or abstain from the audit engagement.		
(61) The audit report should be substantiated, so as to give a meaningful account of the activities undertaken and the conclusions reached. It should help inform, and where appropriate suggest improvements to the measures taken by the very large online platform to comply with their obligations under this Regulation. The report should be transmitted to the Digital Services Coordinator of establishment and the Board without delay, together with the risk assessment and the mitigation measures, as well as the platform's plans for addressing the audit's recommendations. The report should include an audit opinion based on the conclusions drawn from the audit evidence		

(62) A core part of a very large online platform's business is the manner in which information is prioritised and presented on its online interface to facilitate and optimise access to information for the recipients of the service. This is done, for example, by algorithmically suggesting, ranking and prioritising information, distinguishing through text or other visual representations, or otherwise curating information provided by recipients. Such recommender systems can have a significant impact on the ability of recipients to retrieve and interact with information online. They also play	obtained. A positive opinion should be given where all evidence shows that the very large online platform complies with the obligations laid down by this Regulation or, where applicable, any commitments it has undertaken pursuant to a code of conduct or crisis protocol, in particular by identifying, evaluating and mitigating the systemic risks posed by its system and services. A positive opinion should be accompanied by comments where the auditor wishes to include remarks that do not have a substantial effect on the outcome of the audit. A negative opinion should be given where the auditor considers that the very large online platform does not comply with this Regulation or the commitments undertaken.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL
		Drafting suggestions
IE (Comments): It is unclear whether the intention of the final sentence of this Recital is carried forward into Article 29 due to the use of the word "may" in that Article SK (Comments): Question on the Commission: Does this recital (and consequently art. 29) refer only to the recommender systems that are used to prioritize and present information on the very		Comments

COMMISSION PROPOSAL Draftin	Drafting suggestions	Comments
public security, civil discourse, political participation and equality. Repositories should include the content of advertisements and related advertisement, in particular where targeted advertising is concerned. the delivery of the advertisement, in particular where targeted advertisement.	techniques and disinformation with a real and foreseeable negative impact on public health, public security, civil discourse, political participation, gender equality and equality. Repositories should include the content of advertisements and related data on the advertiser and the delivery of the advertisement, in	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
(64) In order to appropriately supervise the compliance of very large online platforms with the obligations laid down by this Regulation, the Digital Services Coordinator of establishment or the Commission may require access to or reporting of specific data. Such a requirement may include, for example, the data necessary to assess the risks and possible harms brought about by the platform's systems, data on the accuracy, functioning and testing of algorithmic systems or advertising systems, or data on processes and outputs of content moderation or of internal complaint-handling systems within the meaning of this Regulation. Investigations by researchers on the evolution and severity of online systemic risks are particularly important for bridging information asymmetries and establishing a resilient system of risk mitigation, informing online platforms, Digital Services Coordinators, other competent authorities, the Commission and the public. This Regulation therefore provides a framework for compelling access to data under that framework should be proportionate and appropriately protect the rights and legitimate interests, including trade secrets and other confidential information, of the platform and any other parties concerned, including the recipients		SK (Comments): SK would preferably suggest to introduce a new function, that of "data arbiter", into this recital. Subsequently, he could act as a judge between platform discloses to researchers upon their requests. We have not found any clues on how to proceed in case of such a conflict in this proposal. The same adjustment are valid for art. 31 of this Regulation.
of the service.		

COMMISSION PROPOSAL (65) Given the complexity of the functioning of the systems deployed and the systemic risks they present to society, very large online platforms should appoint compliance officers, which should have the necessary qualifications to	Drafting suggestions	Comments SK (Comments): Given the additional transparency requirements applicable specifically to very large online platforms, we would recommend Guidelines on
 (65) Given the complexity of the functioning of the systems deployed and the systemic risks they present to society, very large online platforms should appoint compliance officers, which should have the necessary qualifications to operationalise measures and monitor the platform's organisation. Very large online platforms should ensure that the compliance officer is involved, properly and in a timely manner, in all issues which relate to this Regulation. In view of the additional risks relating to their activities and their additional obligations under this Regulation, the other transparency requirements set out in this Regulation should be complemented by additional transparency requirements applicable specifically to very large online platforms, notably to report on the risk assessments performed and subsequent measures adopted as provided by this Regulation. (66) To facilitate the effective and consistent application of the obligations in this Regulation. 		SK (Comments): Given the additional transparency requirements applicable specifically to very large online platforms, we would recommend Guidelines on ranking transparency pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 of the European Parliament and of the Council (2020/C 424/01. of the Council (2020/C 424/01.

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
develop standardised means to comply with this Regulation, such as allowing the submission of notices, including through application programming interfaces, or about the interoperability of advertisement repositories. Such standards could in particular be useful for relatively small providers of intermediary services. The standards could distinguish between different types of illegal content or different types of intermediary services, as appropriate.		
(67) The Commission and the Board should encourage the drawing-up of codes of conduct to contribute to the application of this Regulation. While the implementation of codes of conduct should be measurable and subject to public oversight, this should not impair the voluntary nature of such codes and the freedom of interested parties to decide whether to participate. In certain circumstances, it is important that very large online platforms cooperate in the drawing-up and adhere to specific codes of conduct. Nothing in this Regulation prevents other service providers from adhering to the same standards of due diligence, adopting best practices and benefitting from the guidance provided by the Commission and the Board, by participating in the same codes of conduct		

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
(68) It is appropriate that this Regulation identify certain areas of consideration for such codes of conduct. In particular, risk mitigation measures concerning specific types of illegal content should be explored via self- and co- regulatory agreements. Another area for consideration is the possible negative impacts of systemic risks on society and democracy, such as disinformation, or manipulative and abusive activities. This includes coordinated operations aimed at amplifying information, including disinformation, such as the use of bots or fake accounts for the creation of fake or misleading information, sometimes with a purpose of obtaining economic gain, which are particularly harmful for vulnerable recipients of the service, such as children. In relation to such areas, adherence to and compliance with a given code of conduct by a very large online platform may be considered as an appropriate risk mitigating measure. The refusal without proper explanations by an online platform of the Commission's invitation to participate in the application of such a code of conduct could be taken into account, where relevant, when determining whether the online platform has infringed the obligations laid down by this Regulation.	SE (Drafting): (68) It is appropriate that this Regulation identify certain areas of consideration for such codes of conduct. In particular, risk mitigation measures concerning specific types of illegal content should be explored via self- and co-regulatory agreements. Another area for consideration is the possible negative impacts of systemic risks on society and democracy, such as disinformation or manipulative and abusive activities. This includes coordinated operations aimed at amplifying information, including disinformation, such as the use of bots or fake accounts for the creation of inaccurate or misleading information, sometimes with a purpose of obtaining economic gain, which are particularly harmful for vulnerable recipients of the service, such as children. In relation to such areas, adherence to and compliance with a given code of conduct by a very large online platform may be considered as an appropriate risk mitigating measure. The refusal without proper explanations by an online platform of the application of such a code of conduct could be taken into account, where relevant, when determining whether the online platform has infringed the obligations laid down by this	SE (Comments): We cannot accept using "fake" when speaking about information as this is often used in order to limit freedom of expression. Disinformation is the correct term and sufficient, or if necessary e.g. inaccurate can be used.

Т

Т

COMMISSION PROPOSAL Drafting suggestions Comments (69) The rules on codes of conduct under this Regulation Regulation Regulation (69) The rules on codes of conduct under this Regulation Regulation Regulation (69) The rules on codes of conduct against Regulation Regulation Regulation (70) The provision of online advertising generally involves several actors, including generally involves several actors, including generally involves several actors, including for the latter, the code of provision of online advertising with avertisence of online advertising with avertisence of the function of the function of the latter, the code of provision of online advertising with avertisence of the latter, the code of conduct in the function of the latter, the code of provision of online advertising with avertisence of the latter, the code of provision of online advertising with avertisence of the latter, the code of provide in the function of the latter, the code of provide for flexible and entries with avertisence of the latter, the code of provide for flexible and entries with avertisence of the latter, the code of c			
COMMISSION PROPOSAL Drafting suggestions Image: Comparison of conduct under this lation could serve as a basis for already bilshed self-regulatory efforts at Union level, the course due of Conduct against turfeit goods, the Code of Conduct against al hate speech as well as the Code of practice is information. In particular for the latter, the mission will issue guidance for guidence for guidening the Code of practice of practice for the latter, the formation as anounced in the European locracy Action Plan. Image: Code of Conduct against all hate speech as well as the Code of practice of practice of practice of practice of sinformation. In particular for the latter, the mission will issue guidance for guidence for guidence for guidence for guidence for fractice on formation as anounced in the European locracy Action Plan. Image: Code of Conduct against all hate speech as well as the Code of practice of fractice on formation as anounced in the European locracy Action Plan. Image: Code of Conduct against all hate speech as well as the context publishers of fractice of the latter, the function of the provision of online advertises. Image: Code of Conduct against all hate speech as context publishers of conducts of the several actors, including mediany services that connect publishers of fractice on plenent the transparency for the several actors, including trans, and the respective mechanisms to facilitate and mode to provide for flexible effective mechanisms to facilitate and model is a complement the modalities of the latter, the modalities of the wide range of stakeholders Image: Code of the latter, the transparency and complement the respective publisher of the relevant information. The modalities of the seven of the relevant information. The complement of a wide range of stakeholders Image: Code of the flatter, the code of the transparency and the publis			
The rules on codes of conduct under this alation could serve as a basis for already oblished self-regulatory efforts at Union level, ding the Product Safety Pledge, the norandum of Understanding against therfeit goods, the Code of Conduct against therfeit goods, the Code of practice is information. In particular for the latter, the unission will issue guidance for gthening the Code of practice on formation as announced in the European ocracy Action Plan. The provision of online advertising rally involves several actors, including mediary services that connect publishers of rising with advertisers. Codes of conducts ld support and complement the transparency gations relating to advertisement for online orms and very large online platforms set out is Regulation in order to provide for flexible effective mechanisms to facilitate and nce the compliance with those obligations, bly as concerns the modalities of the mission of the relevant information. The wide range of stakeholders	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
(69) The rules on codes of conduct under this Regulation could serve as a basis for already established self-regulatory efforts at Union level, including the Product Safety Pledge, the Memorandum of Understanding against counterfeit goods, the Code of Foratice or disinformation. In particular for the latter, the Commission will issue guidance for strengthening the Code of practice on disinformation as announced in the European Democracy Action Plan. (70) The provision of online advertising generally involves several actors, including intermediary services that connect publishers of advertising with advertisers. Codes of conduct should support and complement the transparency obligations relating to advertisement for online advertising with advertisers to provide for fuelitie and effective mechanisms to isolitate and enhance the compliance with those obligations, notably as concerns the modalities of the transmission of a wide range of stakeholders		Regulation.	
(69) The rules on codes of conduct under this Regulation could serve as a basis for already restabilished self-regulatory efforts at Union level, including the Product Safety Pledge, the counterfeit goods, the Code of Conduct against counterfeit goods, the Code of practice on disinformation. In particular for the latter, the Commission will issue guidance for strengthening the Code of practice on disinformation as announced in the European Democracy Action Plan. (70) The provision of online advertising generally involves several actors, including intermediary services that connect publishers of advertising with advertisement for online should support and complement the transparency obligations relating to advertisement for online platforms and very large online platforms set out in this Regulation in order to provide for flexible and effective mechanisms to facilitate and enhance the compliance with those obligations, notably as concerns the modulities of the transmission of the relevant information. The involvement of a wide range of stakeholders			
(70) The provision of online advertising generally involves several actors, including intermediary services that connect publishers of advertising with advertisers. Codes of conducts should support and complement the transparency obligations relating to advertisement for online platforms and very large online platforms set out in this Regulation in order to provide for flexible and effective mechanisms to facilitate and enhance the compliance with those obligations, notably as concerns the modalities of the transmission of the relevant information. The involvement of a wide range of stakeholders	(69) The rules on codes of conduct under this Regulation could serve as a basis for already established self-regulatory efforts at Union level, including the Product Safety Pledge, the Memorandum of Understanding against counterfeit goods, the Code of Conduct against illegal hate speech as well as the Code of practice on disinformation. In particular for the latter, the Commission will issue guidance for strengthening the Code of practice on disinformation as announced in the European Democracy Action Plan.		
(70) The provision of online advertising generally involves several actors, including intermediary services that connect publishers of advertising with advertisers. Codes of conducts should support and complement the transparency obligations relating to advertisement for online platforms and very large online platforms set out in this Regulation in order to provide for flexible and effective mechanisms to facilitate and enhance the compliance with those obligations, notably as concerns the modalities of the transmission of the relevant information. The involvement of a wide range of stakeholders			
chauld answer that those and as at conduct are	(70) The provision of online advertising generally involves several actors, including intermediary services that connect publishers of advertising with advertisers. Codes of conducts should support and complement the transparency obligations relating to advertisement for online platforms and very large online platforms set out in this Regulation in order to provide for flexible and effective mechanisms to facilitate and enhance the compliance with those obligations, notably as concerns the modalities of the transmission of the relevant information. The involvement of a wide range of stakeholders		

COMMISSION PROPOSAL Drafting suggestions Comments
widely supported, technically sound, effective and offer the highest levels of user-friendliness to ensure that the transparency obligations achieve
their objectives.
their objectives.

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
participating very large online platforms to monitor the information which they transmit or store, nor actively to seek facts or circumstances indicating illegal content.		
 (72) The task of ensuring adequate oversight and enforcement of the obligations laid down in this Regulation should in principle be attributed to the Member States. To this end, they should appoint at least one authority with the task to apply and enforce this Regulation. Member States should however be able to entrust more than one competent authority, with specific supervisory or enforcement tasks and competences concerning the application of this Regulators or consumer protection authorities, reflecting their domestic constitutional, organisational and administrative structure. (73) Given the cross-border nature of the services at stake and the horizontal range of obligations introduced by this Regulation, the authority appointed with the task of supervising the application should be identified as a Digital Services Coordinator in each Member State. 		
(73) Given the cross-border nature of the services at stake and the horizontal range of obligations introduced by this Regulation, the authority appointed with the task of supervising the application and, where necessary, enforcing this Regulation should be identified as a Digital Services Coordinator in each Member State. Where more than one competent authority is		

COMMISSION PROPOSAL Dra	Drafting suggestions	Comments
appointed to apply and enforce this Regulation, only one authority in that Member State should be identified as a Digital Services Coordinator. The Digital Services Coordinator should act as the single contact point with regard to all matters related to the application of this Regulation for the Commission, the Board, the Digital Services Coordinators of other Member States, as well as for other competent authorities of the Member State in question. In particular, where several competent authorities are entrusted with tasks under this Regulation in a given Member State, the Digital Services Coordinator should coordinate and cooperate with those authorities in accordance with the national law setting their respective tasks, and should ensure effective involvement of all relevant authorities in the supervision and enforcement at Union level.		
(74) The Digital Services Coordinator, as well as other competent authorities designated under this Regulation, play a crucial role in ensuring the effectiveness of the rights and obligations laid down in this Regulation and the achievement of its objectives. Accordingly, it is necessary to ensure that those authorities act in complete independence from private and public bodies, without the obligation or possibility to seek or receive instructions, including from the government, and without prejudice to the specific		

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
duties to cooperate with other competent authorities, the Digital Services Coordinators, the Board and the Commission. On the other hand, the independence of these authorities should not mean that they cannot be subject, in accordance with national constitutions and without endangering the achievement of the objectives of this Regulation, to national control or monitoring mechanisms regarding their financial expenditure or to judicial review, or that they should not have the possibility to consult other national authorities or crisis management authorities, where appropriate.		
(75) Member States can designate an existing national authority with the function of the Digital Services Coordinator, or with specific tasks to apply and enforce this Regulation, provided that any such appointed authority complies with the requirements laid down in this Regulation, such as in relation to its independence. Moreover, Member States are in principle not precluded from merging functions within an existing authority, in accordance with Union law. The measures to that effect may include, inter alia, the preclusion to dismiss the President or a board member of a collegiate body of an existing authority before the expiry of their terms of office, on the sole ground that an institutional		

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
reform has taken place involving the merger of different functions within one authority, in the absence of any rules guaranteeing that such dismissals do not jeopardise the independence and impartiality of such members.		
(76) In the absence of a general requirement		PI
for providers of intermediary services to ensure a physical presence within the territory of one of the Member States, there is a need to ensure clarity under which Member State's jurisdiction those providers fall for the purposes of enforcing the rules laid down in Chapters III and IV by the national competent authorities. A provider should be under the jurisdiction of the Member State where its main establishment is located, that is, where the provider has its head office or registered office within which the principal financial functions and operational control are exercised. In respect of providers that do not have an establishment in the Union but that offer services in the Union and therefore fall within the scope of this Regulation, the Member State where those providers appointed their legal representative should have jurisdiction, considering the function of legal representatives under this Regulation. In the interest of the effective application of this Regulation, all Member States should, however, have		(Comments): The term "jurisdiction" may refer to judicial jurisdiction. This provision, on the other hand, does not refer to judicial proceedings - especially civil proceedings. It can be assumed that the term "jurisdiction" will have its own autonomous interpretation under the DSA Regulation. Therefore, it should refer to the supervision and enforcement of obligations under the DSA Regulation (administrative regulatory oversight). That is why we would like to propose the introduction of an additional recital in the draft DSA Regulation that would remove the raised interpretative uncertainties. Alternatively, the word "jurisdiction" used in the text of the DSA Regulation could be clarified for this purpose.

COMMISSION PROPOSALDrafting suggestionsCommentsdesignate a legal representative, provided that the principle of <i>ne bis in idem</i> is respected. To that aim, each Member State the exercises sues of the measures they have taken in the exercise of that jurisdiction.Comments(77)Member States should provide the Digital Services Coordinator, and any other competent authricit designated under this Regulation, with sufficient powers and means to ensure effective investigation and enforcement. Digital Services Coordinators, with due regrand to the fact that oversight and enforcement measures to ensure of that other concerning a provider under the jurisdiction of anord the adorpted by the Digital Services Coordinator of that other measures the procedure state necences of powers and necences of powers and means to ensure offective investigation and enforcement measures the provider under the fact that oversight and enforcement measures the accordinator of that other Member State, where retevant in accordance with the procedures relating to cross-border cooperation.Drafting suggestionsComments the procedure selating to cross-border			
designate a legal representative, provided that the principle of <i>ne bis in idem</i> is respected. To that aim, each Member State the avercises should, without undue delay, inform all other Member States of the measures they have taken in the exercise of that jurisdiction. (77) Member States should provides the Digital Services Coordinator, and any other competent authority designated under this Regulation, with sufficient powers and means to ensure effective investigation and enforcement. Digital Services Coordinator, and obtain information which is located in its territory, including in the context of joint investigations, with due regard to the fact that oversight and enforcement measures concerning a provider under the jurisdiction of another Member State, where relevant in accordance with the procedures relating to cross-border conduction by the concerning a provider under the jurisdiction of another Member State, where relevant in accordance with the procedures relating to cross-border conductions.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
(77) Member States should provide the Digital Services Coordinator, and any other competent authority designated under this Regulation, with sufficient powers and means to ensure effective investigation and enforcement. Digital Services Coordinators should in particular be able to search for and obtain information which is located in its territory, including in the context of joint investigations, with due regard to the fact that oversight and enforcement measures concerning a provider under the jurisdiction of another Member State should be adopted by the Digital Services Coordinator of that other Member State, where relevant in accordance with the procedures relating to cross-border cooperation.	designate a legal representative, provided that the principle of <i>ne bis in idem</i> is respected. To that aim, each Member State that exercises jurisdiction in respect of such providers should, without undue delay, inform all other Member States of the measures they have taken in the exercise of that jurisdiction.		
(77) Member States should provide the Digital Services Coordinator, and any other competent authority designated under this Regulation, with sufficient powers and means to ensure effective investigation and enforcement. Digital Services Coordinators should in particular be able to search for and obtain information which is located in its territory, including in the context of joint investigations, with due regard to the fact that oversight and enforcement measures concerning a provider under the jurisdiction of another Member State should be adopted by the Digital Services Coordinator of that other Member State, where relevant in accordance with the procedures relating to cross-border cooperation.			
(78) Member States should set out in their national law, in accordance with Union law and in particular this Regulation and the Charter, the detailed conditions and limits for the exercise of	 (77) Member States should provide the Digital Services Coordinator, and any other competent authority designated under this Regulation, with sufficient powers and means to ensure effective investigation and enforcement. Digital Services Coordinators should in particular be able to search for and obtain information which is located in its territory, including in the context of joint investigations, with due regard to the fact that oversight and enforcement measures concerning a provider under the jurisdiction of another Member State should be adopted by the Digital Services Coordinator of that other Member State, where relevant in accordance with the procedures relating to cross-border (78) Member States should set out in their national law, in accordance with Union law and in particular this Regulation and the Charter, the detailed conditions and limits for the exercise of 		

COMMISSION PROPOSAL Drafing suggestions Comments the investigatory and enforcement powers of competent authorities where relevant, under this Regulation. Comments Comments (79) In the course of the exercise of those powers, the competent authorities should comply with the applicable national rules regarding procedures and natters such as the need for a prior judicial authorisation to enter certain prior judicial authorisation to an effective remedy and to a fair trial. including the rights of defence, and, the right to respect for private life. In this regard, the gamantees provided for in relation to the proceedings of the Commission pursuant to this Regulation could serve as an appropriate point of reference. A prior, fair and impurital procedure should be gamanteed before taking any final decision, including the right to be heard of the persons concerned, and the right to be heard of the persons concerned, and the right to be heard of the persons concerned, and the right to be heard of the persons concerned, and the right of a private the obligation to give meaningful reasons for the decisions. This should not preclude the taking of measures, however, in duly substantiated cases of urgency and subject to appropriate conditions and procedural arrangements. The exercise of the concerned arrangements. The exercise of the concerned arrangements. The exercise of the concerned arrangements. The exercise of the concerned of the concerned of the relation the procedure should not preclude the taking of measures, however, in duly substantiated cases of the concerned arrangements. The exercise of the concerned of the concerned of the persons concerned of the persons concerned of the proceedings of the concerned of the persons concerned of the p			
the investigatory and enforcement powers of their Digital Services Coordinators, and other competent authorities where relevant, under this Regulation. (79) In the course of the exercise of those powers, the competent authorities should comply with the applicable national rules regarding procedures and matters such as the need for a prior judicial authoristics to enter certain premises and legal professional privilege. Those provisions should in particular ensure respect for the fundamental rights to a effective remoty and to a fair trial, including the rights of defence, and, the right to respect for private life. In this regard, the guarantees provided for in relation to the proceedings of the Commission pursuant to this Regulation could serve as an appropriate providing the right to be heard of the persons concerned, and the right to be heard of the persons concerned, and the right to be heard of the persons concerned, and the right to be decisions. This should not preclude the taking of measures, however, in duly substantiated cases of urgency and subject to appropriate conditions and procedural arrangements. The exercise of	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
(79) In the course of the exercise of those powers, the completent authorities should comply with the applicable national rules regarding procedures and natters such as the need for a provisions should in particular ensure respect for the fundamental rights to an effective remedy and to a fair trial, including the rights of defence, and, the right to respect for private life. In this regard, the guarantees provided for in relation to the proceedings of the Commission pusuant to this Regulation could serve as an appropriate point of reference. A prior, fair and impartial procedure should be guaranteed before taking any final decision, including the right to be heard of the presons concerned, and the right to be heard of the presons concerned, and the right to be heard and professional and business secrecy, as well as the obligation to give meaningful reasons for the decisions. This should not preclude the taking of measures, however, in duly substantiated cases of urgency and subject to appropriate conditions and proceedural arrangements. The exercise of	the investigatory and enforcement powers of their Digital Services Coordinators, and other competent authorities where relevant, under this Regulation.		
(79) In the course of the exercise of those powers, the completent authorities should comply with the applicable national rules regarding procedures and legal professional privilege. Those provisions should in particular ensure respect for the fundamental rights on an effective remedy and to a fair trial, including the rights of defence, and, the guarantees provided for in relation to the proceedings of the Commission pursuant to point of reference. A prior, fair and impartial procedure should be guaranteed before taking of the persons concerned, and the right to be heard of the persons concerned, and the right to be heard of the persons concerned, and the right to be heard the obligation to give meaningful reasons for the decisions. This should not preclude the taking of measures, however, in duly substantiated cases of urgency and subject to appropriate activity of the decisions. This should not preclude the taking of measures, however, in duly substantiated cases of urgency and subject to appropriate activity of the decisions. This exercise of			
	(79) In the course of the exercise of those powers, the competent authorities should comply with the applicable national rules regarding procedures and matters such as the need for a prior judicial authorisation to enter certain premises and legal professional privilege. Those provisions should in particular ensure respect for the fundamental rights to an effective remedy and to a fair trial, including the rights of defence, and, the right to respect for private life. In this regard, the guarantees provided for in relation to the proceedings of the Commission pursuant to this Regulation could serve as an appropriate point of reference. A prior, fair and impartial procedure should be guaranteed before taking any final decision, including the right to be heard of the persons concerned, and the right to be heard of the persons concerned, and the right to be heard the obligation to give meaningful reasons for the decisions. This should not preclude the taking of measures, however, in duly substantiated cases of urgency and subject to appropriate conditions and procedural arrangements. The exercise of		

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
the nature and the overall actual or potential harm caused by the infringement or suspected infringement. The competent authorities should in principle take all relevant facts and circumstances of the case into account, including information gathered by competent authorities in other Member States.		
(80) Member States should ensure that violations of the obligations laid down in this Regulation can be sanctioned in a manner that is effective, proportionate and dissuasive, taking into account the nature, gravity, recurrence and duration of the violation, in view of the public interest pursued, the scope and kind of activities carried out, as well as the economic capacity of the infringer. In particular, penalties should take into account whether the provider of intermediary services concerned systematically or recurrently fails to comply with its obligations stemming from this Regulation, as well as, where relevant, whether the provider is active in several Member States. (81) In order to ensure effective enforcement of this Regulation, individuals or representative organisations should be able to lodge any complaint related to compliance with this		
nis		

		07 DF DF
		(82) Member States should ensure that Digital Services Coordinators can take measures that are effective in addressing and proportionate to certain particularly serious and persistent infringements. Especially where those measures can affect the rights and interests of third parties, as may be the case in particular where the access to online interfaces is restricted, it is appropriate to require that the measures be ordered by a competent judicial authority at the Digital Service Coordinators' request and are subject to additional safeguards. In particular, third parties potentially affected should be afforded the opportunity to be heard and such orders should only be issued when powers to take such
		in the territory where they received the service, without prejudice to this Regulation's rules on jurisdiction. Complaints should provide a faithful overview of concerns related to a particular intermediary service provider's compliance and could also inform the Digital Services Coordinator of any more cross-cutting issues. The Digital Services Coordinator should involve other national competent authorities as well as the Digital Services Coordinator of another Member State, and in particular the one of the Member State where the provider of intermediary services concerned is established, if the issue requires cross-border cooperation.
Comments	Drafting suggestions	COMMISSION PROPOSAL

COMMISSION PROPOSALDrafting suggestionsCommentsmeasures as provided by other acts of Union law or by national law, for instance to protect collective interests of consumers, to ensure the prompt removal of web pages containing or disseminating child pomography, or to disable access to services are being used by a third party to infringe an intellectual property right, are notComments		(84) The Digital Services Coordinator should regularly publish a report on the activities carried out under this Regulation. Given that the Digital Services Coordinator is also made aware of orders to take action against illegal content or to provide information regulated by this Regulation through the common information sharing system,
reasonably available.	(83) Such an order to restrict access should not go beyond what is necessary to achieve its objective. For that purpose, it should be temporary and be addressed in principle to a provider of intermediary services, such as the relevant hosting service provider, internet service provider or domain registry or registrar, which is in a reasonable position to achieve that objective without unduly restricting access to lawful information.	(83) Such an order to restrict access should not go beyond what is necessary to achieve its objective. For that purpose, it should be temporary and be addressed in principle to a provider of intermediary services, such as the relevant hosting service provider, internet service provider or domain registry or registrar, which is in a reasonable position to achieve that objective without unduly restricting access to lawful information.
reasonably available.		

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
these orders addressed to providers of intermediary services issued by judicial and administrative authorities in its Member State.		
(85) Where a Digital Services Coordinator requests another Digital Services Coordinator to		
Coordinator, or the Board in case it issued a		
recommendation to assess issues involving more than three Member States, should be able to refer		
the matter to the Commission in case of any		
disagreement as to the assessments or the measures taken or proposed or a failure to adopt		
any measures. The Commission, on the basis of		
the information made available by the concerned		
authorities, should accordingly be able to request the competent Digital Services Coordinator to re-		
assess the matter and take the necessary		
measures to ensure compliance within a defined		
to the Commission's general duty to oversee the		
application of, and where necessary enforce,		
Union law under the control of the Court of Justice of the European Union in accordance		
with the Treaties. A failure by the Digital		
Services Coordinator of establishment to take		
any measures pursuant to such a request may also		
Section 3 of Chapter IV of this Regulation,		
where the suspected infringer is a very large		

	-	
COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
online platform		
(86) In order to facilitate cross-border supervision and investigations involving several Member States, the Digital Services Coordinators should be able to participate, on a permanent or temporary basis, in joint oversight and investigation activities concerning matters covered by this Regulation. Those activities may include other competent authorities and may cover a variety of issues, ranging from coordinated data gathering exercises to requests for information or inspections of premises, within the limits and scope of powers available to each participating authority. The Board may be requested to provide advice in relation to those activities, for example by proposing roadmaps and timelines for activities or proposing ad-hoc task-forces with participation of the authorities		
(87) In view of the particular challenges that may emerge in relation to assessing and ensuring a very large online platform's compliance, for instance relating to the scale or complexity of a suspected infringement or the need for particular expertise or capabilities at Union level, Digital Services Coordinators should have the possibility to request, on a voluntary basis, the Commission		

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
to intervene and exercise its investigatory and enforcement powers under this Regulation.		
(88) In order to ensure a consistent application of this Regulation, it is necessary to set up an independent advisory group at Union level, which should support the Commission and help coordinate the actions of Digital Services Coordinators. That European Board for Digital Services should consist of the Digital Services Coordinators, without prejudice to the possibility for Digital Services Coordinators to invite in its meetings or appoint ad hoc delegates from other competent authorities entrusted with specific	SE (Drafting): (88) In order to ensure a consistent application of this Regulation, it is necessary to set up an independent advisory group at Union level, which should support the Commission and help coordinate the actions of Digital Services Coordinators. That European Board for Digital Services should consist of the Digital Services Coordinators, without prejudice to the possibility	
tasks and competences. In case of multiple participants from one Member State, the voting right should remain limited to one representative per Member State.	tasks under this Regulation, where that is required pursuant to their national allocation of tasks and competences. In case of multiple participants from one Member State, the voting right should remain limited to one representative per Member State. The composition of the European Board for Digital Services should be gender balanced.	
(89) The Board should contribute to achieving a common Union perspective on the consistent application of this Regulation and to cooperation among competent authorities, including by		

 (91) The Board should bring together the representatives of the Digital Services (D) Coordinators and possible other competent authorities under the chairmanship of the Commission, with a view to ensuring an assessment of matters submitted to it in a fully European dimension. In view of possible cross-cutting elements that may be of relevance for other regulatory frameworks at Union level, the Board should be allowed to cooperate with other cut 	(90) For that purpose, the Board should be able to adopt opinions, requests and recommendations addressed to Digital Services Coordinators or other competent national authorities. While not legally binding, the decision to deviate therefrom should be properly explained and could be taken into account by the Commission in assessing the compliance of the Member State concerned with this Regulation.	advising the Commission and the Digital Services Coordinators about appropriate investigation and enforcement measures, in particular vis à vis very large online platforms. The Board should also contribute to the drafting of relevant templates and codes of conduct and analyse emerging general trends in the development of digital services in the Union.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
SE (Drafting): (91) The Board should bring together the representatives of the Digital Services Coordinators and possible other competent authorities under the chairmanship of the Commission, with a view to ensuring an assessment of matters submitted to it in a fully European dimension. In view of possible cross- cutting elements that may be of relevance for			Drafting suggestions	
			Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
Union bodies, offices, agencies and advisory groups with responsibilities in fields such as equality, including equality between women and men, and non-discrimination, data protection, electronic communications, audiovisual services, detection and investigation of frauds against the EU budget as regards custom duties, or consumer protection, as necessary for the performance of its tasks.	other regulatory frameworks at Union level, the Board should be allowed to cooperate with other Union bodies, offices, agencies and advisory groups with responsibilities in fields such as equality, including gender equality, and non- discrimination, data protection, electronic communications, audiovisual services, detection and investigation of frauds against the EU budget as regards custom duties, or consumer protection, as necessary for the performance of its tasks.	
(92) The Commission, through the Chair, should participate in the Board without voting rights. Through the Chair, the Commission should ensure that the agenda of the meetings is set in accordance with the requests of the members of the Board as laid down in the rules of procedure and in compliance with the duties of the Board laid down in this Regulation.		
(93) In view of the need to ensure support for the Board's activities, the Board should be able to rely on the expertise and human resources of the Commission and of the competent national authorities. The specific operational arrangements for the internal functioning of the Board should be further specified in the rules of procedure of the Board.		

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
(94) Given the importance of very large online platforms, in view of their reach and impact, their failure to comply with the specific obligations applicable to them may affect a substantial number of recipients of the services across different Member States and may cause large societal harms, while such failures may also be particularly complex to identify and address.		
(95) In order to address those public policy concerns it is therefore necessary to provide for a common system of enhanced supervision and enforcement at Union level. Once an infringement of one of the provisions that solely apply to very large online platforms has been identified, for instance pursuant to individual or joint investigations, auditing or complaints, the Digital Services Coordinator of establishment, upon its own initiative or upon the Board's advice, should monitor any subsequent measure taken by the very large online platform concerned as set out in its action plan. That Digital Services Coordinator should be able to ask, where appropriate, for an additional, specific audit to be carried out, on a voluntary basis, to establish whether those measures are sufficient to address the infringement. At the end of that procedure, it should inform the Board, the Commission and the platform concerned of its views on whether or not that platform addressed		

COMMISSION PROPOSAL I	Drafting suggestions	Comments
the infringement, specifying in particular the relevant conduct and its assessment of any measures taken. The Digital Services Coordinator should perform its role under this common system in a timely manner and taking utmost account of any opinions and other advice of the Board.		
(96) Where the infringement of the provision that solely applies to very large online platform pursuant to the action plan, only the Commission may, on its own initiative or upon advice of the Board, decide to further investigate the infringement concerned and the measures that the platform has subsequently taken, to the exclusion of the Digital Services Coordinator of establishment. After having conducted the necessary investigations, the Commission should be able to issue decisions finding an infringement and imposing sanctions in respect of very large online platforms where that is justified. It should also have such a possibility to intervene in cross- border situations where the Digital Services Coordinator of establishment did not take any measures despite the Commission's request, or in situations where the Digital Services Coordinator of establishment itself requested for the Commission to intervene, in respect of an infringement of any other provision of this		

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
Regulation committed by a very large online platform.		
(97) The Commission should remain free to decide whether or not it wishes to intervene in		
any of the situations where it is empowered to do so under this Regulation. Once the Commission		
initiated the proceedings, the Digital Services		
be precluded from exercising their investigatory		
and enforcement powers in respect of the		
platform concerned, so as to avoid duplication,		
inconsistencies and risks from the viewpoint of		
the principle of <i>ne bis in idem</i> . However, in the		
Coordinators should not be precluded from		
exercising their powers either to assist the		
Commission, at its request in the performance of its supervisory tasks or in respect of other		
conduct, including conduct by the same very		
large online platform that is suspected to		
Services Coordinators, as well as the Board and		
other Digital Services Coordinators where		
relevant, should provide the Commission with all		
to perform its tasks effectively whilst conversely		
the Commission should keep them informed on		
the exercise of its powers as appropriate. In that		

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
regard, the Commission should, where appropriate, take account of any relevant assessments carried out by the Board or by the Digital Services Coordinators concerned and of any relevant evidence and information gathered by them, without prejudice to the Commission's powers and responsibility to carry out additional investigations as necessary.		
(98) In view of both the particular challenges that may arise in seeking to ensure compliance by very large online platforms and the importance of doing so effectively, considering their size and impact and the harms that they may cause, the Commission should have strong investigative and enforcement powers to allow it to investigate, enforce and monitor certain of the rules laid down in this Regulation, in full respect of the principle of proportionality and the rights and interests of the affected parties.		
(99) In particular, the Commission should have access to any relevant documents, data and information necessary to open and conduct investigations and to monitor the compliance with the relevant obligations laid down in this Regulation, irrespective of who possesses the documents, data or information in question, and regardless of their form or format, their storage		

COMMISSION PROPOSALDrafting suggestionsCommentsmedium, or the precise place where they are stored. The Commission should be able to directly require that the very large online platform concerned or relevant third parties, or than individuals, provide any relevant evidence,Comments		
Drafting suggestions (
medium, or the precise place where they are stored. The Commission should be able to directly require that the very large online platform concerned or relevant third parties, or than individuals, provide any relevant evidence,	Drating suggestions	Drafting suggestions (
data and information. In addition, the Commission should be able to request any relevant information from any public authority, body or agency within the Member State, or from any natural person or legal person for the purpose of this Regulation. The Commission should be empowered to require access to, and explanations relating to, data-bases and algorithms of relevant persons, and to interview, with their consent, any persons who may be in possession of useful information and to record the statements made. The Commission should also be empowered to undertake such inspections as are necessary to enforce the relevant provisions of this Regulation. Those investigatory powers aim to complement the Commission's possibility to ask Digital Services Coordinators and other Member States' authorities for assistance, for instance by providing information or in the exercise of those	G	
(100) Compliance with the relevant obligations imposed under this Regulation should be enforceable by means of fines and periodic	platform concerned or relevant third parties, or than individuals, provide any relevant evidence, data and information. In addition, the Commission should be able to request any relevant information from any public authority, body or agency within the Member State, or from any natural person or legal person for the purpose of this Regulation. The Commission should be empowered to require access to, and explanations relating to, data-bases and algorithms of relevant persons, and to interview, with their consent, any persons who may be in possession of useful information and to record the statements made. The Commission should also be empowered to undertake such inspections as are necessary to enforce the relevant provisions of this Regulation. These Coordinators and other Member States' authorities for assistance, for instance by providing information or in the exercise of those powers	stored. The Commission should be able to directly require that the very large online platform concerned or relevant third parties, or than individuals, provide any relevant evidence, data and information. In addition, the Commission should be able to request any relevant information from any public authority, body or agency within the Member State, or from any natural person or legal person for the purpose of this Regulation. The Commission should be empowered to require access to, and explanations relating to, data-bases and algorithms of relevant persons, and to interview, with their consent, any persons who may be in possession of tuschi information and to record the statements made. The Commission should also be empowered to undertake such inspections as are necessary to enonce the relevant provisions of this Regulation. Those investigatory powers aim to complement the Commission's possibility to ask Digital Services Coordinators and other Member States' authorities for assistance, for thesarce is powers providing information or in the exercise of those powers

(102) In the interest of effectiveness and efficiency, in addition to the general evaluation of the Regulation, to be performed within five years of entry into force, after the initial start-up phase and on the basis of the first three years of application of this Regulation, the Commission	(101) The very large online platforms concerned and other persons subject to the exercise of the Commission's powers whose interests may be affected by a decision should be given the opportunity of submitting their observations beforehand, and the decisions taken should be widely publicised. While ensuring the rights of defence of the parties concerned, in particular, the right of access to the file, it is essential that confidential information be protected. Furthermore, while respecting the confidentiality of the information, the Commission should ensure that any information relied on for the purpose of its decision is disclosed to an extent that allows the addressee of the decision to understand the facts and considerations that lead up to the decision.	of fines and periodic penalty payments should also be laid down for non-compliance with the obligations and breach of the procedural rules, subject to appropriate limitation periods.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
			Drafting suggestions	
			Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
should also perform an evaluation of the activities of the Board and on its structure.		
(103) In order to ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council ³⁰ .		
(104) In order to fulfil the objectives of this Regulation, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission to supplement this Regulation. In particular, delegated acts should be adopted in respect of criteria for identification of very large online platforms and of technical specifications for access requests. It is of particular importance that the Commission carries out appropriate consultations and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law- Making of 13 April 2016. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts the European Parliament and the		

"Kegulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Partiament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13).

(106) Since the objective of this Regulation, namely the proper functioning of the internal market and to ensure a safe, predictable and trusted online environment in which the	(105) This Regulation respects the fundamental rights recognised by the Charter and the fundamental rights constituting general principles of Union law. Accordingly, this Regulation should be interpreted and applied in accordance with those fundamental rights, including the freedom of expression and information, as well as the freedom and pluralism of the media. When exercising the powers set out in this Regulation, all public authorities involved should achieve, in 	Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL Drafting suggestions
	SE (Comments): Freedom of expression, freedom of the press and media plurality are important priorities for the Swedish government.		Comments

Chapter I – General provisions	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:	cooperation by acting alone, but can rather, by reason of its territorial and personal scope, be better achieved at the Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve that objective,	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
			Drafting suggestions	
LU (Comments) General remarks on chapters I-II Luxembourg generally supports the objective of the Regulation to update the rules on procedures for online intermediaries to act on illegal content. The liability regime and exemptions thereof need more clarity and legal certainty given that business models and online services have evolved since the entry into force of the e- Commerce Directive. We therefore agree with the targeted approach proposed by the Commission, which focusses on modernising Articles 12-15 of the e-Commerce Directive and addressing evidenced shortcomings.			Comments	

In particular, w proven princip remain in place origin principl functioning of businesses do of 27 different The fragmenta Market, and wi and SME grow Commerce Dir applicable law clauses in cons we also believ panacea for on given its target tackling illegal address many of border e-comm border es Sing DSA should be aiming at remo fragmentation. Within the targ	COMMISSION PROPOSAL Drafting suggestions	
In particular, we welcome the fact that the proven principles of the e-Commerce Directive remain in place, in particular the country-of- origin principle, which are essential for the well- functioning of the Single Market by ensuring that businesses do not have to adapt to all the details of 27 different national legislations. The fragmentation observed in the Single Market, and which hampers cross-border trade and SME growth, results from areas that the e- Commerce Directive does <i>not</i> cover, such as applicable law or minimum harmonisation clauses in consumer protection law. In that sense, we also believe that the DSA will not be a panacea for online services in the Single Market: given its targeted approach on procedures for tackling illegal content online, the DSA does not address many of the existing obstacles to cross- border e-commerce. To reach the objective of a borderless Single Market for digital services, the DSA should be accompanied by other initiatives aiming at removing the existing legal fragmentation. Within the targeted scope of the DSA, we will therefore pay close attention to ensure that the maximum harmonisation objective is secured and that the DSA will indeed provide a single	Comments	
COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
---------------------	----------------------	--
		(see table, new Article 1a).
		In order to achieve a fully functioning Single Market for digital services, any existing or new national legislation in the areas covered by the DSA should not be tolerated as they would lead to a fragmentation of rules and limit the added value of the DSA. Any flexibility or opening clauses for Member States to derogate, specify or complement the rules of the DSA would directly undermine the goal of harmonisation and a uniform safe online environment across
		 - an impossible ask for smaller business and a major disincentive for engaging in cross-border sales. Like many other Member States, we also insist on a clear overall legal framework for online services. The DSA does not exist in a vacuum and multiple other existing EU legislations, many of which were adopted recently, are relevant for online intermediaries. Therefore, the DSA's articulation with other legislations needs to be understandable for all intermediaries (including those that will not be able to afford legal cornsultants!), provide legal certainty and avoid overlaps. To this end, we would welcome if the Commission could provide concrete examples

		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
LU (Drafting): <u>Article 1a - Objective</u> <u>The aim of this Regulation is to contribute to</u> the proper functioning of the internal market by setting out harmonised rules for a safe, predictable and trusted online environment, where fundamental rights enshrined in the <u>Charter are effectively protected</u> .		Drafting suggestions	
LU (Comments): Article 1 of a Regulation should explain its ultimate objectives and the link with the legal basis, and not only provide a table of contents. That is also the constant line of the Council Legal Service. The current wording of Article 1 summarises the "what" of the DSA. We propose to extract paragraph 2 into a self-standing Article 1 a explaining the "why ". This would also be in line with the legal technique used in Article 1 of the e-Commerce Directive (and other important Single Market legislations like the consumer rights Directive).	explain which provisions of the DSA apply under which circumstances. For the same reasons it is important to use clear and known legal concepts and definitions . Similar but different definitions (for example "terms and conditions") will lead to confusion and legal uncertainty, ultimately hampering the goal of the Regulation.	Comments	

COMMISSION PDODOSAL	Des fling engagetions	Commonte
Article 1		CZ
Article 1 Subject matter and scope		CZ (Comments): CZ welcomes the proposal in general and supports the wording of the Article 1. We believe that the DSA reflects the current situation in the internal market and aims at issues which are necessary to solve. Accordingly, CZ considers the aims of the proposal adequate and clearly based on the well-founded Impact Assessment. EL (Comments) Chapter I-Articles 1 and 2: The retention in the DSA proposal of the internal market clause (country of origin) that exists in article 3 of the "Electronic Commerce Directive" is essential, as it is the cornerstone of the single market for digital services, ensuring the free movement of information society services in the EU. It is also important that the scope of the DSA proposal is extended to cover providers established in third countries and whose activities are aimed at recipients of services that have their place of establishment or residence in the EU. This is very important, as there is a growing number of
		services over the internet.
		Article 1: With regard to the provision in recital

 This Regulation lays down harmonised rules on the provision of intermediary services in the internal market. In particular, it establishes: 		COMMISSION PROPOSAL
IT (Drafting): This Regulation establishes harmonised rules on the provision of intermediation services in the internal market <i>[whilst respecting the rights established by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union]</i> LU (Drafting): 1. This Regulation lays down harmonised rules on the provision of intermediary services <u>in</u>		Drafting suggestions
 IT (Comments): We attach a great importance to all fundamental rights set out in the Charter, therefore we suggest to introduce a recall in art. 1 and strengthen recital (3) DK (Comments): From the Danish side, we find it absolutely necessary that the legal status of digital platforms is clarified by determining what requirements a 	service is not an intermediary service in situations where the intermediary service constitutes an integral part of another service which is not an intermediary service, we agree with its mention, as it incorporates the case of cooperative economy and the related judgments of the CJEU. However, in the DSA proposal the case of the cooperative economy on online platforms is not mentioned. Given the dynamic development of the platforms of the cooperative economy, especially in the transport and tourism sector, but also in order to avoid any misinterpretations, <u>we propose to mention cooperative economy both in the operative part of the DSA proposal, and in recital 13 of art. 2, where the examples of online platforms are listed.</u>	Comments

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
		providers of intermediary services within the meaning of the DSA further obligations by way of laws, regulations or administrative actions for the matters falling within the scope of, and exhaustively regulated by, the DSA.
		For example, in the case of Article 14 (Notice and action mechanisms), if the national law provides for fixed timelines for processing the notice refered in para.6 or for certain obligation for the architecture of the mechanisms to be put in place by the providers could it be considered that the MS are beyond the scope/goal of the Regulation?
		DE (Comments)
		As already stated re. recital 2: There must be scope for exemptions, e.g. for diverging domestic rules to promote cultural and linguistic diversity and to ensure pluralism, as the regulatory competence lies with the MS (and as set out in Art. 1(6) eCD) and for domestic rules regarding
		There must also be room for national provisions with regard to combating hate speech and the protection of minors. The approach of the proposal leaves many regulatory gaps in this respect and would lead to a substantial reduction of the current level of protection in the MS.
		In order to achieve the greatest possible legal certainty on these issues which are of central

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
		importance to us, we suggest to ask the Council Legal Service for its opinion on the remaining national scop
 (a) a framework for the conditional exemption from liability of providers of intermediary services; 		
 (b) rules on specific due diligence obligations tailored to certain specific categories of providers of intermediary services; 		
(c) rules on the implementation and enforcement of this Regulation, including as regards the cooperation of and coordination between the competent authorities.		
The aims of this Regulation are to:		LU (Comments):
		Luxembourg proposes to extract this paragraph into a self-standing article entitled "objective" (see new Article 1a further up).
 (a) contribute to the proper functioning of the internal market for intermediary services; 		

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
(b) set out uniform rules for a safe, predictable and trusted online environment, where fundamental rights enshrined in the Charter are effectively protected.	 IT (Drafting): (b) set out uniform rules for a safe, predictable and trusted online environment, where fundamental rights enshrined in the Charter are effectively <i>land efficiently</i> protected <i>land enforcedJ.</i> LU (Drafting): (b) set out uniform harmonised rules for a safe, predictable and trusted online environment, where fundamental rights enshrined in the Charter are effectively protected. 	IT (Comments): We welcome the mention of "fundamental rights enshrined in the Charter" and suggest to strengthen the wording of point b) LU (Comments): We propose to replace "uniform" by "harmonised", in order to align with paragraph 1 and reflect the wording of the Treaties. DE (Comments) We are not sure whether "uniform rules" means that the proposal aims at full harmonisation. Please see comment re. Art. 1(1) and/or recital 2.
3. This Regulation shall apply to intermediary services provided to recipients of the service that have their place of establishment or residence in the Union, irrespective of the place of establishment of the providers of those services.	AT (Drafting): This Regulation shall apply to intermediary services <u>that offer services</u> in the Union, irrespective of the place of establishment of the providers of those services. SK (Drafting): This Regulation shall apply to intermediary	AT (Comments): According to recital 7, the Regulation should apply to providers that offer services in the Union, as defined in Art. 2(d). This is not reflected in the current text. BE (Comments): It seems possible, for video-sharing platforms, that the AVMS directive is not applicable (no

enones88ne Sunte ici
COMMISSION PROPOSAL Drafting suggestions Comments

with reference to protecting the gener of expression against official interfere Enforcement measures with correspon abroad are generally not permissible u international law without the consent	
target state. Especially in the area of "enforcement jurisdiction" the distinction between territoriality and extraterritoriality can of course be difficult. International law does not connect the jurisdiction to prescribe with an effet utile link to the jurisdiction to enforce. Therefore, we ask to make a clear distinction between invoking the jurisdiction to prescribe and invoking the jurisdiction to enforce (see also comment re. recital 7).	COMMISSION PROPOSALDrafting suggestionsCommentCommetsrespond to removal orders for specific cont with reference to protecting the general free of expression against official interference.Finoreement measures with corresponding abroad are generally not permissible under international law without the consent of the target state. Especially in the area of "enforcement jurisdiction" the distinction between territoriality and extraerritoriality of course the jurisdiction to prescribe with an utile link to the jurisdiction to prescribe with an invoking the jurisdiction to enforce. The we ask to make a clear distinction between invoking the jurisdiction to enforce (see als comment re. recital 7).
	Drafting suggestions

5. This Regulation is without prejudice to the rules laid down by the following:		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
AT (Drafting): This Regulation is without prejudice to the rules of criminal procedural law, irrespective if such rules stem from national, Union or international law, and by the following:	controversial views in the course of public debate shall not be considered illegal content. An assessment shall determine the true purpose of disseminated for the purposes referred to in this paragraph. This Regulation shall not have the effect of modifying the obligation to respect the rights, freedoms and principles as referred to in Article 6 of the Treaty on the European Union, and shall apply without prejudice to fundamental principles relating to freedom of expression, freedom of the press and the freedom and pluralism of the media.	Drafting suggestions	
AT (Comments): Not only should criminal procedural law remain unaffected (see recital 26 of the E-Commerce- Directive), but it should also be clarified that (future) international agreements in the context	 purposes or under editorial responsibility as well as between the DSA fundamental principles relating to freedom of expression, freedom of the press and the freedom and pluralism of the media. These particular issues will inevitably also be a core interest of stakeholders. Consequently, Sweden proposes in order to pave the way for further discussions on the best way to ensure a clear and precise relation between the DSA and the indicated interests the introduction of one or two paragraphs in Article 1, both inspired by the agreed text of the TCO Regulation (soon to be adopted). SK <i>K</i> <i>We would welcome a more detailed definition of what is not an intermediary service when the service is provided through the use of an intermediary service (reliable and foreseeable criteria strengthening legal certainty) – as per the comment on recital 6</i> 	Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
		of e-evidence, such as the Second Additional Protocol to the Cybercrime Convention, are not affected by the DSA. IT (Comments):
		 During the WP meeting the Commission has clarified that this list of legislations is non- exhaustive. If this is the case, the wording should clarify this aspect. Otherwise, readers may misunderstand its implications.
		 As mentioned on recital (9), the consistency of DSA provisions with sector-specific rules is not always evident and might need further explanations
		Concerning AVMS Directive, the provisions on video-sharing platforms do not set effective sanctions in case of violation of the rules that oblige platforms to adopt adequate measures to protect minors (art.28ter lett.a), to counter hate speech (art.28ter lett.b) and to prevent the dissemination of criminal content (art.28ter lett.c).
		In that case, it is worth clarifying whether the national authorities have the option of using the sanctioning protection of the DSA, much more incisive.
		The consistency of DSA horizontal provisions with sectorial rules is fundamental issue to take in to account in the ongoing national transposition process of Directive 2018/1808

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
		AVMS and Directive 2019/790 on Copyright. PL
		(Comments): It is our view there is a need for further clarification as to whether and to what extent interventions based on sectoral legislation are envisaged (whether Article 1(5) exhausts the list of legal acts allowing such interventions) and how to ensure consistent application of different
		DK
		Article 1 (5) establishes that the DSA is without
		directives, including proposals still under
		negotiation. A number of these acts have exceptions to the liability exemption for
		intermediaries directed at online platforms. DK finds that the DSA ought to address this legal
		fragmentation, as it causes legal uncertainty, administrative burdens and favours larger, well-
		established firms that can afford the compliance costs. A way of doing this could be to establish
		that the Commission shall draw up guidelines that clarify which requirements online platforms
		are obliged to comply with according to EU locislation. The midelines should make clear
		what liability and due diligence requirements
		apply depending on the size of the platform, as well as any other criteria that is used in the

	relevant EU legislation to distinguish between
	online platforms that the legislation applies to and those that are exempted (e.g. in the Copyright Directive, new online content-sharing service providers which have been available to the public in the Union for less than three years
	and which have an annual turnover below EUR 10 million, calculated in accordance with Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC (20) are exempted from certain provisions). LU
	(Comments):
	Could the Commission provide a table of for each legislation listed in Article 1(5) articulation with the DSA would work?
	Could the Commission provide a table e for each legislation listed in Article 1(5) articulation with the DSA would work? DSA « without prejudice » to specific pr or entire issues ? Is there complementari exclusivity between the DSA and these of texts? Which regulator will be enforcing
	Could the Commission provide a table e for each legislation listed in Article 1(5) articulation with the DSA would work? DSA « without prejudice » to specific pu or entire issues ? Is there complementari exclusivity between the DSA and these of texts? Which regulator will be enforcing provisions for companies that are covere provisions in several texts?
	Could the Commission provide a table e for each legislation listed in Article 1(5) articulation with the DSA would work? DSA « without prejudice » to specific pu or entire issues ? Is there complementari exclusivity between the DSA and these of texts? Which regulator will be enforcing provisions for companies that are covere provisions in several texts? DE (Comments)
	Could the Commission provide a table explaining for each legislation listed in Article 1(5) how the articulation with the DSA would work? Le. is the DSA « without prejudice » to specific provisions, or entire issues ? Is there complementarity or exclusivity between the DSA and these other texts? Which regulator will be enforcing provisions for companies that are covered by provisions in several texts? DE (Comments) We welcome the fact that para. 5 clarifies that the regulation is without prejudice to the rules laid down, inter alia, by the eCD and the AVMSD.

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
 out in this Regulation", unaffected. However, we are not absolutely sure what this means. For example, the AVMSD does contain rules adressing illegal content on video sharing platforms; but (unlike the DSA), the AVMSD does only address "illegal content" under <i>European Law</i>. We wonder what regime will apply in the future concerning illegal content under national law on those video sharing platforms. It must be ensured, that the reference to the AVMSD in Art. 1 does not block national regulations which would be explicitely possible under the AVMSD even when the new DSA regime came into force. With respect to content provided by Audiovisual Media Services such as broadcasting and video on demand services, the AVMSD includes specific material regulation for those services and prohibits restrictions to the transmission of those services for all reasons falling within the fields coordinated by the AVMSD by other MS. Will the regulation allow restrictions to the transmission of audivisual media services under the jurisdiction of one MS via intermediary services in another MS? Also, we wonder whether Directive 2004/48/EG (IP Rights enforcement Directive, IPRED) is being affected by the DSA or if it is without prejudice to the rules laid down in the IPRED 	Comments	

(b)	(a)	
Directive 2010/13/EC;	Directive 2000/31/EC;	COMMISSION PROPOSAL
		Drafting suggestions
BE (Comments): How should these regulatory instruments be applied together? The relationship between the AVMS Directive and the DSA is not entirely clear. Indeed, when rules already exist in a <i>lex specialis</i> , such as the AVMS Directive, but these rules are specified in the regulation, the question arises as to what prevails. Admittedly, the Commission states in	BE (Comments): What about the case of an order to disable access to an entire website (e.g. because all of its content is found to be counterfeiting products)? Would this type of order be considered as not "specific" enough and restrict the provider's freedom to provide their services across borders? Should the authority, in this case, continue to use the current procedure under article 3.4 ECD? In this case, would this regulation still be "without prejudice" to the Country of Origin principle set in article 3 of ECD. (see also our comments on recital (33) and article 8)	Comments

 (c) Union law on copyright and related rights; 		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
		Drafting suggestions	
RO (Comments): RO suggests including a detailed list of the Union law on copyright and related rights, in an	 the explanatory memorandum to the DSA that the DSA supplements the sectoral law, but this is not so obvious in practice. For example, the reporting mechanism provided for in the AVMS Directive is much less detailed than that of the DSA Regulation. The AVMS Directive provides for minimum harmonisation rules at the level of video sharing platforms and leaves Member States room for manoeuvre to set more detailed standards. In this respect, the DSA could be considered to contravene the AVMS Directive, in particular Article 28b, §6, which states: "Member States may impose more detailed or stricter measures on providers of video-sharing platforms ()". Furthermore, DSA seems to do more than complement the regulation on video-sharing platforms (in the AVMS directive. By way of example: does the statement of reasons (article 15) also apply to providers of video-sharing platforms who take measures according to art. 28b AVMS directive? In that case, an assessment of these measures by the NRA is necessary. 	Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
		annex
(d) Regulation (EU)/ on preventing the dissemination of terrorist content online [TCO once adopted];		DE (Comments) The Regulation (EU)/ on preventing the dissemination of terrorist content online [TCO once adopted] prescribes that <u>"Material disseminated for educational, journalistic, artistic or research purposes or for the purposes of preventing or countering terrorism including the content which represents an expression of polemic or controversial views in the course of public debate shall not be considered terrorist content." Has such a provision also been discussed with respect to the regulation? And if not, what will the fact, that the regulation shall be without prejudice to the TCO, mean with regard to this content?</u>
(e) Regulation (EU)/on European Production and Preservation Orders for electronic evidence in criminal matters and Directive (EU)/laying down harmonised rules on the appointment of legal representatives for the purpose of gathering evidence in criminal proceedings [e-evidence once adopted]		

(i) data, Direc	(h) produ 2017	(g)	Ð		
 Union law on the protection of personal data, in particular Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and Directive 2002/58/EC. 	 Union law on consumer protection and product safety, including Regulation (EU) 2017/2394; 	Regulation (EU) 2019/1150;	Regulation (EU) 2019/1148;	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
AT (Drafting): (i) Union law on the protection of personal data, in particular Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and Directive 2002/58/EC ₂ DE (Drafting) (Drafting) <u>"(i) Union law on taxation, in particular</u> <u>obligations to keep records in Directive</u> 2006/112/EC, Directive 2011/16/EU and	RO (Drafting): To be added h bis) Regulation EU 2019/1020 FR (Drafting): (h) Union law on consumer protection and product safety, including Directive 1993/13/EC, Directive 2001/95/EC, Regulation (EU) 2017/2394 and Regulation (EU) 2019/1020			Drafting suggestions	
DE (Comments) We wonder whether the DSA, especially Art. 8 and 9, applies to data transfers that are within the scope of the Directive 2016/680. If so, Directive 2016/680 should be explicitly mentioned in this section to avoid any doubt about its applicability. It has to be ensured that the application of Union law in the field of taxation will not be limited by this regulation. This is notably true for the record	RO (Comments): RO suggests adding the Regulation EU 2019/1020 to point.5 For (h) RO suggests including a detailed list of the Union law on consumer protection and product safety, in an annex			Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
	Implementing Regulation (EU) 282/2011."	keeping obligations for platforms going beyond Article 22
	AT	SE
	(Drafting): (j) Regulation (EU) 1215/2012.	(Comments): Did the Commission consider also making a referal in Article 1.5 to Regulation (EU) 2019/1020 on market surveillance and
		2019/1020 on market survemance and compliance of products, and if so, why was no reference added?
Article 2 Definitions		ES (Comments):
		General consideration. Alignment with the final wording of the Regulation against terrorist content (TCO) is recommended, once approved, particularly in definitions such as "dissemination to the public", "to offer services in the Union" or "terms and conditions".
		CZ
		(Comments): CZ agrees with the wording of Article 2. which
		is clearly based on the well-founded Impact Assessment. We appreciate the definitions of intermeriary service and online platform, which would improve legal clarity.
For the purpose of this Regulation, the following definitions shall apply:		

(c) 'consumer' means any natural person who is acting for purposes which are outside his or her trade, business or profession;	(b) 'recipient of the service' means any natural or legal person who uses the relevant intermediary service;	 (a) 'information society services' means services within the meaning of Article 1(1)(b) of Directive (EU) 2015/1535; 	COMMISSION PROPOSAL
IT (Drafting): 'consumer' means any natural person who is acting for purposes which are outside his or her trade, business, <i>craft</i> or profession;			Drafting suggestions
IT (Comments): We suggest to align the wording with Article 2 (1) of Directive 2011/83/EU; (1) 'consumer' means any natural person who, in contracts	IT (Comments): It would be preferred to use the "recipient of the service" definition, as set out in Article 2 of Directive 2000/13/EC SK (Comments): We find this definition slightly unclear, notably we struggle to apprehend, whether a provider of a good/service who uses the intermediary service to find its customers can also be considered as a "recipient of a service" – they might use an intermediary service, but are not receiving the related services as such (e.g. a company offering its services via a platform)		Comments

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
	FR (Drafting): (c) 'consumer' means any natural person who is acting for purposes which are outside his or her trade, business craft or profession	 covered by this Directive, is acting for purposes which are outside his trade, business, craft or profession; LV (Comments) Definition "consumer" differs from its usage in Consumer Rights Directive, CPC Regulation and other EU acts on consumer protection ("craft" is not included). We consider that this definition should be aligned with the newest consumer legal consistency and avoid misunderstandings FR (Comments): It is necessary to stick with the definition in point (1) of Article 2 of Directive (EU) 2011/83 on consumer rights, also referred to in other relevant EU provisions on consumer protection
(d) 'to offer services in the Union' means enabling legal or natural persons in one or more Member States to use the services of the provider of information society services which has a substantial connection to the Union; such a substantial connection is deemed to exist where the provider has an establishment in the Union; in the absence of such an establishment, the assessment of a substantial connection is based		PL (Comments): It may seem that the concept of an offer (developed in the field of consumer law in the rulings C 585/08 and 144/09) has been complemented by the requirement for the provider of information society services to have a substantial connection to the Union. However, we were wondering whether such narrowing of

COMMISSION PROPOSAL Drafting suggestions Comments factual criteria, such as (a) establishment of the bising service provider in the Union; (b) significant number of users in one or more Member States. Segret the Union; (b) significant number of users in one or more Member States. We suggest harmonizing these definitions in both legal acts. We suggest on qualify as a factual criteria therefore advisional wording in needed DE (Comments) (Comments) We suggest to examine whether and how this definition should be specified, given its crucial importance for the sope of the application of the DSA (see Article 1(1) lit. c). a significant number of users in we Member States; or IT (Comments): Given that the Proposal makes a considerable effort in establishing a quantitive threshold to assess whether and makes a considerable effort in establishing a quantitive threshold to assess whether and inter platform can be considering some similar threshold to clarify the meaning of "significant number of users".
--

LV (Comments) Definition "to offer services in the Union" as factual criteria names a significant number of users. It should be specified, at least, in recitals what number or percentage of the population of EU can be considered "a significant number of users".	ards	 the targeting of activities towards one or more Member States.
LV (Comments) Definition "to offer services in the Union" as factual criteria names a significant number of users. It should be specified, at least, in recitals what number or percentage of the population of EU can be considered "a significant number of users".	ards	 the targeting of activities towa one or more Member States.
LV (Comments) Definition "to offer services in the Union" as factual criteria names a significant number of users. It should be specified, at least, in recitals what number or percentage of the population of EU can be considered "a significant number of users".		
LV (Comments) Definition "to offer services in the Union" as factual criteria names a significant number of users. It should be specified, at least, in recitals what number or percentage of the population of EU can be considered "a significant number of users".		
 SK (Comments): We find, that if the existence of a substantial connection is a prerequisite for being a subject to this Regulation, this should be more precisely defined – e.g. how many users are considered (with no doubts) as "a significant number"? RO (Comments): Given the fact that two different notion are utlised in the text "users" and "active recipients" (as provided in Article 25) we are asking for clarifications of defining these notions to avoid lack of clarity. 		
comments	Drafting suggestions	COMMISSION PROPOSAL

legal person irrespective of whether privately or publicly owned, who is acting, including through any person acting in his or her name or on his or her behalf, for purposes relating to his or her trade, business, craft or profession;	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
 (Comments): Can an individual selling his/her used products/closed be considered a trader? If so, could the requirements in Article 22 regarding traceability of traders negatively impact circular economy platforms? ES (Comments): It should be clarified whether the term "trader" also includes suppliers of short-term tourist rental accommodation, whose data should be accessible by the competent authorities of the Member States where the underlying physical service is provided. DE (Comments) We ask for further refinement of the definition. Does the definition of trader also include any natural or any legal person, who is acting for purposes relating to an illegal trafficker of drugs, arms, wild animals, plant species, stolen ID documents or someone who trades in illegal timber a trader within the scope of Art. 2 lit, e? 	Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
; of the	rafting): 'intern owing <u>inf</u> c	AT (Comments): It should be clear that all intermediary services are also information society services. PL (Comments): The DSA applies the definition of intermediary service (Article 2(f)) to mere conduit (Article 3), caching (Article 4) and hosting (Article 5). At the same time, when defining what mere conduit

COMMISSION PROPOSAL Drafting suggestions	Comments not fall under one of the three categories of "intermediary services" ? We think especially of the natural referencing service of search engine which, if we understand it correctly cannot be availated as "bosting
- a 'mere conduit' service that consists of the transmission in a communication	 Thermediary services '?' We think especially of the natural referencing service of search engine which, if we understand it correctly, cannot be qualified as "hosting provider" because it does not store information at the request of the recipient of service. We believe it is important for the DSA to target this kind of service also (ex: possibility of an injunction (article 8) to order the dereferencing to limit the access to fraudulent websites). DE (Comments) We expressly ask to ensure unequivocally that so-called Instant Messenger Services that encompass both transaction and interaction. How can it be ensured that the requirements of the DSA are specifically tailored to transaction and interaction opportunities? HU (Comments):
- a 'mere conduit' service that consists of the transmission in a communication network of information provided by a recipient of the service, or the provision of access to a communication network;	HU (Comments): At present, the normative content of mere conduit does not include ancillary services that play an indispensable role in the Internet infrastructure and cannot be classified as Internet

 a 'hosting' service that consists of the storage of information provided by, and at the request of, a recipient of the service; 	 a 'caching' service that consists of the transmission in a communication network of information provided by a recipient of the service, involving the automatic, intermediate and temporary storage of that information, for the sole purpose of making more efficient the information's onward transmission to other recipients upon their request; 		COMMISSION PROPOSAL
FR (Drafting): - a 'hosting' service []; - an 'online search engine' as defined in point (5) of Article 2 of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150;			Drafting suggestions
SE (Comments): To what extent is the Digital Services Act applicable to search engines. According to EU court cases C-236/08 and C-238/08 Google Adwords is a hosting service. Is the automatic indexing that is not done due to a request on search engines a hosting service? MS seems to	IT (Comments): It is not clear, also having regard to recital 27, if reverse proxy providers fall within the definition of caching or mere conduit.	access services, such as domain name system (DNS) resolution, domain name registration and data exchange service (internet exchange). Given that these services are already included in the definition framework of the NIS Directive, it would be appropriate to define them in the DSA either as a separate entity or as part of an Internet access service. Although this issue is also addressed in Recital 27, it would be important to categorize the examples there in the operative part of the proposal.	Comments

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
		interpret this differently. How does the definitions in Article 2 relate to the distinction between 'platforms' and 'search engines' in the
		FR
		(Comments):
		Les autorités françaises estiment que les services intermédiaires devraient inclure, outre les
		d'hébergement, également les moteurs de recherche.
		Par ailleurs, les autorités françaises ne souhaitent pas que les obligations de la section 4 (très
		à une sous-catégorie des hébergeurs. Dès lors que ce régime d'obligations permet une prise en
		diverses catégories de service, elles proposent de
		d'acteurs, en particulier les très grands moteurs
		messagerie, qui peuvent soulever des risques systémiques
 (g) 'illegal content' means any information, 	FR	IT
which, in itself or by its reference to an activity, including the sale of products or provision of services is not in compliance with Union law or	 (Drafting): (g) 'illegal content' means any information, which, in itself or by its reference to an activity, 	(Comments): We are in favour of a broad definition of "illegal content".

	precise subject matter or nature of that law;	COMMISSION PROPOSAL
services, is not in compliance with Union law or the law of a Member State, irrespective of the precise subject matter or nature of that law	products or activities involving infringements of consumer protection law or the provision of	Drafting suggestions
representatives has to be balanced with the safeguard of the institutions and the public order. SE (Comments): SE suggests the wording "manifestly illegal" should be used in articles where an intermediary service itself must assess and act against illegal content In any case, the regulation should apply only to illegal content and not harmful or inappropriate content, as this could affect the right to freedom of expression negatively. SE cannot accept a definition of illegal activities when the dissemination itself is not illegal activities when the dissemination itself is not illegal activities. LU (Comments): How is the notion "by its reference to an activity" to be understood? Could the post on a social network of a CCTV video showing a robbery of a petrol station qualify as illegal content?	of speech of parties, movements and press	Comments

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
 (Comments) Article 2 (g): Concerning the definition of illegal content, we would like to express our concern about how to ensure the uniform application and effectiveness of the rules of DSA proposal, as the MS in some cases define the meaning of illegal content differently. Regarding the definition of online marketplace, we take note of the explanation of the EC regarding technological neutrality, however this concept is already defined in the consumer protection legislation i.e. Directive 2011/83 as amended by Directive 2019/2161 defines online marketplace as a service using software, including a website, part of a website or an application, operated by or on behalf of a trader which allows consumers to conclude distance contracts with other traders or consumers. We note that in recital 25 of Directive 2019/2161 is stated that the above updated definition of the online marketplace is technologically neutral. Therefore, for reasons of unity of law, <u>we propose the add in Article 2 the definition of online marketplace.</u> DE (Comments) Lit. g introduces the concept of "illegal content". In conjunction with recital 12, the definition relating to illegal content, products, services and 	Comments	

		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
		Drafting suggestions	
 which is in itself illegal (e.g. insuit), and information that relates to illegal goods and services on the other hand. We advocate a clear distinction between "interaction functions" and "transaction functions" (which sometimes are both offered by the same platform) to reflect the different rights and values at stake. In addition to strengthening the digital single market from a business perspective the aim today is also to make it more trustworthy for consumers than before and to protect our democracies under the rule of law. Therefore, the fight against illegal information like hate speech is a special case because the objective is to eliminate such types of illegal information in order to considerably strengthen democratic principles and the rule of law. Especially regarding information, that in itself is illegal, another central question that arises is whether the "illegality of content" is determined by the law of the country in which the provider reprovides its services. While there seems to be some agreement between MS which statements are tolerable and which are criminal there are also substantial differences of MS in which the 	activities". We regret that the proposal does not differentiate between illegal information on the one hand	Comments	

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
effects of a statement/content occur may not be ignored, especially since the effects of any unhindered dissemination of unlawful content can have a direct impact on national democratic systems. The proposal should clarify that the law of the country in which the provider provides its services and what consequences a request of deletion of that country and its authorities will have (unionwide deletion of the content?). Obligations under Environmental Law are not always literally linked to the term "product" but also to "substances and mixtures of substances", "articles" (REACH-Regulation, CLP- Regulation), "equipment" (Reg. 1005/2009; Reg. 517/2014), or to specific items such as electronic devices, refrigerators (Annex III of Reg. 517/2014) or paint, etc. We also wonder whether (wild) animals and plants are included in the concept of "illegal content" or not. As stated above (recital 12), the question arises as neither wild animals nor wild plants are "produced", they are thus not "products" stricto sensu. To ensure the uniform interpretation and application of the regulation in this respect, we ask for an explicit clarification in recital 12 that the concept also includes the illegal trade and use of animals and plants, especially specimens taken from the wild (see also comment re, recital 12), as well as substances and mixtures as ingredients or	Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
		 components of intermediate or end products. We also recommend to consider a specifying definition of "product". We also wonder whether the concept of illegal content also covers the sale of products or the provision of services that is not illegal itself, but infringes ancillary obligations, like information requirements. We also wonder whether the concept also covers such products that are only considered illegal through their trade or import, e.g. non-domestic or gmo-plants, animals (puppies). FR (Comments): Les autorités françaises veilleront particulièrement à ce que la définition des « contenus illicites » (article 2(g)) comporte les précisions énoncées dans le considérant 12.
(h) 'online platform' means a provider of a hosting service which, at the request of a recipient of the service, stores and disseminates to the public information, unless that activity is a minor and purely ancillary feature of another service and, for objective and technical reasons cannot be used without that other service, and the integration of the feature into the other service is not a means to circumvent the applicability of this Regulation.	FR (Drafting): (h) 'online platform' [] (ha) 'online marketplace', means an online platform which allows consumers to conclude contracts with traders, including where said online platform also provides other intermediary services	IT (Comments): Since online platforms have additional obligations and having regard to recitals 13 and 27, it is necessary to clarify which providers fall within this definition (for example, cyberlockers, instant messaging software and application services). SK

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
 (Comments): Considering that the online platforms include social networks and online marketplaces (as per recital 13), it might therefore be more expedient to use the term 'content' here. Online marketplaces concentrate rather on the distribution/dissemination of goods and services (for example of free traders), not so much on information (textual or audio-visual), services and goods. For the purpose of this Regulation, online advertisement should also be understood as 'content'. It should be clearly defined, what is considered under the term 'information'' within this Regulation. LU (Comments): Why did the Commission choose a different definition than the one of 'online intermediation? DE (Comments) We wonder whether app stores and search engines are covered by the definition of online platforms. If not, we ask o consider whether the rules for (very) large online platforms should apply to them. 	Comments	
 (i) 'dissemination to the public' means making information available, at the request of the recipient of the service who provided the information, to a potentially unlimited number of third parties; 		COMMISSION PROPOSAL
---	--	----------------------
FR (Drafting): 'dissemination to the public' means making information available, at the request of the recipient of the service who provided the information, to a very large number or a potentially unlimited number of third parties;	AT (Drafting): (h1) 'online marketplace' means an online platform allowing consumers to conclude distance contracts with traders.	Drafting suggestions
<pre>IT (Comments): Having regard to recital 14, and to the definition of "communication to the public" as interpreted by CJUE it is necessary to clarify if sharing information within closed groups of users of a given service should be considered as dissemination to the public, in particular if these closed groups consist of a considerable number of persons. SE (Comments): With regards to the definition of online platforms (article 2.h) we consider the concept of "dissemination to the public" to be of particular interest. In this regard, we would appreciate</pre>	FR (Comments): Althouth we share in principle the Commission's approach to consider marketplaces as a platform activity, we deem it necessary to single it out in order to facilitate further reference within this Regulation AT (Comments): The specific role of online marketplaces justify special rules (see, for example, Art. 5 para 3 or Art. 22)	Comments

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
 clarification as to the distinction between public and private forums. Some internet forums with a large audience still require membership applications to be able to see content. Would such forums be considered as private even though anyone can apply to access them? Similarly, Facebook users can limit their posts to reach only a certain category of members (friends, friends, etc.). Would posting by such users be considered private and thus fall outside the scope of the regulation? How does the commission assess the risk of illegal content being spread in private forums? ES (Comments): As mentioned in recital 14, the concept of 'dissemination to the public' should include all the functionalities that enable the massive distribution of information, including channels, pages or groups. The wording of 'potentially unlimited number of persons' excludes groups of up to 200.000 (Telegram) or 250 people (in other social networks), where illegal content is made available to a wide audience. As the maximum number of users in (chat) groups is constantly increased, these groups become potentially a tool for wide dissemination of content. Perhaps, a threshold (number of users > X) could be foreseen for when a group ceases to be a private 	Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
		chat and starts to behave as a tool for massive communication.
		DK (Comments):
		It is the Danish Government's assessment that both militant groups and extremist political groups are increasingly using closed
		groups/channels and alternative platforms (which are characterized, among other things, by offering a high degree of anonymity and a low
		degree of content moderation) for communication and dissemination of violent
		It's important, that the definition of
		not preclude the Regulation from also covering
		the spreading of violent extremism and terror- related online content, which takes place via
		closed groups/channels. Therefore, we would appreciate further clarification and definition of
		the distinctions between public vs. private communication and what is meant by closed and
		BE
		(Comments):
		See comment on recital 14 and 26.
		RO
		(Comments):
		The approach of Editors institut to the sublish

			COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
			Drafting suggestions	
(Comments):	FR	should entail the making available of information to a potentially unlimited number of persons that is, making the information easily accessible to users in general without further action by the content provider being required, irrespective of whether those persons actually access the information in question. In TCO Regulation, Article 2 para.7 - 'dissemination to the public means the making available of information, at the request of the content provider, to a potentially unlimited number of persons. An explanation from the CION would be very useful DE (Comments) We suggest to clarify what constitutes , dissemination to the public" with regard to messenger services because there is a widespread public debate about the exact distinction between public and private messaging. It should be clarified, that the definition of "dissemination to the public" (Article 2 lit. i) also covers the spreading of content, which takes place via public groups/channels of interpersonal communication services.	Comments	

(k) 'online interface' means any software, including a website or a part thereof, and applications, including mobile applications;	 (j) 'distance contract' means a contract within the meaning of Article 2(7) of Directive 2011/83/EU; 		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
FR (Drafting): 'online interface' means any device [medium] provided by an information society service presenting a set of information, content or services.			Drafting suggestions	
FR (Comments): Les autorités françaises souhaitent rendre cette définition plus englobante et technologiquement neutre. La nouvelle définition proposée met ainsi l'accent sur la présentation d'informations à l'utilisateur, en excluant le terme « display », afin de conserver la possibilité d'inclure les assistants vocaux et enceintes connectées. Il nous semble que la liste illustrative d'exemples (« including a website or a part thereof, and or applications, including mobile applications ») aurait plus sa place dans un considérant que dans le corps d'un article.		number of persons" pour prendre en compte la diffusion de messages à un groupe fermé de personnes mais avec suffisamment de public (et non une correspondre à une diffusion au public (et non une communication privée), tout en conservant le critère alternatif de « nombre potentiellement illimité » qui permet de couvrir le cas de contenus accessibles, par exemple, aux amis d'amis	Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
	RO (Drafting): k bis) "trusted flagger" means any entity that has been appointed by the Digital Services Coordinator based on specific conditions such as expertise and competence, independence of any online platform while representing collective interest and capacity of delivering notices. 1 0) Digital Services Coordinator' means an authority established in a Member State responsible for all matters relating to application and enforcement of this Regulation in that Member State	RO (Comments): Two new definitions are necessary
 'Digital Services Coordinator of establishment' means the Digital Services Coordinator of the Member State where the provider of an intermediary service is established or its legal representative resides or is established; 		
 (m) 'Digital Services Coordinator of destination' means the Digital Services Coordinator of a Member State where the intermediary service is provided; 		

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
(n) 'advertisement' means information designed to promote the message of a legal or natural person, irrespective of whether to achieve commercial or non-commercial purposes, and displayed by an online platform on its online interface against remuneration specifically for promoting_that information;	SK (Drafting): (n) 'online advertisement' means information designed to promote the message of a legal or natural person, irrespective of whether to achieve commercial or non-commercial purposes, and displayed by an online platform on its online	IT (Comments): The definition of "advertising" does not coincide with Article 2, letter a) of Directive 2006/114 / EC, nor with "commercial communication" referred to in Article 2, letter f) Directive 2000 / 31 / EC.
	interface against remuneration specifically for promoting_that information;	This misalignment could generate uncertainty, therefore we suggest referring to the notion of "paid communication"
		(Comments):
		Are the exceptions, stated in article 2. f) of the ECD also applicable when it comes to "advertisement"?
		E.g.: information such as domain name or email address should not be considered as advertisement.
		What is meant by "remuneration"? Should it be the same interpretation as for the definition of Information Society Services? Does this mean
		legal/natural person and the online platform in order to qualify a message as an advertisement?
		Is the definition of 'audiovisual communication' in the AVMS directive? The
		definition of 'audiovisual commercial communication' includes images 'in return for

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
 payment or for similar consideration'. Suppose an exchange contract is made between an advertiser and an online platform: is there 'remuneration' in the sense of this article 2, (n)? Whouldn't it be more coherent to use the term "promoting that message" in the last part of the sentence, instead of "information SK (Comments): UU (Comments): Does "remuneration" only mean pecuniary remuneration, or could it also be understood for example as data or other benefits in kind? DE (Comments) The definition is too narrow. It only covers advertising messages from natural or legal persons. However, parts of non-commercial or political advertising could also originate from groups that do not qualify as legal persons; in addition, the question could arise as to whether there could be sub-areas of non-commercially offered intermediary services that should also be covered (e.g., pro bono political advertising). 	Comments	

(p) 'content moderation' means the activities undertaken by providers of intermediary services aimed at detecting, identifying and addressing illegal content or information incompatible with their terms and conditions, provided by recipients of the service, including measures taken that affect the availability, visibility and accessibility of that illegal content or that information, such as demotion, disabling of access to, or removal thereof, or the recipients' ability to provide that information, such as the termination or suspension of a recipient's account;	(o) 'recommender system' means a fully or partially automated system used by an online platform to suggest in its online interface specific information to recipients of the service, including as a result of a search initiated by the recipient or otherwise determining the relative order or prominence of information displayed;	COMMISSION PROPOSAL
RO (Drafting): p) 'content moderation' means the activities undertaken by providers of intermediary services aimed at detecting, identifying and addressing illegal content or information incompatible with their terms and conditions, which are provided by recipients of the serviceincluding measures taken that affect the availability, visibility and accessibility of that illegal content or that information, such as demotion, disabling of access to, or removal thereof, or the recipients'	SK (Drafting): (o) 'recommender system' means a fully or partially automated system used by an online platform to suggest in its online interface specific informationcontent to recipients of the service, including as a result of a search initiated by the recipient or otherwise determining the relative order or prominence of information displayed;	Drafting suggestions
 ES (Comments): The definition of "content moderation" could indicate that the activities can be, at least partially, automated, depending on the decision made by the intermediary in this regard. BE (Comments): We would like to stress that the role of content moderation should always be in balance with the prohibition of censorship and the respect for	 IT (Comments): It would be important to clarify whether such recommender system could also be referred to the ones featured within search engines, also in light of the provisions addressing very large online platforms. SK (Comments): We suggest to use the term 'content', as the recommender systems also decides on the order of the goods and services on the online marketplaces. These manage also the display of online advertisements. 	Comments

termination or suspension of a recipient's measures. Defining illegal content and related neeeunt enforcement should always be the competence of ITR individual EU member states or Union Law, as (Draffing): the case may be.
raffing): 'content moderation' means the activities ertaken by providers of intermediary services ed at detecting, identifying and addressing gal content or information incompatible with r terms and conditions, provided by recipients the service, including measures taken that accessibility of that illegal content or that irmation, such as demotion, disabling of ess to, delisting or removal thereof, or the pients' ability to provide that information, h as the termination or suspension of a pient's account;

intermediary services	Chapter II - Liability of providers of		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
			Drafting suggestions	
 (Comments): We find, that with regard to limited liability in articles 3,4,5 the exceptions to liability should be sufficiently clearly justified and fairly determined to all parties involved. We do not see a sufficient definition of justification in the DSA proposal. CZ (Comments): Regarding Articles 3-7, the CZ appreciates that the Commission built on the experience with the eCommerce Directive and kept its core principles preserved. EL (Comments) Chapter II-Articles 3 to 9: We support these provisions in order to ensure the availability of content on the internet and protect the fundamental rights of users. More specifically, Article 5 (3); We consider that the phrase "and 	SK	includes stronger measures against misleading 'design tricks' and 'psychological tricks'. Therefore, we suggest to add a definition of dark patterns and establish rules on them in chapter III e.g. a prohibition to deploy dark patterns to obtain agreement (see California Privacy Rights Act of 2020 (CPRA)).	Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
		reasonably informed" can be deleted as unnecessary, as the concept of "average consumer", according to case law of CJEU,
		includes "the consumer who has the usual information and is reasonably attentive and informed" (C-186/16, p. 47). In addition, <u>we</u> <u>propose to have a special provision for cases</u> <u>where an intermediary service is addressed to</u> <u>specific groups</u> , for example online games for children. In such cases it could be envisaged that the belief that the product or service is provided by the online platform is viewed from the perspective of the average consumer of that specific group. A similar distinction is made in consumer protection law i.e. in Article 5 (3) of Directive 2005/29 on unfair commercial practices, commercial practices which may substantially distort the economic conduct of a specific group of consumers who are particularly vulnerable to this practice or to that product due to mental or physical disability, age or disability, in such a way that the trader can reasonably predict it, the practices are assessed in the light of
Article 3		
'Mere conduit'		

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
1. Where an information society service is	RO	Π
provided that consists of the transmission in a communication network of information provided by a recipient of the service, or the provision of access to a communication network, the service provider shall not be liable for the information transmitted, on condition that the provider:	(Drafting): 1. Where an information society service is provided that consists of the act of transmission in a communication network of information provided by a recipient of the service, or the act of provision of access to a communication network, the service provider shall not be liable for the information transmitted, on condition that the provider:	 (Comments): On art. 3, 4 and 5, it would be appropriate to specify whether the responsibility lies with the natural person or the entity (on the model of law 231/2001). RO (Comments): Addition seems necessary for a better understanding . The word act is also used in para.2) DE
		(Comments) It has to be clear, that such platforms that have the purpose of accessory to crimes, especially to criminal trade, are excluded from any privileges. In these cases, exclusion does not require actual knowledge of certain illegal activities.
		The fact alone that a service offers encrypted transmissions should not in itself qualify as "purpose of accessory to crimes". Instead, a clear and comprehensive definition is needed.
		LV (Comments)
		Domain registries and registrars (domain name system providers) do not transmit the information provided by the recipient of the service in the

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
			communication network, nor do they perform access to the communication network, nor do they store this information. Thus, with current wording, domain registries and registrars do not qualify for any of the intermediary services types ("mere conduit", "caching", hosting) and exemption from liability for them is not clear.
			Given that, definition of "mere conduit" should be extended to include domains name system service providers. It could be done, for example by extending the scope of "mere conduit" definition and by inclusion of electronic communications network addressing into it
(a)	does not initiate the transmission;		
(b) trans	(b) does not select the receiver of the transmission; and		
(c)	 (c) does not select or modify the information contained in the transmission. 		
2. of ac auto the i place	2. The acts of transmission and of provision of access referred to in paragraph 1 include the automatic, intermediate and transient storage of the information transmitted in so far as this takes place for the sole purpose of carrying out the		

 Where an information society service is provided that consists of the transmission in a communication network of information provided by a recipient of the service, the service provider shall not be liable for the automatic, intermediate and temporary storage of that information, 	Article 4 'Caching'	3. This Article shall not affect the possibility for a court or administrative authority, in accordance with Member States' legal systems, of requiring the service provider to terminate or prevent an infringement.	transmission in the communication network, and provided that the information is not stored for any period longer than is reasonably necessary for the transmission.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL
HU (Drafting): performed for the sole purpose of making more efficient <u>and more secure</u> the information's onward transmission to other recipients"				Drafting suggestions
IT (Comments): On art. 3, 4 and 5, it would be appropriate to specify whether the responsibility lies with the natural person or the entity (on the model of law		IT (Comments): When required to "terminate an infringement", the mere conduit has no longer the obligation of "acting expeditiously to () disable access to the illegal content", as set in art.4e (for catching) and 5b (for hosting). Such provision does not seems consistent with Decree 70/2003 on e-commerce, transposing Directive 2000/31/EC. According to art.17.3, after the order has been issued by judicial or administrative court, the service provider has the obligation of acting <u>promptly</u> to disable access.		Comments

 (a) the provider does not modify the information; 	g more nission eir	COMMISSION PROPOSAL Drafting suggestions
HU (Comments): As the concept of information also includes content transmitted over electronic communications networks and related metadata, a restriction on the modification of all	 231/2001). HU (Comments): Currently, caching CDN providers (e.g. CloudFlare) also provide a number of other services, typically of a cybersecurity nature (e.g. data encryption, DoS protection, etc.) as part of the caching service, so it would be useful to add text for security purposes. DE (Comments) It has to be clear, that such platforms that have the purpose of accessory to crimes, especially to criminal trade, are excluded from any privileges. In these cases, exclusion does not require actual knowledge of certain illegal activities. The fact alone that a service offers encrypted transmissions should not in itself qualify as "purpose of accessory to crimes". Instead, a clear and comprehensive definition is needed.	Comments

(e) the provider acts expeditiously to remove or to disable access to the information it has stored upon obtaining actual knowledge of the fact that the information at the initial source of the transmission has been removed from the	(d) the provider does not interfere with the lawful use of technology, widely recognised and used by industry, to obtain data on the use of the information; and	 (c) the provider complies with rules regarding the updating of the information, specified in a manner widely recognised and used by industry; 	(b) the provider complies with conditions on access to the information;		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
IT (Drafting): (e) the provider acts expeditiously to remove or to disable access to the information it has stored upon obtaining actual knowledge of the					Drafting suggestions	
IT (Comments): It is not always possible to obtain the removal or disable access to illegal contents (provided by recipients of the service that are not easily				providers typically modify content-related metadata to optimize network transmission (e.g. "anycast routing"). It would be more appropriate to link the exemption to changes to "content" instead of "information," although in many cases caching providers also modify the content itself for network optimization (e.g. media transcoding with degraded quality).	Comments	

						network, or access to it has been disabled, or that a court or an administrative authority has ordered such removal or disablement.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
					illegality of the same informations is apparent, or upon obtaining actual knowledge of the fact that the information at the initial source of the transmission has been removed from the network, or access to it has been disabled, or that a court or an administrative authority has ordered such removal or disablement.	fact that information at the initial source of the transmission are illegal or related to illegal activities, or upon obtaining actual awareness of facts or circumstances from which the	Drafting suggestions	
See also our comment on recital (22)	We understand from the last part of the sentence that an order issued by a court or an administrative authority should lead to actual knowledge of the provider. Why isn't it the case also in article 5.1 b) ?	BE (Comments):	The modification must be coordinated with consistent amendments to art. 14 - notification and action mechanism - and 15 - motivation - in order to extend the additional provisions of Section II also to caching providers.	In this way, greater protection would be guaranteed through an intervention on search engines, based on caching services, aimed at preventing the retrieval of illegal information still on the web.	the removal of information temporarily stored by the caching provider - in addition to the hypotheses already provided - even when the provider of services becomes aware that the information is illegal or related to illegal activities or becomes aware of facts or circumstances that make their illegality manifest.	identifiable or traceable). Therefore, also for the purpose of better coordination of article 4 with article 5, we suggest to provide for the disabling of access or	Comments	

Article 5 Hosting	2. This Article shall not affect the possibility for a court or administrative authority, in accordance with Member States' legal systems, of requiring the service provider to terminate or prevent an infringement.		COMMISSION PROPOSAL
			Drafting suggestions
ES (Comments): Mentions are lacking to the jurisprudential criteria advanced by the European Court of Justice (for all, the L'Oreal / eBay Judgment), or others, in relation to the active or passive / neutral nature of the intermediary. Those could be included in recital 18, which comes from recital 42 in Directive 2000/31. In recent		Furthermore, could you confirm that providers of intermediary services should never be responsible for a content provided by a media service? Indeed, such content already complies with national and European legislation, is guided by journalistic and editorial principles and is monitored and supervised by a competent NRA. It would then be difficultly understandable if that content should be removed, modified or blocked by a provider of intermediary service. It is important that the editorial responsibility of audiovisual media services (and even of publishers for that matter) is protected.	Comments

years, the legal uncertainty, about the meaning of an "active role" of such a kind as to give it "knowledge" of, or "control over" the information, has been problematic. DK (Comments):
DK (Comments):
We regret that the Commission has chosen not to set clearly defined timeframes for acting on notifications on illegal content. We would further
prefer to have two sets of timelines with a shorter timeframe for high impact content.
In this regard we are also concerned that the DSA will not adequately address the
notice and action procedures.
From the Danish side we agree that 'hosting services' still should be protected from the
lightlity exemption as seen in the e-commerce
directive. However, we also find, that the liability regime in the DSA should reflect the role a
directive. However, we also find, that the liabili regime in the DSA should reflect the role a digital service provider plays in the value chain, and the responsibility to act should correspond t
directive. However, we also find, that the liability regime in the DSA should reflect the role a digital service provider plays in the value chain, and the responsibility to act should correspond to the kind of measures the service has at its disposal.
directive. However, we also find, that the lia regime in the DSA should reflect the role a digital service provider plays in the value ch and the responsibility to act should correspo the kind of measures the service has at its disposal. Especially, larger digital platforms have the ability to take proportionate and pro-active measures to combat illegal content on their

 Where an information society service is provided that consists of the storage of information provider shall not be liable for the information stored at the request of a recipient of the service on condition that the provider: 			COMMISSION PROPOSAL
			Drafting suggestions
 IT (Comments): 1) On art. 3, 4 and 5, it would be appropriate to specify whether the responsibility lies with the natural person or the entity (on the model of law 231/2001). 2) The active role of the intermediary represents the fundamental element that should be considered verifying whether the provider is liable or not. Therefore it could be useful a clarification on what are the conditions that make a platform "active" and therefore able to have control and knowledge on the contents (only recital 18 tries to explain the difference). This clarified difference between active and passive hosting providers should also consider that also passive "ones" have certain duties, has reported by recitals 40-47-48 of E-commerce Directive, regarding duties for all intermediaries. Plus, the 	SE (Comments): SE would appreciate a clarification on what provisions laid down in the proposal that could trigger the limitation of liability set out in Article 5?	act pro-actively to tackle illegal content. Thus, we regret that the DSA does not contain proactive requirements for the platforms to detect illegal content.	Comments

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
		 CJEU jurisprudence stated that a certain degree of control must also be applied to some passive providers; for example in 2019, in the famous case involving Facebook, the Court stated that a specific monitoring obligation applies to identical and equivalent information (i.e. content already declared illegal) for platforms (judgment in case C - 18/18, Eva Glawischnig - Piesczek v Facebook Ireland Limited, 3 October 2019). Liability exemption should finally have clear and narrow boundaries, following CJEU case-law and certainty needs. DE (Comments) It has to be clear, that such platforms that have the purpose of accessory to crimes, especially to criminal trade, are excluded from any privileges. In these cases, exclusion does not require actual knowledge of certain illegal activities. Additionally, providers of infrastructure for such platforms must be excluded from privileges in case of knowledge of the criminal purposes of the purposes of the purposes of the purposes of the purpose of the criminal purposes of the purposes of the purposes of the criminal purposes of the purposes of the purposes of the criminal purposes of the purposes of the criminal purposes of the purposes of the criminal purposes of the purposes of the purposes of the criminal purposes of the purposes of the purposes of the criminal purposes of the purpose of the purposes of the purpose of the purposes of the purpose of the purposes purposes of the purposes o
(a) does not have actual knowledge of illegal activity or illegal content and, as regards claims for damages, is not aware of facts or circumstances from which the illegal activity or illegal content is apparent; or		

3. Paragraph 1 shall not apply with respect to liability under consumer protection law of online platforms allowing consumers to conclude distance contracts with traders, where such an online platform presents the specific item of information or otherwise enables the specific transaction at issue in a way that would lead an average and reasonably well-informed consumer to believe that the information, or the product or	 Paragraph 1 shall not apply where the recipient of the service is acting under the authority or the control of the provider. 	(b) upon obtaining such knowledge or awareness, acts expeditiously to remove or to disable access to the illegal content.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL
SK (Drafting): 3. Paragraph 1 shall not apply with respect to liability under consumer protection law of online platforms allowing consumers to conclude distance contracts with traders, where such an online platform presents the specific item of information or otherwise enables the specific transaction at issue in a way that wouldcould lead			Drafting suggestions
AT (Comments): This paragraph seems to run into the void, since there is no provision of union law that actually holds the online marketplace liable for creating the erroneous impression that the consumer is contracting with the platform and not with a supplier. Futhermore, there is no situation thinkable in which this provision could apply: if		LU (Comments): Luxembourg wonders why removal or disabling access of illegal content are the only two options for "action" by the service provider. Indeed, in some cases, the "freezing" or securing of the online content should be preferred to removal in order to help fight the illegal activity at the source (for example getting to the perpetrators), and give more means to the law enforcement authorities to investigate. Possibly, "disabling access" could be defined as including such a "freeze" and incentivized in certain cases.	Comments

service that is the object of the transaction, is provided either by the online platform itself or by a recipient of the service who is acting under its authority or control.	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
 an average and reasonably well-informed consumer to believe that the information, or the product or service that is the object of the transaction, is provided either by the online platform itself or by a recipient of the service who is acting under its authority or control. DE (Drafting) 3. "Paragraph 1 shall not apply with respect to hability under consumer protection law of online platforms allowing consumers to conclude distance contracts with traders, where such an online platform has a predominant influence over the trader or presents the specific item of information or otherwise enables the specific transaction at issue in a way that would lead an average and reasonably well-informed consumer to believe that the information, or the product or service that is the object of the transaction, is provided either by the online platform itself or by a recipient of the service who is acting under its authority or control. When assessing whether the consumer can reasonably rely on the online platforms predominant influence over the trader, the following criteria may be considered in particular: a) The distance contract is offered exclusively through facilities provided on the online platform; 	Drafting suggestions	
 the online marketplace presents the specific item in this specific way, it plays an "active role" according to the ECJ judgement C- 324/09, and wouldn't be eligible for the exemption provided for in paragraph 1 anyway. IT (Comments): It would be appropriate to reformulate the paragraph taking into account the jurisprudence that over the years has further limited the scope of the exemption from liability for hosting service providers (for example, for "active" platforms, which assist in the optimization and presentation of commercial offers). ES (Comments): This provision makes sense to guarantee marketplaces do not present third-party products as their own, causing confusion to the final consumer. However, the specification of some of the objective criteria and circumstances that would be relevant to clarify when this situation occurs is missing. It could be done in recital 23. SK (Comments): Related to Art. 1 (5), DSA makes preference to special consumer protection law (lex specialis derogate legi generalis). If a special consumer 	Comments	

b) The onli payment s; platform t customer t c) The tern contract an online plat d) The prin by the onli e) The man platform a f) The onli the conduc compliance required b FR (Drafting): 3. Paragrap liability uno platforms n conclude di such an onli the specific enables the that would	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
 b) The online platform exclusively uses payment systems which enable the online platform to withhold payments made by the customer to the supplier; c) The terms and conditions of the distance contract are essentially determined by the online platform; d) The price to be paid by the consumer is set by the online platform; e) The marketing is focused on the online platform and not on the trader; or f) The online platform promises to monitor the conduct of traders and to enforce compliance with its standards beyond what is required by law." FR (Drafting): 3. Paragraph 1 shall not apply with respect to liability under consumer protection law of online platforms marketplace allowing consumers to conclude distance contracts with traders, where such an online platforms marketplace presents the specific item of information or otherwise enables the specific transaction at issue in a way that would lead an average and reasonably well- informed consumer to believe that the information or the product or service that is the 	Drafting suggestions	
protection law does not include hosting provider as a trader or other responsible person, could it be outperformed by a general law? If so, we prefer to extend this exemption (in the context of the conclusion of distance contracts between consumers and traders) to other non- commercial relations. SK would appreciate a better definition of the scope of the liability. What is the range of the liability – is the liability of a hosting provider exactly the same as a liability of a trader according consumer protection law? LU (Comments): According to recital 17, the DSA does not «provider can be held liable, which is for the applicable rules of Union or national law to determine ». How are we to understand this paragraph which seems to determine a liability basis for online platforms (as opposed to the exemptions to liability)? DE (Comments) We suggest the deletion of the limitation on consumer protection law; the exception should	Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
	authority or control, or where the online marketplace effectively asserts authority or control over the trader. [3a] The determination whether the online marketplace asserts authority or control over the trader according to paragraph 3, should be assessed taking account of the following criteria, inter alia: (i) the contract is executed exclusively through facilities provided on the online marketplace; (ii) the online marketplace uses exclusively payment systems which enable the platform operator to withhold payments made by the consumer to the trader; (iii) the terms of the contract are excluively or essentially determined by the online marketplace; (iv) the price setting is determined or unduly constrained by the online marketplace; or (v) the online marketplace commits to monitor the conduct of traders and to enforce compliance with its standards beyond what is required by law.	 exception in the case of a predominant influence of the online platform over the trader. We also suggest to insert a list of criteria for which such predominant influence applies (adoption of the criteria from "Article 20: Liability of the Platform Operator with Predominant Influence" of the European Law Institutes Model Rules on Online Platforms). Moreover, we wonder whether the liability exemption in para. 1 should still apply in case of a violation of due diligence obligations under Chapter III of the draft DSA (in particular the violation of the obligations under Art. 22). FR <pre> (Comments): Les autorités françaises demandent à ce que le paragraphe 3 précise mieux selon quels critères une place de marché en ligne pourrait voir sa responsabilité engagée lorsque celle-ci se présente ou se comporte comme le vendeur. The proposed criteria used to assess the applicability of the exception provided for in para. 3 of Article 5, are freely inspired by the Model Rules on Online Platforms published by the European Institute of Law, which refers in further to the following EUTory protonal form </pre>
		and caselaw as relevant sources:
		Article 13 (1) Package Travel Directive (EU)

	enonedSac Summer	2015/2302; CJEU case C-320/16 (Uber France); CJEU case C-149/15 (Wathelet); Oberdorf v Amazon, Third Circuit Court of Appeals, No 18- 1041, 7/3/19 (USA); § 311 (3) BGB (DE).
 This Article shall not affect the possibility for a court or administrative authority, in accordance with Member States' legal systems, of requiring the service provider to terminate or prevent an infringement. 		
Article 6 Voluntary own-initiative investigations and legal compliance		ES (Comments): This clause would be unnecessary, since
		This clause would be unnecessary, since Directive 2000/31 allows good faith measures to be taken to comply with the legal framework and enforce "terms and conditions" (recital 40), without losing the liability exemption. In other words, the Commission has repeatedly indicated that voluntary proactive measures to detect and remove illegal content do not automatically mean the loss of the liability exemption. Although the idea of adding this article is shared, we insist in the need, expressed in our comment in art. 5, to guarantee legal certainty and establish objective criteria based on the jurisprudence of the CJEU that allow discerning when an intermediary acquires an "active" role of such

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
		a kind as to give it knowledge or control over the information, and consequently, lose the liability exemption. SK (Comments):
		(Comments): We would welcome to extend the support of voluntary investigations of providers of intermediary services, that they could not be held liable (on law or contractual basis). E. g. we suggest a harmonisation of precautionary measures (taken by providers of intermediary services), if there is a suspicion of illegal content.
		EL. (Comments)
		Article 6: We agree with Article 6 and the possibility for providers to take an active role in dealing with illegal content as it gives the necessary flexibility to self-regulate their actions.
		We also agree with the provision that any voluntary action taken by providers against illegal content should not be a sufficient condition for them to be held liable for the
		content, as they may act in good faith and diligently (recital 25). However, because it can be considered that a provider with the voluntary
		content filter) has become aware of the illegal content, which in fact should be removed
		entitled to be excluded from liability; in our view

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
the framework of liability should be better clarified so as not to discourage providers from taking voluntary action. Moreover, in recital 27, it would be appropriate to clarify the liability status by category of service, given the complex technical nature of these services, in order to be clear of the exemption from their liability in the context of their voluntary own-initiative investigations. Finally, <u>we propose to add in</u> <i>article 6 reference to technical auxiliary</i> <i>functions.</i> DE (Comments) We welcome the clarification that the mere fact, that providers undertake voluntary own-initiative investigations etc. does not lead to the result of losing the exemption from liability referred to in Articles 3, 4 and 5. However, the requirements of Article 6 are too vague. For instance, how successful do voluntary measures have to be? What voluntary actions would be considered as not undertaken in a "diligent manner"? The proposal lacks specification in this regard This could lead to considerable legal uncertainty for authorities, users and platforms. It should be ensured, that voluntary actions are undertaken in a manner that prevents and minimizes any possible negative effects for the rights of users, especially their right to freedom of expression.	Comments	

	We welcome the explanation by the CO
We welcome the explanation by the COM, that if the provider of hosting services has obtained actual knowledge in the context of the voluntary measures employed, the provider can only benefit from the liability exemption if it acts expeditiously to remove or disable access to such content.	the provider of hosting services has obta actual knowledge in the context of the v measures employed, the provider can or benefit from the liability exemption if it expeditiously to remove or disable accention content.
In any case the proposal lacks substantial safeguards regarding volumary measures by the providers. The often automated processes carry a high risk, potential which is not fully adressed by the proposal. The risks of automated systems lie in their lack of transparency for the general public and the opacity of the assumptions underlying their decisions. Special safeguards should be laid down when it comes to the use of systems that are likely to undermine the protection of individual rights or the interests of civil society. The obligation for very large online platforms to assess and minimise risks are not sufficient in this regard. Obligations could include inter alia: mandatory requirements on training data, including but not limited to documentation obligations; access to the automated systems including but not limited to documentation obligations; access to the automated systems including the training data.	In any case the proposal lacks substantic safeguards regarding voluntary measure providers. The often automated process high risk potential which is not fully ad the proposal. The risks of automated sys in their lack of transparency for the gen public and the opacity of the assumption underlying their decisions. Special safe should be laid down when it comes to the systems that are likely to undermine the protection of individual rights or the int civil society. The obligation for very lar platforms to assess and minimise risks sufficient in this regard. Obligations could include inter alia: ma requirements on training data, including limited to documentation obligations; as the automated systems including the tra for supervisory and research purposes; information rights for those affected by automated decision making systems

														COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
														Drafting suggestions	
Les autorités françaises jugent nécessaire	(Comments):	FR	Algorithmic amplification practices or recommendation algorithms could lead to the liability of the providers? We appreciate an explanation for such situations.	(Comments):	measures to address illegal activities do not remove intermediaries from the scope of the liability exemptions. RO	(Comments): CZ anneciates the clarification that voluntary	CZ	by introducing an article codifying the general requirements for neutral/passive intermediary services in line with case law of the CJEU.	the distinction between active and passive intermediaries? This could for example be done	to clarify the provision in some regards, to make it clear the 'good samaritan' provision applies to	as a result of "voluntary own-initiative investigations"? Has the Commission considered	appreciate clarification on which obligations, if any, are imposed on platforms that obtain "actual	Given the exemption set in Article 6, SE would	Comments	

Article 7 No general monitoring or active fact-finding obligations	COMMISSION PROPOSAL
	Drafting suggestions
IT (Comments): With specific reference to IPR: we shoud reflect on possible balance between "no general monitoring obbligation" and the fact that providers have no (legal) liability before the infringement is ascertained and may only act after an IPR infringement has been committed flaggers (set out in Article 19), or act upon the request of an order (set out in Article 8(1)). A good starting points are recital 40-47-48 of Ecommerce directive, regarding duties of care for all intermediaries, in particular for passive ones, without prejudice to Art. 15 of the directive itself. The CJEU states that Art. 15 of the ECD does not mean an absolute ban; a certain degree of	Comments d'insérer dans l'article 6 lui-même la précision énoncée au considérant 22, selon laquelle les services intermédiaires peuvent avoir connaissance de la présence de contenus illicites du fait de leurs propres initiatives de détection des contenus. Dans ce cas, pour conserver le bénéfice de leur exemption de responsabilité, ils devront promptement retirer ou bloquer l'accès à ces contenus, comme si ces contenus leur avaient été notifiés.

						COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
						Drafting suggestions	
We support the maintaining of this principle	(Comments):	LU	 for passive platforms (judgment in case C - 18/18, Eva Glawischnig - Piesczek v Facebook Ireland Limited, 3 October 2019). HU (Comments): We welcome the fact that the proposal prohibits active fact-finding obligations for online platforms. ES (Comments): ES supports the prohibition of general monitoring, which is already contained in article 15(1) of Directive 2000/31, and which could lead to the excessive and indiscriminate removal of content and affect fundamental rights of users, such as the freedom of expression and access to information. The above is understood without prejudice to specific monitoring obligations, nor should it affect orders from competent authorities (recital 28).	VS Scarlet, C - 360/10 SABAM vs Netlog) The Facebook 2019 case reflects this logic, stating that a specific monitoring obligation applies to identical and equivalent information	control must also be applied to some passive or active operators (see case C - 70/10 SABAM	Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments	
		from the e-Commerce Directive. However, we wonder the extent to which it still stands as a horizontal rule given that the Copyright Directive (DSM) derogates from this principle. DE	
		(Comments) We establish that Art. 15(1) eCD ("no general obligation to monitor") has been transferred to	
		However, we wonder where Art. 15(2) eCD	
		society service providers promptly to inform the competent public authorities of alleged <i>illegal</i> <i>activities</i> undertaken or information provided by recipients of their service [].") went.	
		It is unclear whether Art. 15(2) eCD is now to be found in Art. 21(1) of the proposal – although Art. 21(1) is limited to "serious criminal offence involving a threat to the life or safety of	
		In addion, the relationship between Art, 7 and Chapter III is unclear. Does Art, 7 e.g. apply to the obligation of very large online plattforms to	
		adress and minimise systemic risks stemming from the functioning and use made of their services in the Union which is laid down in Art 26 and Art. 27?	
		Finally, as said before, it should be underlined that Art. 7 does not apply/provide privileges to	
No general obligation to monitor the information which providers of intermediary services transmit or store, nor actively to seek facts or circumstances indicating illegal activity shall be imposed on those providers.		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
---	---------------------------------------	----------------------	--
FR (Drafting): 1. No general obligation to monitor the information which providers of intermediary services transmit or store, nor actively to seek facts or circumstances indicating illegal activity shall be imposed on those providers. [2. Paragraph 1 shall be without prejudice to the obligations set for online marketplace in articles] <i>[new articles with specific provisions for marketplaces]</i> <i>for marketplaces</i>		Drafting suggestions	
 IT (Comments): The article is acceptable to the extent that it prohibits the imposition of general surveillance obligations, which could excessively limit the freedom of expression and the freedom to receive information from users. A generalized obligation to monitor illegal content could actually penalize smaller companies and concentrate digital services in the hands of a few intermediaries equipped with adequate structures to meet the obligation. SE (Comments): SE notes that the provision fully corresponds to that currently contained in Article 15 of the E-Commerce Directive (Directive 200/21/EC) and it is the preliminary view of the Swedish government to welcome the transposing of the provision into the regulation. CZ (Comments): CZ appreciates that the Commission preserved the core principle of eCommerce Directive - prohibition of general monitoring obligations - in DSA. 	crimes, especially to criminal trade.	Comments	

		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
		Drafting suggestions	
 (Comments) It should be clarified that sectoral due diligence obligations for online platforms (i.e. e-commerce platforms/online marketplaces and other platforms that can be used for transactional services such as commercial transactions) are not excluded by Art. 7. These platforms should be legally obliged to take possible, reasonable and, where appropriate, automated due diligence measures to protect consumers (no blanket upload filters). To the extent that it is possible for them to do so at economically reasonable are advertised and no fake shops or other fraudulent offerings appear on the platforms it is not an undue burden to check user data with previous findings of illegal activity or to carry out automated searches for indications of illegal activity (adjusted to known patterns of such activity (adjusted to known patterns of such activity (adjusted to known patterns of such activity must also be discussed. The same consideration applies to the illegal trade with specimens of protected animal and plant species or their products. A number of 	DE	Comments	

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
recent studies show that intermediary services are a central hub and facilitator for buyers and sellers of protected species. The same consideration applies to the trade with stolen ID documents of MS. Such platforms are a central hub and facilitator for human trafficing, violation of the European external borders and illegal access to social security and social insurance benefits. Given the severity of the of the situation, we ask to make sure that the online trade with ID documents of MS is impeded by the regulation. In addition, for large online platforms it is not an undue burden to be obligated to report the trade with ID documents to the law enforcement authorities. FR (Comments): Les autorités françaises estiment que cette disposition ne peut être directement applicable aux places de marché en ce qui concerne l'obligation (qui devrait leur incomber) de retirer tout produit faisant l'objet d'une procédure de retrait-rappel par un vendeur ou par les autorités (via les réseaux de contrôle). Par. 2 as a placeholder to be specified when the Chapter III will be examined.	Comments	

	Article 8 Orders to act against illegal content	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
		Drafting suggestions	
 Articles 8 and 9 of the DSA Finland emphasizes that Digital Services Act is still a new proposal and needs to be processed in the Finnish parliament. Therefore, we do not have an official position until the proposal has been discussed in our national parliament. During the last WP meeting, the Commission explained at length the content, objectives of and reasoning behind the proposed articles 8 and 9 of the DSA. The aim of the proposed articles is sufficiently clear. However, we find the content as well as the usability of the articles still very unclear. The relationship between the articles and the competence of the relevant national judicial or administrative authority to issue such orders in another MS as well as the question of enforcing them cross borders need to be understood. Therefore, we still have reservation on articles 8 and 9 as they now stand. We are also considering whether the Digital Service Provider is receiving the order should be involved in the process some way. IT (Comments): 	FI (Comments)	Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
		There could be possible interference with the administrative cooperation mechanism for consumer protection pursuant to EU Regulation 2394/2017.
		Until now the cross-border application of Directive 2000/31 / EC was ensured through the instruments of Reg. 2394/2017, therefore the creation of a parallel network of enforcers for digital markets raises some concerns regarding the coordination between the two laws.
		For example, the power of the national judicial and administrative authorities to send an order to the intermediary service providers to oppose or
		article 8 of the proposal, is already provided for in article 9, paragraph 4, letter g), number ii), of EU Regulation 2394/2017. It is not clear,
		applicable to the corresponding instrument that can be used in the field of consumer protection.
		Similar considerations concern the power to address requests for information to intermediary service providers, with possible sanctions, referred to in Article 9 of the proposal - also
		HU
		(Comments): Articles 8 and 9 break the country of origin
		Articles 8 and 9 break the country

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments able to oblige a provider of data hosting services (in this case, Facebook) to delete the data that it stores, and whose content is identical to the information previously declared illegal or to
		 (in this case, Facebook) to detet the data that it stores, and whose content is identical to the information previously declared illegal, or to block access to it, as well as to delete the data that it stores, and whose content is similar to that of an information declared illegal previously. The ECJ clarifies that although the regulation must not require service providers to carry out general monitoring or to carry out active searches for facts or circumstances that indicate illicit activities (Article 7), it must allow and encourage the development and the use of automated, proportionate and effective tools that prevent the reappearance of illegal content that has already been removed previously (as is the case in sectoral regulations such as the Copyright Directive for the protection of intellectual property rights) without affecting fundamental rights. Such a provision on stay-down must be included in relation to articles 8 and 14. DK Comments): General comments regarding the understanding of article 8 and 9: If article 8 and 9: If article 8 and 9: If article 8 and 9 mean that the authorities in another Member State can issue orders to providers of intermediary services established in the factor of intermediary services establ

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
 constitutional problems in Denmark. A concrete question: If a comment on a social media platform is illegal in the Member State where the commenting user is living, could the Member State of the user then give direct orders to the online platform established in another Member State - and this without cooperation with the Member State of the online platform's establishment? Moreover, would the nules on recognition and enforcement. IE (Comments): It is considered that this Article may be unenforceable in a cross border context given that Article 40 limits the jurisdiction of Digital Services Co-ordinators to the enforcement of Chapters 3 and 4 of this Regulation. It is understood that the Commission believes that the powers of DSCs in Article 42 and the general obligation to co-operate cross borders in Article 45 will be sufficient to ensure such enforcement because of the reference to "this Regulation". However there is a considerable risk that those 	Comments	

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
 specific and limited jurisdication on the DSCs. This would take the contents of Chapter 2 outside the perview of DSCs other than with respect to keeping records. LU (Comments): Given the possibility for disagreements or backs-and-forths between intermediaries and authorities, we suggest a mediating role for the Commission in this process, in particular when it is about illegal content as defined in EU law. Luxembourg generally holds a scrutiny reseve on this Article pending consultation with concerned Ministries. EL (Comments) Articles 8 and 9: We take note that Article 3 of the "E-Commerce Directive" is still applicable, according to which each MS ensures that the information society services provided by an organization established in its territory comply with its applicable national provisions, which fall within the coordinated field and that MS may not restrict the free movement of information society services origin and destination should cooperate. It is also important to note that Articles 8 and 9 do not constitute empowering provisions, and that the order does not	Comments	

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
 constitute an obligation under the DSA proposal, but under national law. In view of the above, <u>we</u> consider that these issues should be explicitly clarified, so that there is no doubt as to whether the illegality of the content is determined by the law of the country of origin or (also) by the law of the country of destination, and which is the national judicial or administrative authority, which the provider is obliged to comply with its order. Furthermore, it is not clear which court the intermediary service provider will go to (in its country of origin or in the country that issued the order), so as to defend its case concerning an order. The "general provisions" do not contain any provision regarding the receipt of administrative acts by foreign country of establishment, it is not clear how the judge will be able to decide the legality of a foreign administrative act, and how the foreign authority that issued the act, will be present and be represented in this court. Finally, we value the importance of strengthening the level of technical capacity of public administration in MS, of effective cooperation at EU level, and of the critical role of supervision and enforcement to ensure the supervision. 	Comments	

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
 DE (Comments) We assume that a MS shall be allowed to set sector-specific (and European law-compliant) exceptions that contain obligations to take action against specific sorts of illegal content. Such national regulation would <i>then</i> be the legal basis for an enforcement order under Art. 8 of the proposal. Is this correct? In any case, we ask for a clearer description ofthe relationship between Art. 8 and 9 and the existing national and internatonal procedural rules. For us, it is unclear how Art. 8 and 9 can be reconciled with the existing national procedural rules and the rules on judicial and administrative cooperation, for example in the area of civil or criminal law. It should be clarified that Art. 8 and 9 do not affect the instruments on PIL / judicial cooperation in civil law matters. A recital and possibly a clarification in Art. 1(5) would be a better way. E.g. would an order under the new regime be equivalent to a formal delivery or notice under national requirements regarding orders to act against illegal orders / provide 	Comments	

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
 information, e.g. regarding the elements the order has to contain? How does DSA interact with the Market Surveillance Regulation 2019/1020 regarding the competent authorities and their powers, also in light of the obligation of MS in Art. 38 to designate Digital Service Coordinators? We also wonder which competent national judicial or administrative authorities should be able to issue such orders under Art. 8. Should criminal proceedings be included here at all in accordance with the meaning and purpose of the DSA? Does "action against specific illegal content" in Article 8 (1) also cover criminal prosecution or, because only the content and not persons are to be "acted against", its removal? Should MS be given leeway in identifying the competent authorities which could be defined in the necessary legislation for putting the Regulation in force on a national level, or should all national authorities be subject to Articles 8 and 9 if they "take action" against illegal content or request information about users in their respective areas of responsibility? Are EU authorities at the national level, i.e. how can the DSA take into account the different authorities at the national level, i.e. how can be made sure that the local authorities can intervene within their own scope of authority with regards to 	Comments	

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
 violations within their jurisdiction? LV (Comments) Capabilities of intermediary service providers to remove illegal content online are vastly different in nature and effect, especially, that refers to specific capabilities of domain name system operators and electronic communications operators (Internet access) providers/telecommunications services) to block access to platforms. For example, domain registries are capable to delete domain name which affects not only illegal content but accessibility to other services and content of the intermediary service provider. Such measure would be disproportional in comparison to targeted deletion of illegal content by online platform itself and should be used only as a last resort measure. However, Article 8 does not stipulate that national judicial or administrative authorities must ascertain whether a third party has tried to resolve a conflict over particular online content by other effective means (e.g. contacting the intermediary service providers sconcerned). In practice, third parties as well as the authorities do not always respect this principle of proportionality and graduality and Article 8 does not address this issue. 	Comments	

 Providers of intermediary services shall, upon the receipt of an order to act against a specific item of illegal content, issued by the relevant national judicial or administrative authorities, on the basis of the applicable Union or national law, in conformity with Union law, inform the authority issuing the order of the effect given to the orders, without undue delay, specifying the action taken and the moment when the action was taken. 		COMMISSION PROPOSAL
AT (Drafting): 1. <u>Without prejudice to civil law claims</u> <u>brought to act against illegal content, such as for</u> <u>injunctive relief or removal and the enforcement</u> <u>of such claims</u> , providers of intermediary services shall, upon the receipt of an order to act against a specific item of illegal content, issued by the relevant national judicial or administrative authorities, on the basis of the applicable Union or national law, in conformity with Union law the conditions set out in this article, comply with the order or contest it and inform the authority issuing the order of the effect given to the orders, without undue delay, specifying the action taken and the moment when the action was taken. PL (Drafting): Providers of intermediary services shall, upon the receipt of an order to act against a specific item or multiple items of illegal content, issued by the		Drafting suggestions
 AT (Comments): It should be made clear that civil law claims follow the rules of Brussels Ia-Regulation. It should not be up to the provider, nor left to Member States law whether the provider wants to comply with the order or not. If the order is in conformity with the law, it should be followed. What reason should the information of redress available (para 2) have, if the provider was not bound to follow the order anyway? IT (Comments): The proposed procedure is appreciable, however excessively articulated and not suited to the need to intervene promptly to combat illegal content. We propose to evaluate the introduction of injunctive measures: dynamic, flexible and fast enough to remain 	Given that, Article 8 or at least Recital 83 should include clear and unambiguous obligation for law enforcers to respect the principles of proportionality and graduality. An example is the legal structure contained in Article 9, paragraph four (g) of the CPC Regulation	Comments

		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
specifying the action taken and the moment when the action was taken.	relevant national judicial or administrative authorities, on the basis of the applicable Union or national law, in conformity with Union law, inform the authority issuing the order of the effect given to the orders, without undue delay.	Drafting suggestions	
 actions by country. 2) It could be worth to establish that the orders issued by the relevant national or administrative authorities contain also the time for taking the action specified in those orders, to ensure compliance within a defined time period, considering the different nature of the activities of providers and the severity of the violations 3) The meaning of "undue delay" is not specified, nor is a specific time for taking the action specified in the orders. Furthermore, it is not specified how the intermediary should comply with the order received (cancellation, modification etc.). "Specifying the action taken" may imply that there is no certainty on which specifie action should be taken. Likewise, no reference is made to the possible sanctions reference to in Chapter IV of the same Regulation. Instead, the elements that must contain the orders of the authorities are defined in a very detailed way. We suggest articulating the process that regards providers' obligations with more certainty and effectiveness PL (Comments): 	effective over time, - independent from online addresses, which can easily evolve (eg URL addresses); - cross-border, to avoid lengthy and costly legal	Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Deafting engagetions	Commente
		single order should be reflected in the text of the Draft DSA.
		Additional comments below. 1. Comment to Articles 8 and 9 - clarification of the term "order".
		Articles 8 and 9 use the term "order". Consideration should be given to the possibility of clarifying what is meant by this term. Will this be any order issued by an administrative
		authority or Member State court or will a specific form of such an act be required (in administrative
		judicial proceedings - a judicial ruling)? Different interpretations by Member States of
		what constitutes an order may aggravate possible disputes between Member States' authorities as regards recognition and enforceability of proce-border removal orders
		In this respect, we cannot limit ourselves to decisions and court rulings only. For example
		civil proceedings), which also ought to be respected by service providers. In addition, we
		a court in EU law is extremely broad and does not refer only to entities explicitly referred to as a
		"court. These entities should also be considered when drafting this article.
		2. Cross-border removal order Under the DSA it is envisaged that an authority
		Under the DSA, it is envisaged that

			COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
			Drafting suggestions	
It seems that a common misconception from Member States, as is demonstrated by the Q&A document, that this Regulation provides the legal	Furthermore, SE finds that clarification is needed regarding how the sanction mechanisms proposed in the DSA relates to the articles 8-9. Can the wording "specific item of illegal content" refer to an entire webpage with large amounts of illegal content or is it limited to specific items on that webpage?	responsible for the technical layer/infrastructure of the functioning of the Internet network, but not for the content published by intermediaries. SE (Comments): Regarding jurisdiction, we find it difficult to determine whether the examination of an order is to be carried out in accordance with national law where the supplier has its registered office or whether the supplier also has to comply with the legislation of the issuing state. We believe some clarification is needed regarding how the articles 8-9 relates to intellectual property law, specifically the injunction orders and right to information according to InfoSoc Directive (2001/29) and the Enforcement Directive (2004/28). See further comments on recital 9.	Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
		indicate such a legal basis. This needs to be clarified in clear terms in the Regulation. Also, in order to ensure that such legislation does not go beyond what is allowed by the Charter, a more transparent provision outlining the conditions for such legislation should be developed. ES
		(Comments): Establishing a deadline for intermediaries to inform the authority will avoid vague legal concepts, guarantee a response from the provider within a reasonable period and harmonize the procedure at the EU level. A deadline could be proposed depending on the severity of the illegal content , ranging from 24 to 72 hours.
		BE (Comments):
		This obligation to inform the competent authority of the actions taken to give effect to its request constitutes a real added-value. Indeed, our competent authorities do not currently receive any acknowledgement of receipt and are not notified of the execution of a withdrawal.
		However, we are wondering why this is only limited to an obligation to <i>inform</i> the competent authority of the follow-up without referring to an
		content, considered illegal according to the national law (which is the local basis of the order

		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
		Drafting suggestions	
To what extend is a content considered "specific" enough ? What if the order to act does not relate to a "specific item of illegal content" but is broader (e.g. disable access to a website) ? Should the authority then use article 3.4 ECD, in	issued by the authority) should be removed, at least in first instance, by the intermediary. We therefore would like to ask to include in the text an explicit reference to an obligation to execute the request. We also would like to clarify that the intermediary should be considered having an "actual knowledge" in case all the elements referred to in article 8 are included in the order, as it is the case following a notice from an individual or entity that includes the elements referred to in article 14. See also our comment on recital (22) We understand from the discussions with the Commission that in case of infringement, the State issuing the order is competent to apply sanctions as provided for in its National law. For the sake of clarity, it should be clearly stated in this instrument. It is finally unclear, in the current text, how the sanctions provided for though articles 45,51,58,59 should effectively apply in case of a breach of article 8 (the intermediary does not respond at all to the order) and what would be the relationship between this "EU" sanction and the one at national level.	Comments	

		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
		Drafting suggestions	
(Comments):	respect of the provider's freedom to provide is services cross-border? (see also our comments on recital 33 and on article 1.5 (a)) SK (Comments): We would like to remark, that any kind of consequence's of acting with delay or not acting at all (full non-compliance) is missing for art. 8 and art. 9 – We would like to ask the Commission to elaborate on this CZ (Comments): Given that the Commission explained, that the only obligation for providers under Article 8 is to reply to the order and specify the action taken, we are of the opinion that the Czech translation of the paragraph 1 says otherwise. "Inform of the effect given to the orders" is translated as "informuji o provedent pftkazů", which is in CZ translated as "inform of the carrying out the orders", which is, in our view, not in compliance with the explanation of the Commission. We would like to ask for clarification in both English and the subsequent translations. (CZ will also raise this in connection to the comment on the CZ translation of the proposal.) RO	Comments	

													COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
													Drafting suggestions	
We wonder whether "specific item of illegal content" does mean that rules to enforce age	(Comments)	DE	If an authority from MS A issues an order failing to respect the requirements of Article 8/9 and this order is received by the provider established in MS B, which court will be competent (from MS A or MS B?)	protection, Member States must ensure that there are effective means to challenge the order before national courts.	the requirements of Articles 8 or 9, in the light of the EU law principle of effective judicial	the intermediary service provider considers that the order is not lawful because it fails to respect	n. According to the explanation provided, the orders under Articles 8 and 9 are issued on the basis of applicable Union or national law. In case	given to the respective order because of possible breach of EU/national law?	Is it possible that the service provider indicates to the authority issuing the order that no effect was	order is invalid (including due to breach of EU or national law), it can be challenged.	discretion on whether to comply or not with it. Still, in case the addressee considers that the	the addressee (as is any other administrative or indicial decision) on the addressee does not have	Comments	

Drafting suggestions	Comments
	verification/access restriction mechanisms do not fall within the scope of the proposal. LV
	(Comments) We are still assessing Articles 8 and 9, however, we do have serious doubts on the effectiveness and practical applicability of this provision. In our view, to fight the illegal content online cross-
	stronger means for authorities to enforce their decisions cross border, while Art.8 provides only an option to impose a fine if the provider fails to inform the authority on its actions and not if it refuses to comply. MS are currently struggling
	legislation sets up a strong cooperation mechanism for enforcement, therefore in our
	view an article that does not set any obligat
	view an article that does not set any obligations on providers except informing does not provide a great added value.
	view an article that does not set any obligat on providers except informing does not pro great added value. FR (Comments):
	view an article that does not set any obligation on providers except informing does not provid great added value. FR (Comments): Les autorités françaises posent une réserve générale d'examen sur les articles 8 et 9 et les considérants correspondants.

		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
		Drafting suggestions	
PL (Comments): Currently, Article 8 only addresses removal of content through the use of an order to act against illegal content. However, the DSA should also provide here for the possibility to issue an order with the opposite effect, i.e. order to restore access to content. The measures currently available in this regard are insufficient for member states to ensure freedom of expression and protection of freedom of speech. It is crucial to counteract the arbitrary and unjustified isolation of certain actors from the	 en ligne dans le contexte du DSA et pourrait amener à une prise de position politique de la part de plusieurs États membres, susceptible de nécessiter des amendements rédactionnels plus conséquents. Par ailleurs, et s'agissant plus spécifiquement de l'article 9, le besoin opérationnel ainsi couvert et son articulation avec le paquet e-Evidence en cours de négociation font l'objet de discussions au sein du groupe COPEN et d'interrogations de la part de plusieurs délégations. Par conséquent, les amendements proposés à ce stade par les autorités françaises ne préjugent pas de ceux qu'elles pourraient soumettre ultérieurement au Conseil. 	Comments	

 Member States shall ensure that the orders referred to in paragraph 1 meet the following conditions: 		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
AT (Drafting): <u>The conditions</u> referred to in paragraph 1 <u>are as</u> follows: LU (Drafting): 2. Member States shall ensure that t <u>T</u> he		Drafting suggestions	
AT (Comments): Whereas para 1 should only be applicable if the orders meet the conditions, orders that do not meet the conditions should not be regulated (like judgements according to Brussels Ia-Regulation). Otherwise it leaves the question open what happens to orders that do not meet the	primary sources of information that are widely functioning in public life today. Exclusion from participation in this common forum of information exchange leads to marginalization within the public discourse. The functioning of courts indicates that there are no effective legal instruments that would create opportunities for persons excluded by unverifiable decisions of unknown decision- makers to return to this forum. These regulations should provide for legal tools ensuring protection against arbitrary restrictions on the exercise of the right to freely express one's views, and at the same time they must be in line with contemporary forms of information exchange on the Internet, providing a real, and not only an illusory, possibility of legal protection of access to true information, as is currently the case on the basis of regulations in force, the drafting of which did not take into account the conditions of a modern information society.	Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
	orders referred to in paragraph 1 shall meet the following conditions:	conditions. IT (Comments): The notification of the order could be problematic. Provider data is often obscured by cyberlocking and the notification becomes onerous and difficult. It does not seem sufficient to establish a generic contact point, a more stringent registration obligation or to declare a valid physical address in the EU would be necessary PL (Comments): The online intermediary should be able to verify the authenticity of the orders to act against illegal content (Article 8) or orders to provide information (Article 9). Such
		The online intermediary should be able to verify the authenticity of the orders to act against illegal content (Article 8) or orders to provide information (Article 9). Such verification of authenticity of the orders issued is all the more justified in the case of cross-border orders - issued by an authority other than the establishment/legal representation of the online intermediary. At the same time, it should be ensured that the procedures for confirming the authenticity of the order do not lead to excessive prolongation of the whole procedure. This could be achieved by a presumption of legality of the order and of its origin from the competent authority (similar to the enforcement of criminal court rulings). This

		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
		Drafting suggestions	
 BE (Comments): These elements are similar to the ones provided for in the TCO Regulation but differs in some extent to the last version of this instrument as agreed in December. We consider useful to examine this last version and ensure, unless not applicable, a consistency between both provisions. LU (Comments): In order to avoid derogations or diverging specifications by Member States, and given the direct applicability of the Regulation, this provision needs to be slightly reformulated. DE (Comments) We challenge the necessity of these requirements (e.g. identification of the issuing authority). Without spelling out consequences of failure to comply (is the order valid but voidable or is it void?) this is not workable We find it more coherent to leave all of	the authentication from verifying certain elements of the order or requesting additional clarifications in order to allow for its correct	Comments	

- a statement of reasons explaining why the information is illegal content, by reference to the specific provision of Union or national law infringed;	 (a) the orders contains the following elements: 		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
			Drafting suggestions	
IE (Comments): Where a national judicial or administrative authority makes a statement confirming content is illegal then the intermediary service to which that is addressed should be able to rely on that statement. If that intermediary service relies upon that statement it should not be liable for any errors within it. Therefore the authority issuing the order should be liable for such error and for any consequences thereof. It is considered that this is a very specific situation that may occur and a specific clause should be inserted to ensure safeguards for the Intermediary service in such a case rather than relying upon the more general provision set out in Article 6, which is open to interpretation.	BE (Comments): For the sake of clarity and legal certainty, we believe it would be useful to add that the order must make reference to the specific deadline for removal of content, as provided for in national law.	basis for the action of the authority.	Comments	

(b) the territorial scope of the order, on the basis of the applicable rules of Union and national law, including the Charter, and, where relevant, general principles of international law, does not exceed what is strictly necessary to achieve its objective;	- information about redress available to the provider of the service and to the recipient of the service who provided the content;	one or more exact uniform resource locators and, where necessary, additional information enabling the identification of the illegal content concerned;	COMMISSION PROPOSAL
AT (Drafting): (b) the territorial scope of the order, on the basis of the applicable rules of Union and national law, including the Charter, and, where relevant, general principles of international law , does not exceed what is strictly necessary to achieve its objective;		SK (Drafting): one or more exact uniform resource locators andor, where necessary, additional information enabling the identification of the illegal content concerned; FR (Drafting): - one or more exact uniform resource locators and, where necessary, additional information enabling the provider to identify the identification of the illegal content concerned, such as one or more exact uniform resource locators	Drafting suggestions
AT (Comments): It should be up to the authority to decide which territorial scope is necessary to achieve the objects. As a minimum, the order has to contain the territorial scope. IT (Comments):		SK (Comments): We find, that the URL indicator may change or the content may be moved elsewhere in the meantime. Furthermore, does "additional information" cover e.g. screenshots? FR (Comments): Proposition d'une rédaction générique, moins spécifique à l'internet ouvert, et plus résiliente en cas d'évolutions technologiques	Comments

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
 PL (Drafting): New point in art. 8 The Digital Services Coordinator of each Member State, on its own initiative, within 72 hours of receiving the copy of the order to act, has the right to scrutinise the order to determine whether it seriously or manifestly infringes the order/make the order ineffective/make the order not applicable) on its own territory. LU (Drafting): (b) the territorial scope of the order <u>on the basis of the applicable rules of Union and national law</u>, including the Charter, and, where relevant, general principles of international law, does not exceed what is strictly necessary to achieve its objective, and is applicable, as the case may <u>be:</u> to all Union Member States where the order is based on illegal content as defined in Union law; taking into account the Charter, and, where the order is based on illegal content as defined in that Member State's national law taking into 	Drafting suggestions	
It should be clarified whether the removal can be ordered with reference to illegal contents that have some relevance only to the EU or not (for example, hate speech limited to another continent). HU (Comments): What happens when, in the case of a service available in more than one Member State, one State considers content to be illegal but the other does not? According to the first paragraph of Article 8, action may also be taken against the content in question under national law, but national laws could provide disparities and deviations. It is not clear how the resulting contradictions will be resolved by the proposal. PL (Comments): Article 8(2)(b) provides that when issuing an order to act, the relevant national judicial or administrative authority should assess the territorial scope of the order. However , consideration should be given to the possibility of disputes between Member State authorities about cross-border orders to act and how such disputes may concern the territorial scope of the removal order. We should propose a change that would prohibit removal of content that is illegal under the law of	Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
	account the Charter, and, where relevant, general principles of international law.	one of the Member States, but legal in the place where the service is offered, i.e. on the territory of the country where the user is using the service There can be no consent to censoring posts published by for example Polish users (with permanent establishment in Poland) on the grounds of incompatibility with, for example, Spanish law.
		Safeguards should therefore be put in place so that European-wide orders to act for content that violates national law of one or more member states are not issued. If disputes of this type arise, it should be possible to limit the territorial scope of the order. It should be underlined that what is illegal in one member state may be legal in another. Without safeguards, European-wide orders to act could lead to unjustified removal/blocking of content and violate the right to freedom of expression and information. SE (Comments):
		SE (Comments): It seems, including from the many questions from the Q&A-document, that the cross-border effects or jurisdiction when it comes to orders to act against illegal content needs to be clarified. Regarding jurisdiction, we still find it difficult to
		determine whether the examination of an order is to be carried out in accordance with national law where the provider has its registered office or whether the provider also has to comply with the

		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
		Drafting suggestions	
(Comments):	 legislation of the issuing state. SE would like clarification as to whether the domicile of the recipient of the service, responsible for a specific illegal content, is relevant to the determination on jurisdiction when it comes to orders to act against illegal content needs to be clarified. We understand from the jurisprudence of the ECJ that not only are such orders possible to issue with global effects without it conflicting with the country of origin-principle of the eCommerce Directive. We also understand, from the explanations given by the Commission, that the intention is that such orders will be possible to issue by a competent national authority directly to a service provider established in another Member State. DK (Comments): Furthermore, we suggest clarification regarding article 8 (2)(b) as it is unclear what is meant by "territorial scope of the order". Also, the same paragraph refers to "the applicable rules of Union and national law" as well as "general principles this paragraph refers to. LU 	Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Des Pine en assiste	Commonte
A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC	outron 66 ao Fanna ao	When the second s
		We propose to clarify that for orders that are based on illegal content as defined in EU law, the territorial scope shall always be EU-wide. In this case, there shall be mutual recognition of such an order by other authorities. Where orders are about illegal content as defined in national law, a national authority can in any case only order action within its (national) jurisdiction. DE (Comments) It is technically impossible to control a territorial limitation of content in the internet (unless you suppress encryption and exercise full control over IP address allocation). Therefore, a provider has at best a statistical indication about the location of users, but no means to definitely identify the location of any given user. Therefore, to ask for an effective geographic limitation of an order is not a valid option and other options should be examined.
		TT
(c) the order is drafted in the language declared by the provider and is sent to the point of contact, appointed by the provider, in accordance with Article 10.	LV (Drafting) (c) the order is drafted in the language declared by the provider or in another official language of the Union bilaterally agreed between the authority and the provider and is sent to the point of contact, appointed by the provider, in accordance with Article 10	IT (Comments): Drafting the order in the language declared by the providers can, in some cases, be complicate for the issuing Authorities and cause delays in the process. Moreover the administrative cooperation mechanisms in the field of consumer protection

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
FR (Drafting): (c) the order is drafted in the language declared by the provider and is sent to the point of contact, appointed by the provider, in accordance with Article 10. The order may alternatively be drafted in the official language of the member State whose authority issues the order against the specific item of illegal content; in such case, the point of contact is entitled upon request to a transcription, by said authority, into the language declared by the provider.	Drafting suggestions	
 do not provide for the same linguistic regime as envisaged in the DSA proposal. ES (Comments): The order should not be required to be written in the language declared by the provider, due to the excessive burden on the authorities. DK (Comments): It seems unnecessarily burdensome for the Member States that they have to draft the order in the language declared by the provider. BE (Comments): As other member states, we are a bit concerned that this obligation to translate any order to be issued in the language chosen by the intermediary would constitute a real administrative and financial burden on the competent national authorities. On the other hand, we understand that, for efficiency and proportionality reasons, this burden should not fall entirely on the intermediary either. As a "balanced solution", we would like to support the option of using templates for issuing orders, translated as Annexes of this Regulation, as this also foreseen in TCO Regulation.	Comments	

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
 DE (Comments) We wonder what the advantage is of having the orders in only one language. It should be possible to use any language that the provider understands and offers its services. If a provider offers services in a MS it should be able to comply with an order which is drafted in the language of that MS. Moreover, we advocate for an obligation of the provider to appoint domestic contact persons in every MS it operates in, e.g. authorised agents for legal proceedings. This is crucial e.g. to make it easier for citizens to bring disputes with "their" providers before independent courts. LV (Comments) Current proposal, which provides that intermediary service providers have the right to unilaterally determine the language of the Member states alone, is a matter of concern. It should be noted that the ability of competent authorities to communicate in different languages is also limited. In particular, if a particular intermediary service provider targets its activities in a particular Member state and there is a higher likelihood that a particular intermediary service provide will have to communicate with the 	Comments	

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL		
	Drafting suggestions		
 be disproportionate to give the intermediary service provider the right to unilaterally determine the language of communication. It should be borne in mind that legal entities (intermediary service provider), unlike natural ones, have more resources and intellectual capacity, so they may be subject to stricter requirements. Therefore, we would like to recommunication (e.g. ECN + directive) which allows to find an agreement between parties on the mutually understandable language to be used in communication. Orders are legal documents and therefore precision and correct expression is key for the order not to be questioned, automated means of translation therefore cannot be used as Commission proposed. This provision also interferes with the procedural law of MS that sets the language for drafting legal orders FR (Comments): Les autorités françaises estiment que l'obligation de rédiger l'injonction dans la langue déclarée par le fournisseur de services (qui doit être l'une ou plusieurs des 24 langues officielles de l'Etat membre d'établissement ou du représentant légal) pourrait donner lieu à des difficultés de 	Comments		
 The Digital Services Coordinator from the Member State of the judicial or administrative authority issuing the order shall, without undue delay, transmit a copy of the orders referred to in paragraph 1 to all other Digital Services Coordinators through the system established in accordance with Article 67. Coordance with Article 67. 		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
---	---	----------------------	--
FR (Drafting): 3. The Digital Services Coordinator from the Member State of the judicial or administrative authority issuing the order shall, without undue delay, transmit a copy of the orders referred to in paragraph 1 to all other Digital Services Coordinators through the system established in accordance with Article 67, unless said order has		Drafting suggestions	
IT (Comments): The communication flow could be heavy. We suggest to evaluate the possibility of introducing a centralized database accessible to all the DSC where it is possible to upload and access to the orders according to this article and to article 9.3 PL (Comments):	mise en œuvre concrète au moment de l'émission des injonctions transfrontalières, notamment dans les cas d'injonctions judiciaires ou lorsqu'il s'agit d'une langue peu usitée. Il est dès lors proposé une solution pratique, non contraignante pour les opérateurs mais assurant la nécessaire fluidité dans l'émission des injonctions par les autorités compétentes. In order to smoothen communications between the authority issuing the order and the service provider, it is suggested that the authority may use its own official language; in such case the service provider would have the right to obtain a transcription into the declared language, if necessary. Such practical solution appears as little cumbersome as possible whilst allowing MS authorities to issue orders without undue transcription delays (e.g. court orders).	Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
	already been transmitted to the relevant cooperation network, in particular where an alert has been notified according to Article 12 of Directive 2001/95/EC [GPSD] Article 26 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2394 [CPC].	It is not clear from where the Digital Services Coordinator (hereinafter DSC) is to obtain information about the order, given that it may not be the author of the order addressed to the intermediary service provider. The provision should explicitly indicate that such orders are to be transmitted to the DSC if an authority other than the DSC issued them. (Similarly, Article 9.3).
		Anticipating the discussion of Article 67.If the system is to operate as part of IMI, the advisory procedure would be acceptable. If this is to be a different system, then a procedure with a stronger involvement of the MS should be considered. It should also be borne in mind a possible complication on a national level, due to the possible need to ensure the involvement of different authorities competent for different orders potentially falling within the scope of the DSA.
		The regulation which requires intermediation of a coordinator for digital services of the place of establishment might lead to unnecessary prolongation of the procedure. We would like to propose the introduction of a provision that will
		representative (or a contact point) in each country where the service is offered by the service provider. This will significantly shorten

										COMMISSION PROPOSAL Drafting suggestions	
(Comments):	IE	Furthermore, do you confirm that there is then an implicit obligation for the authority issuing the order to transmit a copy of the order to the nation DSC? Shouldn't this obligation be explicitly mentioned in the text? (see also article 9.3).	More generally, what will be the specific role of the other DSC in this case?	In case of a terrorist content, should the competent authority transmit the request to the authority provided for in the TCO Regulation and to the DSC, inter alia to ensure the respect of some specific obligations (due diligence) that would not be included in the TCO?	in the Regulation on preventing the dissemination of terrorist content online and is justified by the need to ensure as well the role of the hosting state with regard to the enforcement of the issuing State's request.	(Comments):	BE	Other solutions might lead to excessive, and unnecessary bureaucracy and will generate additional costs.	monadurae	Comments	

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
 conformes ou contrefaits, ou des offres dans lesquelles les droits des consommateurs ne sont pas respectés), le partage d'information prévu via les Digital Services Coordinators devrait intervenir, pour des raisons d'efficacité et de fluidité, sans préjudice et sans doublon inutile avec les modalités de communication et de coopération entre autorités européennes de surveillance du marché ou en matière de protection économique des consommateurs préexistantes, notamment lorsque ces autorités disposent déjà de réseaux dédiés, tels que notamment Saféty Gate Rapex ICSMS ou encore CPC. It would be unnecessarily burdensome to require MS to transmit several times, to different cooperation networks, the orders they have issued. For sake of efficiency, specialised networks should have priority over the DSC system. We therefore suggest a more agile solution whereby MS notifications sent through existent networks (e.g. CPC, Saféty Gate Rapex ICSMS as for non-compliant products) could be automatically copied into the DSC database when appropriate. This avenue should be explored when examining the information sharing system provided for in Article 67. 	Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments procedures allowing MS to use the c
		procedures allowing MS to use the existing procedures for issuing of orders without changing significantly the procedural law
	AT (Drafting):	AT (Comments):
	5. This Article does not affect the possibility for Member States of regulating further procedures governing the removal or disabling of access to information.	Since many aspects are not regulated (for example the time frame in which the provider has to comply with the order), the rule of Art. 14 para 3 last sentence ECD should remain intact.
Article 9 Orders to provide information		HU (Comments): Articles 8 and 9 break the country of origin
		of destination to turn directly to the platform for illegal content or requests for information. In our view, specific procedural deadlines could be considered for the platforms in order to apply harmonized deadlines within the EU. In practice, it would be easier for the service provider to
		Member States in a more uniform way.
		(Comments):
		See comment above under article 8.
		CZ
		(Comments):
		Generally, CZ agrees to include obligations to

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments more specific individual receptents of the ser
		more specific individual recepients of the service to judicial or administrative authorities. Yet such procedure cannot pose excessive administrative burden to digital services. Moreover, it has to be ensured that digital services would be contacted only in cases relevant to effictive enforcement of national or Union law. Transfer of information on individual users must remain in accordance with Union law.
		Article 9 does not apply to aggregated information excluded from DSA (Recital 32). Therefore, Article 9 does not solve fragmentation of rules on the Single Market caused by different obligations. As a general comment, CZ would like to state its support for improvements of the text which would go in the direction of reducing this fragmentation.
		DE (Comments)
		It seems to be the intention of Art. 9 to provide a purely domestic order with transnational effect. When it comes to enforcement, however, the DSA seems to refer to national law (according to
		obligation to comply with the obligations [] to provide information contained in such orders is set out in national or Union law, hence the
		done on the basis of such laws."). National

definition limited to the national realm. So no national law will provide for transmational enforcement. As a result, Art. 9 would only have the desired effect if the service provider complie voluntarily. If it does not, no enforcement measure would be possible (as long as the order was based on national law). Apart from this question, we have serious concerns as to the compatibility of the intended transmational effec with the established system of mutual recognitio in the area of criminal law. Also, for us it is unclear, wether the information relating to a specific individual information or user in Article 9 (1) also serve law enforcement purposes? If this is the case, would the national criminal procedural law be enriched by the supplementary conditions and obligations under Art. 9? We also wonder how MS can protect the public interest, especially with regard to the local level. We suggest with regard to enforcement in the internet to adapt the draft to the limitations of jurisdiction to recifal 7).	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
We also wonder how MS can protect the publi interest, especially with regard to the local lev We suggest with regard to enforcement in the internet to adapt the draft to the limitations of jurisdiction to enforce according to the Tallinn Manual 2.0 (see comment to recital 7).	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments definition limited to the national realm. So no national law will provide for transnational enforcement. As a result, Art. 9 would only have the desired effect if the service provider complies voluntarily. If it does not, no enforcement measure would be possible (as long as the order was based on national law). Apart from this question, we have serious concerns as to the compatibility of the intended transnational effect with the established system of mutual recognition in the area of criminal law. Also, for us it is unclear, wether the information relating to a specific individual information or user in Article 9 (1) also serve law enforcement purposes? If this is the case, would the national criminal procedural law be enriched by the supplementary conditions and obligations under Art 9?
			We also wonder how MS can protect the publi interest, especially with regard to the local lev We suggest with regard to enforcement in the internet to adapt the draft to the limitations of jurisdiction to enforce according to the Tallinn Manual 2.0 (see comment to recital 7).

 Providers of intermediary services shall, upon receipt of an order to provide a specific individual recipients of the service, issued by the relevant national judicial or administrative authorities on the basis of the applicable Union or national law, in conformity with Union law, inform without undue delay the authority of issuing the order of its receipt and the effect given to the order. 	COMMISSION PROPOSAL
AT (Drafting): 1. <u>Without prejudice to civil law claims for information and the enforcement of such claims</u> , providers of intermediary services shall, upon receipt of an order to provide a specific item of information about one or more specific or national judicial or administrative authorities on the basis of the applicable Union or national law, in conformity with Union law the conditions set out in this Article, inform without undue delay the authority of issuing the order of its receipt and <u>provide the requested information or contest the order</u> . LU (Drafting): 1. Providers of intermediary services shall, upon receipt of an order to provide a specific individual recipients of the service, issued by the relevant national judicial or administrative authorities on the basis of the applicable Union or national judicial or administrative authorities on the basis of the applicable Union or national law, in conformity with Union law, <u>and transmitted to the provider of inform without undue delay the authority of issuing the order of its receipt and the effect given to the order.</u>	Drafting suggestions
 AT (Comments): It should be made clear that civil law claims follow the rules of Brussels Ia-Regulation. If the order is in conformity with Union law, why should the provider not provide the requested information? What reason should the information of redress available (para 2) have, if the provider was not bound to follow the order anyway? IT (Comments): As mentioned above related to art.8.1, it would be worth providing the national authorities – when issuing some orders - with the power of defining the specific deadlines to comply for the order recipients. The deadlines for the actions specified in those order is not precisely defined, but even more the authority of issuing the order of its receipt and the effect given to the order", does not specify what is the actual correct way to process the order. Again, there is no reference to the possible sanctions referred to in Chapter IV. The elements that must contain the orders of the authorities, instead, are defined in a very detailed manner in paragraph 2 and in recitals 32 and 33. We suggest articulating the process that more certainty and	Comments

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
Consideration should be given to the possibility of clarifying what is meant by this term. Will this be any order issued by an administrative authority or Member State court or will a specific form of such an act be required (in administrative decision; in the judicial proceedings - a judicial ruling)? SE (Comments): SE is analysing whether there could be any reason to consider some sort of requirement to store information for further supervision, reviews, criminal investigations etc. We wish for some clarification as to the allowed extent of an order, given that they could refer to law enforcement purposes. ES	Comments effectiveness A univocal means of communication for judicial documents would be desirable, which at the same time guarantees certainty of notification (acknowledgment of receipt), and information on the progress of the procedure. PL (Comments): Same comment as in art. 8 (1)	

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
 (Comments): Establishing a deadline for intermediaries to inform the authority will avoid vague legal concepts, guarantee a response from the provider within a reasonable period and harmonize the procedure at the EU level. A deadline could be proposed depending on the severity of the illegal content, ranging from 24 to 72 hours. BE (Comments): This obligation to inform the competent authority of the actions taken to give effect to its request constitutes a real added-value. Indeed, our competent authorities do not currently receive any acknowledgement of receipt and are not notified of the execution of their requests to provide information. However, we are wondering why this is only limited to an obligation to inform the competent authority of the follow-up without referring to an obligation to comply to this request. We therefore would like to ask to include in the text an explicit reference to an obligation to execute the request. It is finally unclear, in the current text, how the sanctions provided for though articles 45, 51, 58, 59 should effectively apply in case of a breach of article 9 (the intermediary does not respond at all to the order) and what would be the relationship between this "EU" 	Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	SK Comments
		SK (Comments): We would like to remark, that any kind of consequence's of acting with delay or not acting at all (full non-compliance) is missing for art. 8 and art. 9 – We would like to ask the Commission to elaborate on this
		CZ (Comments): Given that the Commission explained, that the only obligation for providers under Article 9 is to
		of the paragraph 1 says otherwise. "Inform of the effect given to the order" is translated as "informuji o provedení", which means "inform of the carrying out", which is, in our view, not in compliance with the explanation of
		the Commission. We would like to ask for clarification in both English and the subsequent translations. (CZ will also raise this in
		connection to the comment on the CZ translation of the proposal.) LU
		(Comments):
		For orders to provide information, we propose to limit the interaction of the intermediary service provider in principle to the authority of its main establishment. The Digital Services Coordinator

		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	5 55	Drafting suggestions	
 tor the provider, centralise these requests for information and channel them to the provider. This reflects the objective of creating a fully functioning Single Market and reduce crossborder obstacles as much as possible. DE (Comments) We wonder why authorities issuing orders should be informed that an order has been complied with? The authority should take note of this when receiving the information? And what data could a request for information under Art. 9 refer to? Content data, inventory data, usage data? LV (Comments) Similar to the Art.8, also here the DSA fails to provide a stronger tool for actually receiving the information on the provider to provide the authority with the information prevides enough added value compared to the current situation where MS are struggling to impose their national laws to entities registered in outer countries. FR	of establishment should be the main interlocutor	Comments	

2. Member States shall ensure that orders referred to in paragraph 1 meet the following conditions: <u>The con</u> <u>follows</u> :		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
AT (Drafting): <u>The conditions</u> referred to in paragraph 1 <u>are as</u> <u>follows</u> :		Drafting suggestions	
AT (Comments): Whereas para 1 should only be applicable if the orders meet the conditions, orders that do not	 (Comments): Les autorités françaises posent une réserve générale d'examen sur les articles 8 et 9 et les considérants correspondants. De plus, le contexte de la lutte contre les contenus haineux sur internet constitue une attention des autorités françaises qui seront susceptibles de proposer des amendements rédactionnels plus conséquents. La présidence portugaise abordera d'ailleurs la question de la lutte contre les contenus illégaux en ligne dans le contexte du DSA lors du Conseil JAI du 11 mars prochain. Par ailleurs, et s'agissant plus spécifiquement de l'article 9, le besoin opérationnel ainsi couvert et son articulation avec le paquet e-Evidence en cours de négociation font l'objet de discussions au sein du groupe COPEN et d'interrogations de la part de plusieurs délégations. Par conséquent, les amendements proposés à ce stade par les autorités françaises ne préjugent pas de ceux qu'elles pourraient soumettre ultérieurement au Conseil. 	Comments	

 (Drafting): (Drafting): Member States shall ensure that <u>O</u>rders referred to in paragraph 1 <u>shall</u> meet the following conditions: PL (Comments): Article 9 does not contain the necessary safeguards. There is no provision which would provide secure communication between the intermediary and the authority issuing the order to provide information. Addressing this issue in the DSA would reflect strong European tradition to protect privacy - given that the data transferred under Article 9 may be of a sensitive nature. At the same time, it would be inappropriate to allow service providers to challenge an order issued by a national authority. Such regulation of orders would cause chaos in the execution of orders would selectively. 	
	ph 1 shall meet the

 a statement of reasons explaining the objective for which the information is required and why the requirement to provide the information is necessary and proportionate to determine compliance by the recipients of the intermediary services with applicable Union or national rules, unless such a 	(a) the order contains the following elements:		COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
SK (Drafting): a statement of reasons explaining the objective for which the information is required and why the requirement to provide the information is necessary and proportionate to determine			Drafting suggestions	
FR (Comments): Les autorités françaises n'estiment pas nécessaire d'alourdir démesurément les éléments à produire pour émettre l'injonction (par. 2), en particulier une obligation de motivation irait à		 evidence Regulation. LU (Comments): In order to avoid derogations or diverging specifications by Member States, and given the direct applicability of the Regulation, this provision needs to be slightly reformulated. DE (Comments) We challenge the necessity of these requirements. The list does not even cover all basic requirements (e.g. identification of the issuing authority). Without spelling out consequences of failure to comply (is the order valid but voidable or is it void?) this is not workable. We find it more coherent to leave all of this to the national law, which provides the legal basis for the action of the authority.	Comments	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
statement cannot be provided for reasons related to the prevention, investigation, detection and prosecution of criminal offences;	 compliance by the recipients of the intermediary services with applicable Union or national rules, unless such a statement cannot be provided for reasons related to the prevention, investigation, detection and prosecution of criminal or administrative offences; FR (Drafting): a statement of reasons explaining the objective for according to which the information is required and why the requirement to provide the information is necessary and proportionate to determine compliance by the recipients of the intermediary services with applicable Union or national rules[, unless such a statement cannot be provided for reasons related to the prevention, investigation, detection and prosecution of criminal offences]; 	l'encontre des règles de procédure en vigueur en droit national. Elles suggèrent par ailleurs d'aligner ces exigences avec les dispositions de l'article 31 relatif aux demandes d'accès aux données des grandes plateformes. It would be unnecessary and particularly cumbersome to require such motivation for orders to provide information to the relevant authorities. What is more, it would go against MS procedural law and current practice which duly respects the rights and remedies of the defendant. We suggest to align this provision as much as possible with Article 31 par. 1. It can be noted that Article 52 DSA and Article 19 DMA do not require motivation nor mention proportionality as for the requests for information made by the EC.
available to the provider and to the recipients of the service concerned;		
(b) the order only requires the provider to provide information already collected for the purposes of providing the service and which lies within its control;		SE (Comments): SE wishes for clarification regarding when information should be considered to be within the providers control.

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
(c) the order is drafted in the language declared by the provider and is sent to the point of contact appointed by that provider, in accordance with Article 10;	LV (Drafting) (c) the order is drafted in the language declared by the provider or in another official language of the Union bilaterally agreed between the authority and the provider and is sent to the point of contact appointed by that provider, in accordance with Article 10; FR (Drafting): (c) the order is drafted in the language declared by the provider and is sent to the point of contact, appointed by the provider, in accordance with Article 10. The order may alternatively be drafted in the official language of the member State whose authority issues the order against the specific item of illegal content; in such case, the point of contact is entitled upon request to a transcription, by said authority, into the language declared by the provider.	 ES (Comments): The order should not be required to be written in the language declared by the provider, due to the excessive burden on the authorities. DK (Comments): It seems unnecessarily burdensome for the Member States that they have to draft the order in the language declared by the provider. BE (Comments): (Comments) Comments) National authorities may lack a legal basis to work in foreign languages. In any case, we wonder what the advantage is of having orders in only one language. It should be possible to use any language that the provider understands, i.e. in whose MS it operates in, e.g. authorised agents for legal proceedings. This is crucial e.g. to make

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
	Drafting suggestions	
Lv (Comments) Current proposal, which provides that intermediary service providers have the right to unilaterally determine the language of communication with the competent authorities of the Member states alone, is a matter of concern. It should be noted that the ability of competent authorities to communicate in different languages is also limited. In particular, if a particular intermediary service provider targets its activities in a particular Member state and there is a higher likelihood that a particular intermediary service provide will have to communicate with the authorities of that Member state. Thus, it would be disproportionate to give the intermediary service provider the right to unilaterally determine the language of communication. It should be borne in mind that legal entities (intermediary service provider), unlike natural ones, have more resources and intellectual capacity, so they may be subject to stricter requirements. Therefore, we would like to recommunication language between authorities and providers following an example of other EU legislation (e.g. ECN + directive) which allows to find an agreement between parties on the mutually understandable language to be used in communication. Orders are legal documents and therefore precision and correct expression is key	Comments	

3. The Digital Services Coordinator from the Member State of the national judicial or administrative authority issuing the order shall, without undue delay, transmit a copy of the order referred to in paragraph 1 to all Digital Services Coordinators through the system established in accordance with Article 67.		COMMISSION PROPOSAL
		Drafting suggestions
 PL (Comments): It is not clear from where the Digital Services Coordinator (hereinafter DSC) is to obtain information about the order, given that it may not be the author of the order addressed to the intermediary service provider. The provision should explicitly indicate that such orders are to be transmitted to the DSC if an authority other than the DSC issued them. (Similar comment in Article 8.3). BE (Comments): With regard to this paragraph, similar comments as the ones related to Article 8.3 need to be addressed on the role of the DSC as well as the 	for the order not to be questioned, automated means of translation therefore cannot be used as Commission proposed. This provision also interferes with the procedural law of MS that sets the language for drafting legal orders. FR (Comments): <i>Rédaction symétrique à celle figurant dans l'article 8 2. (c).</i> <i>Rephrasing, so as to mirror the wording that is used in article 8 2. (c).</i>	Comments

COMMISSION PROPOSAL	Drafting suggestions	Comments
		relationship with the competent authorities in the e-evidence Regulation.
		Furthermore, do you confirm that there is then an implicit obligation for the authority issuing the order to transmit a copy of the order to the nation DSC? Shouldn't this obligation be explicitly mentioned in the text?
		SK
		(Comments):
		We would like to ask, if the Commission would be a recipient, too.
		CZ
		(Comments):
		CZ agrees that Digital Services Coordinators should inform each other about the orders in
		situation. We will get back to the cooperation mechanism of Digital Services Coordinators later with the relevant articles.
		LU (Comments):
		This presupposes that judges, for instance, are to be obliged to share the orders with the national
		DSC. This may intringe upon the independence of the judiciary to prescribe such a transfer.
4. The conditions and requirements laid	AT	AT
down in this article shall be without prejudice to	(Drafting):	(Comments):

AT AT (Comments):

	COMMISSION PROPOSAL	
LU (Drafting): 4a. Paragraph 1 shall not apply where providers receive multiple orders to provide a same specific item of information about one or more specific individual recipients of the service, issued by relevant national judicial or administrative authorities on the basis of the applicable Union or national law, in conformity with Union law, from the same Member State.	Drafting suggestions	
proportionality and avoid any fishing expeditions. Indeed, on the basis of this Article, providers could be exposed to multiple information requests from authorities from one or several Member States with little means to defend against potential disproportionate number of requests coming from a same Member State ("once only").	Comments	